

---

# **ADAPTIVE ANNEALING**

Andrew Barron and Xi "Rossi" Luo

YALE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS

Allerton Conference Presentation, September 27, 2007

# Outline

- Sampling and Optimization
  - Markov Chain Methods
  - Statistical Motivations
  - Target Distributions
- Types of Markov Chain Samplers
  - Time-homogeneous transitions designed for a fixed target distribution
  - Time-inhomogeneous transitions for evolving sequence of distributions
- Approximate Diffusions
  - Simulated annealing and its shortcoming
  - Adaptive annealing
  - Drift modifier dynamics
- Variable Augmentation
  - State space enlargement for approximate decoupling of equations
  - Adaptive Gibbs annealing
- Optimization of Superpositions of Ridge Functions

## Sampling and Optimization

- $L(w)$  is a smooth objective function on  $R^d$ 
  - A bounded function
  - At least two bounded derivatives with respect to any of its coordinates
  - May have multiple peaks
- Optimization:
  - To within a constant factor, maximize  $L(w)$  subject to  $\|w\|$  constraint
  - Or maximize the Lagrangian  $L(w) - \lambda \|w\|^2$
- Sampling:
  - Draw  $w$  according to a given density  $p(w)$
  - Usually the result of several steps of a Markov chain
  - Target density  $p_\gamma(w)$  with gain  $\gamma$  is given by
$$p_\gamma(w) = \frac{p_0(w) \exp\{\gamma L(w)\}}{c_\gamma}$$
  - Gaussian reference or initial density  $p_0(w)$

## How big should be $\gamma$ ?

- What is a sufficient gain  $\gamma$  for a random draw to have  $L(w)$  nearly maximal?
- Assume a bound on the maximum of the norm of the gradient  $\nabla L(w)$
- Let  $L^* = L(w^*)$  be a (maximal or nearly maximal) value of the objective achieved by a point with finite  $\|w^*\|$
- Lemma: The mean of  $L(w)$  for a random  $w$  drawn from  $p_\gamma(w)$  is at least
$$L^* - \frac{d}{\gamma} \log \frac{A\gamma}{d}$$
where  $A$  depends on  $\|w^*\|$  and on the bound on the gradient.
- For positive  $L^*$ , choosing  $\gamma$  at least  $d$  times a log-factor is sufficient for the mean of  $L(w)$  to be at least  $\frac{1}{2}L^*$ .

# Markov Chain Samplers

- Target
  - Want  $w$  distributed according to a specified  $\pi(w)$  such as  $p_\gamma(w)$
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo Methods
  - Generate  $w_0, w_1, \dots, w_T$
  - Typically use time-homogeneous transition densities:
$$p(\tilde{w} | w)$$
  - Invariance: Transition chosen such that it takes  $w \sim \pi$  into  $\tilde{w} \sim \pi$ .
  - Initial density  $\pi_0(w)$ , not equal to the target  $\pi(w)$
  - Convergence to  $\pi(w)$ : Can be arbitrarily slow.
- Gibbs Samplers
- Metropolis-Hastings
- Approximate Diffusions

## Approximate Diffusions

- Simplest formulation: a time-homogeneous transition with target  $\pi(w)$
- Gradient ascent with a stochastic perturbation (random search), using constant variance,

$$\tilde{w} = w + \epsilon \left[ \frac{1}{2} \nabla \log \pi(w) \right] + \sqrt{\epsilon} Z$$

- Or using variance inversely proportional to the target

$$\tilde{w} = w + \sqrt{\epsilon / \pi(w)} Z$$

- Approximate Invariance holds in both cases

If  $w \sim \pi(w)$

Then  $\tilde{w} \sim \pi(w) e^{O(\epsilon^2)}$

# Diffusions with Invariant Transitions

- Continuous-time Markov Process

$$dw_t = \mu(w_t)dt + \sigma(w_t)dB_t$$

- $\pi(w)$  is its invariant density iff  $\mu, \sigma, \pi$  satisfy Kolmogorov foward equation

$$0 = -\nabla \cdot (\mu(w)\pi(w)) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot \nabla(\sigma^2(w)\pi(w))$$

- Discrete time transition then has  $\pi$  as its approximate invariant

$$\tilde{w} = w + \epsilon\mu(w) + \sqrt{\epsilon}\sigma(w)Z$$

- reference solutions for  $(\mu(w), \sigma^2(w))$

$$\left( \frac{1}{2}\nabla \log \pi(w), 1 \right) \quad \text{or} \quad \left( 0, \frac{1}{\pi(w)} \right)$$

- Evolution of the density  $p(w, t)$  of  $w_t$  starting from  $p(w, 0) = p_0(w)$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}p(w, t) = -\nabla \cdot (\mu(w)p(w, t)) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot \nabla(\sigma^2(w)p(w, t))$$

- Convergence to  $\pi(w)$  can be slow

## Recap

- Markov Chain with time-homogeneous transition can be slow to converge
- Instead: we advocate more ambitious consideration of time-inhomogeneous transitions,
$$p_t(\tilde{w}|w)$$
designed in discrete-time for a sequence of increasing gains  $\gamma_t$  to move the density from
$$w_{t-1} \sim p_{\gamma_{t-1}}(w)$$
to
$$w_t \sim p_{\gamma_t}(w)$$
- A chain which achieves these aims is called an *adaptive annealing*

## Advantages of Adaptive Annealing

- More explicit control of the sequence of densities, starting from  $p_0(w)$  and tracking  $p_{\gamma_t}(w)$
- Permits linear scheduling of the gain  $\gamma_t = \epsilon t$ , for  $t = 0, 1, \dots, \gamma_{final}/\epsilon$
- The required move bias or drift is not based solely on an uphill (gradient) direction
- Allows substantial downhill movement if it is what it takes to track the density
- General equations available for the transitions based on the continuous-time case
- Not all objective functions permit clean evaluation of these transitions
- Effort required to develop the general solution for special cases of interest

## Simulated Annealing

- Kirkpatrick et al (1983)
- Aim is to track the density  $p_{\gamma_t}(w)$  proportional to  $e^{\gamma_t L(w)} p_0(w)$
- The reciprocal of the gain  $\gamma_t$  is called the temperature, in analogy with models from physics and metallurgy
- The transition rule  $p_t(\tilde{w}|w)$  is Metropolis for the target  $p_{\gamma_t}$
- The transition rule is invariant if the target were not changing
- But it does not take  $w_{t-1}$  with density  $p_{\gamma_{t-1}}$  into  $w_t$  with density  $p_{\gamma_t}$
- This shortcoming means existing theory for simulated annealing is restricted to very slowing changing gains
- Logarithmic scheduling:  $\gamma_t = \frac{1}{\beta} \log(1+t)$ , requires exponential time as a function of the dimension  $d$  to get to a suitably high final gain

## Naive Approximate Diffusion

- Analogous to Simulated Annealing
  - One seeks to increment the gain  $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma + \delta$
  - But the drift is designed for invariance and does not account for the changing target
- $$\tilde{w} = w + \epsilon \left[ \frac{1}{2} \nabla \log p_{\tilde{\gamma}}(w) \right] + \sqrt{\epsilon} Z$$
- If  $w \sim p_\gamma(s)$  then
  - $$\tilde{w} \sim p_\gamma(s) \exp \left\{ -\epsilon \delta [\nabla \cdot \nabla L(w) + \gamma \|\nabla L(w)\|^2] + O(\epsilon^2 \delta) \right\}$$
  - The exponent is not a multiple of  $(L(w) - c)$  so it fails to tract the density with increased gain

## Adaptive Annealing

- Properly modify the drift to produce the desired change in the distribution

$$\tilde{w} = w + \epsilon [\frac{1}{2} \nabla \log p_\gamma(w) - G(w)] + \sqrt{\epsilon} Z$$

- Now if  $w \sim p_\gamma(s)$  then

$$\tilde{w} \sim p_\gamma(s) \exp \left\{ \epsilon [\nabla \cdot G(w) + G(w) \cdot \nabla \log p_\gamma(w)] + O(\epsilon^2) \right\}$$

- The approximation holds except for  $w$  in a negligible set
- The constant in the remainder depends on bounds on derivatives of  $L$  and derivatives and moments of  $G$
- Choose  $G(w)$  so that the expression in the exponent matches  $L(w) - c$ , so that the density is indeed changed in the manner desired

## Choice of the Modifier $G(w)$

- Choose  $G(w)$  so that the expression in the exponent matches  $L(w) - m_\gamma$
- Here  $m_\gamma = E_{P_\gamma} L(w)$  is the proper constant adjustment in the exponent, updating the normalizing constant.
- Consequently pick  $G(w) = G_\gamma(w)$  to solve the PDE
$$[\nabla \cdot G(w) + G(w) \cdot \nabla \log p_\gamma(w)] = [L(w) - m_\gamma]$$
- or equivalently,
$$\nabla \cdot [G(w)p_\gamma(w)] = [L(w) - m_\gamma]p_\gamma(w)$$
- Recalling that  $p_\gamma(w) = e^{\gamma L(w)} p_0(w)/c_\gamma$ , we recognize the right side as  $\partial p_\gamma(w)/\partial \gamma$ , capturing the desired change in the target density

## Accumulating the error

- For discrete-time Markov chain  $w_0, w_1, \dots, w_T$  with the drift modifier  $G_{\gamma_t}$
- For the linear gain schedule  $\gamma_t = t\epsilon$  and  $T = \gamma/\epsilon$  at  $\gamma = \gamma_{final}$
- We approximately track the sequence of target densities  $p_{\gamma_t}(w)$  with accumulated  $L_1$  error of order  $O(T\epsilon^2) = O(\gamma\epsilon)$
- Hidden constants  $C$  depend on bounds on derivatives of  $L$  and  $G$  and can be large in some cases
- The error is made small by choosing sufficiently small  $\epsilon = o(1/\gamma)$
- How many steps  $T$  are required?  
To have small  $L_1$  error for  $p_\gamma$  as the approximate density of  $w_T$  we need  $T$  to be large compared to  $\gamma^2$

## Solving for $G(w)$

- Drift modifier  $G(w) = G_\gamma(w)$  required to track the distributions  $p_\gamma(w)$
- Solve for  $G(w)$  or equivalently  $H(w) = G(w)p_\gamma(w)$  such that for a known  $f(w) = [L(w) - m_\gamma]p(w)$  with zero integral, we have satisfaction of the divergence equation

$$\nabla \cdot H(w) = f(w)$$

- The traditional solution of such a PDE is obtained in the form  $H(w) = \nabla h(w)$  where this  $h$  satisfies the associated Poisson equation, that the Laplacian of  $h$  equals the specified function:

$$\nabla \cdot \nabla h(w) = f(w)$$

- The solution, also characterized as the function minimizing  $\int \|\nabla h(w)\|^2 dw - \int h(w)f(w)dw$ , is known to be obtained for by convolving  $f(w)$  with the Green's function  $Green(w)$  which is multiple of  $1/\|w\|^{d-2}$  for dimensions  $d > 2$ . Consequently the ideal drift modifier is

$$G(w) = \frac{1}{p(w)} \int \nabla Green(w - \tilde{w})[L(w) - m]p(\tilde{w})d\tilde{w}$$

- In the 1-dimensional case one has the simple solution in which  $H(w)$  is obtained by single variable integration of  $(L(w) - m)p(w)$  up to the point  $w$ .
- The resulting  $G(w)$  is bounded as long as  $m$  is the mean of  $L(z)$  and  $p(w)$  has suitably rapid decay of its tails, as in the case that the reference  $p_0(w)$  is a Gaussian.
- The challenge is to determine which problems of interest permit the modifier  $G(w)$  to be computed

## Diffusions with inhomogeneous transitions

- Continuous-time Markov Process with time-varying drift and variance functions

$$dw_t = \mu_t(w_t)dt + \sigma_t(w_t)dB_t$$

- Initialized by  $w_0 \sim p_0$ , the density function  $p_t(w)$ , drift  $\mu_t(w)$  and variance function  $\sigma_t^2(w)$  are related by the Fokker-Planck or Kolmogorov equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}p_t(w) = -\nabla \cdot (\mu_t(w)p_t(w)) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot \nabla(\sigma_t^2(w)p_t(w))$$

- For a given  $p_t(w)$  we want to track for  $t > 0$ , solutions for  $\mu_t(w)$  and  $\sigma_t^2(w)$  decompose into reference solutions (for which the right side is 0) and a modifier  $G_t(w)$ . In particular, we may set  $\sigma_t(w) = \sigma_{p_t}^{ref}(w)$  and

$$\mu_t(w) = \mu_{p_t}^{ref}(w) - G_t(w)$$

where  $\mu_p^{ref}(w)$  and  $\sigma_p^{ref}(w)$  are drift and variance functions for which  $p$  is invariant and where the modifier satisfies the divergence equation:

$$\nabla \cdot [G_t(w)p(w)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}p_t(w)$$

## Ridge Superposition Optimization

- Given smooth univariate functions  $f_1(z_1), f_2(z_2), \dots, f_n(z_n)$  and given vectors  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , each in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we want to optimize

$$L(w) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x_i \cdot w)$$

- These  $f_i$  may be built from a sinusoidal, sigmoidal, or ridgelet function as arise in trigonometric expansions, neural nets, or multivariate wavelet analysis
- Statistical learning motivation from classification and regression problems
- Have data  $(x_i, y_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and a fixed bounded smooth function  $\psi(z)$  (such as  $\sin(z)$  or  $\tanh(z)$ )
- We seek to optimize  $\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \psi(w \cdot x_i))^2$  or to maximize

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \psi(w \cdot x_i)$$

- Provably statistically accurate linear combinations of such ridge functions (Jones 92, Lee, Bartlett, Williamson 96, Barron, Cohen, Dahmen, Devore 07) using a greedy strategy
- Requires repeatedly performing the following optimization task:  
Given the residual  $R_i$  from a fit  $\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \beta_j \psi(w_j \cdot x)$  using  $k-1$  such terms, choose the internal weights  $w = w_k$  of the  $k$ th term so as to do achieve within a value within a constant factor of the maximum of the objective function

$$L(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n R_i \psi(w \cdot x_i)$$

- We recognize this optimization to be of ridge superposition form

## Ridge Superposition Sampling

- Target density  $p_\gamma(w)$  proportional to

$$e^{\gamma \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x_i \cdot w)} p_0(w)$$

- Evaluation of modifiers  $G_\gamma(w)$  appears to be a mess
- For a smoothed version of the problem, variable augmentation appears to considerably clean things up
  - Instead of constraining  $z_i$  to equal  $x_i \cdot w$  we relax this using a narrow Gaussian to keep them close to each other.
  - Then move in the  $n$ -dimensional space of the  $z$  rather than the  $d$  dimensional space of the  $w$
- **Augmented variable joint density**

$$p_\gamma(w, z) = \frac{1}{c_\gamma} e^{\gamma \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(z_i)} p_0(w) e^{-\frac{1}{2\delta^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - x_i \cdot w)^2} / (2\pi\delta^2)^{n/2}$$

## Simplifying properties of augmentation

- Density for  $z$  is

$$p_\gamma(z) = \frac{1}{c_\gamma} e^{\gamma \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(z_i)} p_0(z)$$

- It is of the form we have been studying, but now with an additive objective function The  $p_0$  is now a Gaussian with a covariance that captures that  $z$  is near the linear space spanned by the  $\mathcal{X}$
- Helps decouple the Poisson equation to determine properties of the modifier  $G_\gamma(z)$  and perhaps approximately solve for it
- The conditional density for  $z$  given  $w$  is of product form (conditionally independent) with a simple evolution in  $\gamma$
- The conditional density for  $w$  given  $z$  is a fixed Gaussian
- The marginal density for  $w$  is of the form

$$p_\gamma(w) = \frac{1}{c_\gamma} e^{\gamma \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{f}_i(x_i \cdot w)} p_0(w)$$

- Here  $\tilde{f}_i$  is a smoothed version of  $f_i$ , approximately the result of convolution with a narrow Gaussian of standard deviation  $\delta$ .

## Adaptive Gibbs Annealing

- Gibbs sampling alternates between picking  $\tilde{z}$  and  $w$  in a chain with the given conditionals
- For the ridge superposition model, such Gibbs sampling can be readily implemented
- Using transitions based on the rule invariant for a particular  $\gamma$  suffers from the same difficulties we have discussed when initializing with  $p_0$  different from the final target
- Adaptive Gibbs Annealing is conceivable, solving for a change factor in the density that allows the density to track  $p_{\gamma t}$
- There is a similar second order PDE for the form of this change factor

## Summary

- Adaptive annealing chooses a sequence of transition densities designed to approximately track a given sequence  $p_{\gamma_t}$  of densities designed for approximate optimization of an objective function for moderately large  $\gamma$
- The solution requires a function  $G_t$  which modifies the drift
  - It can be characterized by a first order PDE
- Ridge superposition objective functions permit variable augmentation which simplifies the structure of the problem
- Hopefully you will find this a useful way of better understanding Markov chains for sampling and optimization
- Opportunity for additional developments