Information and Statistics and Practical Achievement of Shannon Capacity

Andrew Barron Department of Statistics Yale University

TUTORIAL

Workshop on Information Theory and Applications San Diego, February 9, 2011

▲ @ ▶ ▲ ≧ ▶ ▲

ъ

Outline

- Information and Probability:
 - Monotonicity of Information
 - Large Deviation Exponents
 - Information Stability (AEP)
 - Central Limit Theorem
- Information and Statistics: (with Yang, Li, Luo, Huang)
 - Nonparametric Rates of Estimation
 - Minimum Description Length Principle
 - Penalized Likelihood
 - Implications for Maximum Likelihood, Bayes, and MDL
- Achieving Shannon Capacity: (with A. Joseph)
 - Gaussian Channel with Power Constraints
 - History of Methods
 - Communication by Regression
 - Sparse Superposition Coding
 - Adaptive Successive Decoding
 - Rate, Reliability, and Computational Complexity

▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ 三 ■ ∽ � � �

Formulation and Performance of Statistical Procedures

- Information and Statistics: (with Yang, Li, Luo, Huang)
 - Nonparametric Estimation Information-theoretic determination of minimax rates
 - Minimum Description Length Principle
 - Penalized Likelihood statistically valid and information valid penalties
 - Implications for Maximum Likelihood, Bayes, and MDL
 - Fast and Accurate Computation in Sparse Regression

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Practical Communication by Regression

- Achieving Shannon Capacity: (with A. Joseph)
 - Gaussian Channel with Power Constraints
 - History of Methods
 - Communication by Regression
 - Sparse Superposition Coding
 - Adaptive Successive Decoding
 - Rate, Reliability, and Computational Complexity

Probability Limits and Monotonicity

ACT I

- Information and Probability:
 - Monotonicity of Information
 - Markov chains, martingales
 - Central Limit Theorem
 - Information Stability (asymptotic equipartition property)
 - Large Deviation Exponents (law of large numbers)

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶

• Information Inequality $X \rightarrow X'$

 $D(P_{X'} \| P_{X'}^*) \le D(P_X \| P_X^*)$

Chain Rule

$$D(P_{X,X'} || P_{X,X'}^*) = D(P_{X'} || P_{X'}^*) + E D(P_{X|X'} || P_{X|X'}^*)$$

= $D(P_X || P_X^*) + E D(P_{X'|X} || P_{X'|X}^*)$

• Markov Chain $\{X_n\}$ with P^* invariant

$$D(P_{X_n} \| P^*) \leq D(P_{X_m} \| P^*)$$
 for $n > m$

Convergence

 $\log p_n(X_n)/p^*(X_n)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_1(P)$

▲□ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ● 三 ● の Q ()

• Information Inequality $X \rightarrow X'$

$$D(P_{X'} \| P_{X'}^*) \le D(P_X \| P_X^*)$$

Chain Rule

$$D(P_{X,X'} || P_{X,X'}^*) = D(P_{X'} || P_{X'}^*) + E D(P_{X|X'} || P_{X|X'}^*)$$

= $D(P_X || P_X^*) + E D(P_{X'|X} || P_{X'|X}^*)$

• Markov Chain $\{X_n\}$ with P^* invariant

$$D(P_{X_n} \| P^*) \leq D(P_{X_m} \| P^*)$$
 for $n > m$

Convergence

 $\log p_n(X_n)/p^*(X_n)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_1(P)$

▲□ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ● 三 ● の Q ()

• Information Inequality $X \rightarrow X'$

$$D(P_{X'} \| P_{X'}^*) \le D(P_X \| P_X^*)$$

Chain Rule

 $D(P_{X,X'} || P_{X,X'}^*) = D(P_{X'} || P_{X'}^*) + E D(P_{X|X'} || P_{X|X'}^*)$ $= D(P_X || P_X^*) + E D(P_{X'|X} || P_{X'|X}^*)$

• Markov Chain $\{X_n\}$ with P^* invariant

$$D(P_{X_n} \| P^*) \leq D(P_{X_m} \| P^*)$$
 for $n > m$

Convergence

 $\log p_n(X_n)/p^*(X_n)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_1(P)$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○)

• Information Inequality $X \rightarrow X'$

$$D(P_{X'} \| P_{X'}^*) \le D(P_X \| P_X^*)$$

Chain Rule

 $D(P_{X,X'} || P_{X,X'}^*) = D(P_{X'} || P_{X'}^*) + E D(P_{X|X'} || P_{X|X'}^*)$ $= D(P_X || P_X^*) + 0$

• Markov Chain $\{X_n\}$ with P^* invariant

$$D(P_{X_n} \| P^*) \leq D(P_{X_m} \| P^*)$$
 for $n > m$

Convergence

 $\log p_n(X_n)/p^*(X_n)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_1(P)$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○)

• Information Inequality $X \rightarrow X'$

$$D(P_{X'} \| P_{X'}^*) \le D(P_X \| P_X^*)$$

Chain Rule

 $D(P_{X,X'} || P_{X,X'}^*) = D(P_{X'} || P_{X'}^*) + E D(P_{X|X'} || P_{X|X'}^*)$ $= D(P_X || P_X^*)$

• Markov Chain $\{X_n\}$ with P^* invariant

$$D(P_{X_n} \| P^*) \leq D(P_{X_m} \| P^*)$$
 for $n > m$

Convergence

 $\log p_n(X_n)/p^*(X_n)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_1(P)$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○)

• Information Inequality $X \rightarrow X'$

$$D(P_{X'} \| P_{X'}^*) \le D(P_X \| P_X^*)$$

Chain Rule

$$D(P_{X,X'} || P_{X,X'}^*) = D(P_{X'} || P_{X'}^*) + E D(P_{X|X'} || P_{X|X'}^*)$$

= $D(P_X || P_X^*)$

• Markov Chain $\{X_n\}$ with P^* invariant

 $D(P_{X_n} \| P^*) \le D(P_{X_m} \| P^*)$ for n > m

Convergence

 $\log p_n(X_n)/p^*(X_n)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_1(P)$

▲冊▶▲目▶▲目▶ 目 のへで

• Information Inequality $X \rightarrow X'$

$$D(P_{X'} \| P_{X'}^*) \le D(P_{X'} \| P_{X'}^*)$$

Chain Rule

$$D(P_{X,X'} || P_{X,X'}^*) = D(P_{X'} || P_{X'}^*) + E D(P_{X|X'} || P_{X|X'}^*)$$

= $D(P_X || P_X^*)$

• Markov Chain $\{X_n\}$ with P^* invariant

$$D(P_{X_n} \| P^*) \leq D(P_{X_m} \| P^*)$$
 for $n > m$

Convergence

 $\log p_n(X_n)/p^*(X_n)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_1(P)$

Pinsker-Kullback-Csiszar inequalities

$$A \leq D + \sqrt{2D}$$
 $V \leq \sqrt{2D}$

Martingale Convergence and Limits of Information

- Nonnegative Martingales ρ_n correspond to the density of a measure Q_n given by Q_n(A) = E[ρ_n1_A].
- Limits can be established in the same way by the chain rule for *n* > *m*

$$D(Q_n \| P) = D(Q_m \| P) + \int \left(\rho_n \log \frac{\rho_n}{\rho_m} \right) dP$$

Thus D_n = D(Q_n||P) is an increasing sequence. When D_n is bounded ρ_n is a Cauchy sequences in L₁(P) with limit ρ defining a measure Q, also, log ρ_n is a Cauchy sequence in L₁(Q) and

 $D(Q_n || P) \nearrow D(Q || P)$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○)

- Central Limit Theorem Setting:
 - $\{X_i\}$ i.i.d. mean zero, finite variance
 - $P_n = P_{Y_n}$ is distribution of $Y_n = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + ... + X_n}{\sqrt{n}}$

P* is the corresponding normal distribution

• For *n* > *m*

 $D(P_n \| P^*) < D(P_m \| P^*)$

・ 同 ト ・ 臣 ト ・ 臣 ト …

- Central Limit Theorem Setting:
 - $\{X_i\}$ i.i.d. mean zero, finite variance
 - $P_n = P_{Y_n}$ is distribution of $Y_n = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n}{\sqrt{n}}$

P* is the corresponding normal distribution

• For *n* > *m*

$$D(P_n \| P^*) < D(P_m \| P^*)$$

• Chain Rule for *n* > *m*: more mysterious in this case

$$D(P_{Y_m,Y_n} \| P_{Y_m,Y_n}^*) = D(P_{Y_n} \| P^*) + ED(P_{Y_m|Y_n} \| P_{Y_m|Y_n}^*)$$
$$= D(P_{Y_m} \| P^*) + ED(P_{Y_n|Y_m} \| P_{Y_n|Y_m}^*)$$

- Central Limit Theorem Setting:
 - $\{X_i\}$ i.i.d. mean zero, finite variance
 - $P_n = P_{Y_n}$ is distribution of $Y_n = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n}{\sqrt{n}}$

P* is the corresponding normal distribution

• For *n* > *m*

$$D(P_n \| P^*) < D(P_m \| P^*)$$

• Chain Rule for *n* > *m*: more mysterious in this case

$$D(P_{Y_m,Y_n} || P_{Y_m,Y_n}^*) = D(P_n || P^*) + ED(P_{Y_m |Y_n} || P_{Y_m |Y_n}^*)$$

= $D(P_m || P^*) + ED(P_{Y_n |Y_m} || P_{Y_n |Y_m}^*)$
= $D(P_m || P^*) + D(P_{n-m} || P^*)$

(同) (三) (三)

Entropy Power Inequality

$$e^{2H(X+X')} \geq e^{2H(X)} + e^{2H(X')}$$

yields

 $\textit{D}(\textit{P}_{2n} \| \textit{P}^*) \leq \textit{D}(\textit{P}_n \| \textit{P}^*)$

• Information Theoretic proof of CLT (B. 1986):

 $D(P_n || P^*) \rightarrow 0$ iff finite

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○)

Entropy Power Inequality

$$e^{2H(X+X')} \geq e^{2H(X)} + e^{2H(X')}$$

yields

$$D(P_{2n}\|P^*) \leq D(P_n\|P^*)$$

• Information Theoretic proof of CLT (B. 1986):

 $D(P_n || P^*) \rightarrow 0$ iff finite

▲□ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ● 三 ● の Q ()

Entropy Power Inequality

$$e^{2H(X+X')} \ge e^{2H(X)} + e^{2H(X')}$$

yields

$$D(P_{2n}\|P^*) \leq D(P_n\|P^*)$$

• Information Theoretic proof of CLT (B. 1986):

 $D(P_n || P^*) \rightarrow 0$ iff finite

• (Johnson and B. 2004)

$$D(P_n \| P^*) \le rac{2R}{n-1+2R} D(P_1 \| P^*)$$

Entropy Power Inequality

$$e^{2H(X+X')} \geq e^{2H(X)} + e^{2H(X')}$$

Generalized Entropy Power Inequality (Madiman&B.2006)

$$e^{H(X_1+\ldots+X_n)} \geq \frac{1}{r(\mathcal{S})} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} e^{2H(\sum_{i \in s} X_i)}$$

- Proof: simple *L*₂ projection properties of entropy derivative.
- Consequence, for all n > m,

$D(P_n \| P^*) \leq D(P_m \| P^*)$

[Madiman and B. 2006, Tolino and Verdú 2006. Earlier elaborate proof by Artstein, Ball, Barthe, Naor 2004]

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q ()

Information-Stability and Error Probability of Tests

 Stability of log-likelihood ratios (AEP) (B. 1985, Orey 1985, Cover and Algoet 1986)

 $\frac{1}{n}\log\frac{p(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)}{q(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)} \to \mathcal{D}(P||Q) \text{ with } P - prob 1$

where $\mathcal{D}(P||Q)$ is the relative entropy rate.

Optimal statistical test: region A_n has asymptotic P-power
1 (with at most finitely many mistakes P(A^c_n i.o.) = 0) and has optimal Q-prob of error

$$Q(A_n) = \exp\{-n[\mathcal{D} + o(1)]\}$$

• General form of the Chernoff-Stein Lemma.

Barron

• Relative entropy rate

$$\mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{P}\|\boldsymbol{Q}) = \lim \frac{1}{n} D(\boldsymbol{P}_{\underline{Y}^n} \| \boldsymbol{Q}_{\underline{Y}^n})$$

<ロ> <同> <同> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回</p>

Information-Stability and Error Probability of Tests

 Stability of log-likelihood ratios (AEP) (B. 1985, Orey 1985, Cover and Algoet 1986)

$$\frac{1}{n}\log\frac{p(Y_1,Y_2,\ldots,Y_n)}{q(Y_1,Y_2,\ldots,Y_n)} \to \mathcal{D}(P\|Q) \text{ with } P-\text{prob 1}$$

where $\mathcal{D}(P||Q)$ is the relative entropy rate.

Optimal statistical test: region A_n has asymptotic P-power
1 (with at most finitely many mistakes P(A^c_n i.o.) = 0) and has optimal Q-prob of error

$$Q(A_n) = \exp\left\{-n\left[\mathcal{D} + o(1)\right]\right\}$$

• General form of the Chernoff-Stein Lemma.

Barron

• Relative entropy rate

$$\mathcal{D} = \lim \frac{1}{n} D(P_{\underline{Y}^n} \| Q_{\underline{Y}^n})$$

イロン 不得 とくほ とくほ とう

Optimality of the Relative Entropy Exponent

Information Inequality

$$D(P_{\underline{Y}^n} \| Q_{\underline{Y}^n}) \geq P(A_n) \log rac{P(A_n)}{Q(A_n)} + P(A_n^c) \log rac{P(A_n^c)}{Q(A_n^c)}$$

Consequence

$$D(P_{\underline{Y}^n} \| Q_{\underline{Y}^n}) \ge P(A_n) \log \frac{1}{Q(A_n)} - H_2(P(A_n))$$

Equivalently

$$Q(A_n) \geq \exp\left\{-\frac{D(P_{\underline{Y}^n} \| Q_{\underline{Y}^n}) - H_2(P(A_n))}{P(A_n)}\right\}$$

 For any sequence of pairs of joint distributions, no sequence of tests with P(A_n) approaching 1 can have better Q(A_n) exponent than D(P_{Yⁿ} || Q_{Yⁿ}).

- P*: Information projection of Q onto convex C
- Pythagorean identity (Csiszar 75, Topsoe 79): For P in C

$$D(P||Q) \geq D(C||Q) + D(P||P^*)$$

where

$$D(C||Q) = \inf_{P \in C} D(P||Q)$$

- Empirical distribution *P_n*, from i.i.d. sample.
- If D(interior C || Q) = D(C || Q) then

$$Q\{P_n \in C\} = \exp\{-n[D(C||Q) + o(1)]\}$$

and the conditional distribution $P_{Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n | \{P_n \in C\}}$ converges to $P^*_{Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n}$ in the I-divergence rate sense (Csiszar 1985)

|▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ □ 臣 = ∽ 의 Q ()~

- P*: Information projection of Q onto convex C
- Pythagorean identity (Csiszar 75, Topsoe 79): For P in C

$$D(P||Q) \geq D(C||Q) + D(P||P^*)$$

where

$$D(C||Q) = \inf_{P \in C} D(P||Q)$$

- Empirical distribution *P_n*, from i.i.d. sample
- If D(interior C || Q) = D(C || Q) then

 $Q\{P_n \in C\} = \exp\{-n[D(C||Q) + o(1)]\}$

and the conditional distribution $P_{Y_1, Y_2,...,Y_n|\{P_n \in C\}}$ converges to $P^*_{Y_1, Y_2,...,Y_n}$ in the I-divergence rate sense (Csiszar 1985)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 ・ のへで

- P*: Information projection of Q onto convex C
- Pythagorean identity (Csiszar 75, Topsoe 79): For P in C

$$D(P||Q) \geq D(C||Q) + D(P||P^*)$$

where

$$D(C||Q) = \inf_{P \in C} D(P||Q)$$

- Empirical distribution *P_n*, from i.i.d. sample
- If D(interior C || Q) = D(C || Q) then

$$Q\{P_n \in C\} = \exp\{-n[D(C||Q) + o(1)]\}$$

and the conditional distribution $P_{Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n | \{P_n \in C\}}$ converges to $P^*_{Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n}$ in the I-divergence rate sense (Csiszar 1985)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 ・ のへで

- P*: Information projection of Q onto convex C
- Pythagorean identity (Csiszar 75, Topsoe 79): For P in C

$$D(P \| Q) \geq D(C \| Q) + D(P \| P^*)$$

where

$$D(C||Q) = \inf_{P \in C} D(P||Q)$$

- Empirical distribution P_n . Choose C =half-space.
- Large deviations bound

$$Q\{P_n \in C\} \le \exp\{-nD(C||Q)\}$$

• Information-theoretic representation of Chernoff bound.

・ 回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

The special case of Bernoulli Trials

- Y_1, \ldots, Y_n independent Bernoulli *p*. Let $p^* > p$.
- Let p̂ be the relative frequency of occurrences of 1.
- Binomial Tail inequality

 $P\{\hat{p} \ge p^*\} \le \exp\{-n D_{Ber}(p^* || p)\}$

- Lower bounds on $D_{Ber}(p^* || p)$
 - $D_{Ber}(p^* \| p) \ge 2(p^* p)^2$ (yields Hoeffdings inequality)
 - $D_{Ber}(p^* \| p) \ge D_{Poi}(p^* \| p)$ (yields binomial \le Poisson tails)

Here

- $D_{Ber}(p^* \| p) = p^* \log p^* / p + (1 p^*) \log(1 p^*) / (1 p)$
- $D_{Poi}(p^* || p) = p^* \log p^* / p + p p^*$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ → □ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Probability Limits and Monotonicity

ACT I (Summary)

Information inequality and chain rule provide:

- Monotonicity of information and convergence for
 - Markov chain distributions
 - martingales
 - central limit theorem
- Information stability
 - asymptotic equipartition property
 - best exponents of statistical tests
- Large deviation exponents (law of large numbers)
- Conditional limit theorem

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Information and Statistics

ACT II

• Fundamental Limits of Statistical Estimation: (with Y. Yang)

 Nonparametric Estimation Information-theoretic determination of minimax rates Shannon Capacity determines limits of statistical accuracy

- Formulation of Adaptive Statistical Estimators: (with J. Li, Xi Luo, C. Huang)
 - Minimum Description Length Principle
 - Penalized Likelihood statistically valid and information valid penalties
 - Implications for Maximum Likelihood, Bayes, and MDL

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Information Capacity

• A Channel $\theta \rightarrow \underline{Y}$ is a family of probability distributions

$$\{P_{\underline{Y}|\theta}: \theta \in \Theta\}$$

Information Capacity

$$C = \max_{P_{\theta}} I(\theta; \underline{Y})$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Communications Capacity

- *C_{com}* is maximum rate of reliable communication
- The rate is the number of message bits divided by the number of uses of a channel
- Shannon Channel Capacity Theorem (Shannon 1948)

 $C_{com} = C$

Data Compression Capacity

Minimax Redundancy

$$\textit{Red} = \min_{\textit{Q}_{\underline{Y}}} \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \textit{D}(\textit{P}_{\underline{Y}|\theta} \| \textit{Q}_{\underline{Y}})$$

Data Compression Capacity Theorem

Red = C

(Gallager, Davisson & Leon-Garcia, Ryabko)

Statistical Risk Setting

Loss function

 $\ell(\theta, \theta')$

Kullback loss

$$\ell(\theta, \theta') = D(P_{Y|\theta} \| P_{Y|\theta'})$$

• Squared metric loss, e.g. squared Hellinger loss:

$$\ell(\theta, \theta') = d^2(\theta, \theta')$$

Statistical risk equals expected loss

$$Risk = E[\ell(\theta, \hat{\theta})]$$

- 신문 () - 신문

Statistical Capacity

- Estimators: $\hat{\theta}_n$
- Based on sample <u>Y</u> of size n
- Minimax Risk (Wald):

$$r_n = \min_{\hat{\theta}_n} \max_{\theta} E\ell(\theta, \hat{\theta}_n)$$

ъ

Ingredients in Determining Minimax Rates of Statistical Risk

• Kolmogorov Metric Entropy of $S \subset \Theta$:

 $H(\epsilon) = \max\{\log Card(\Theta_{\epsilon}) : d(\theta, \theta') > \epsilon \text{ for } \theta, \theta' \in \Theta_{\epsilon} \subset S\}$

• Loss Assumption, for $\theta, \theta' \in S$:

$$\ell(\theta, \theta') \sim D(P_{Y|\theta} \| P_{Y|\theta'}) \sim d^2(\theta, \theta')$$

📃 ૧૧૯
Information-theoretic Determination of Minimax Rates

- For infinite-dimensional Θ
- With metric entropy evaluated a critical separation ϵ_n
- Statistical Capacity Theorem Minimax Risk \sim Info Capacity Rate \sim Metric Entropy rate

$$r_n \sim \frac{C_n}{n} \sim \frac{H(\epsilon_n)}{n} \sim \epsilon_n^2$$

(Yang 1997, Yang and B. 1999, Haussler and Opper 1997)

・ 同 ト ・ 臣 ト ・ 臣 ト

Information Thy Formulation of Statistical Principles

Start with Data Compression: Shannon Codes

• Kraft-McMillan characterization: Uniquely decodeable codelengths

$$L(\underline{x}), \quad \underline{x} \in \underline{\mathcal{X}}, \qquad \qquad \sum_{\underline{x}} 2^{-L(\underline{x})} \le 1$$
$$L(x) = \log 1/q(x) \qquad \qquad q(x) = 2^{-L(\underline{x})}$$

Operational meaning of probability:

A probability distribution q is given by a choice of code

Codelength Comparison

- Targets *p* are possible distributions
- Compare codelength log $1/q(\underline{x})$ to targets log $1/p(\underline{x})$
- Redundancy or regret

$$\left[\log 1/q(\underline{x}) - \log 1/p(\underline{x})\right]$$

Expected redundancy

$$D(P_{\underline{X}} \| Q_{\underline{X}}) = E_P \Big[\log rac{p(\underline{X})}{q(\underline{X})} \Big]$$

Shannon idealized codelength (expectation optimal):

 $\log 1/p(\underline{Y})$

• But true *p* is not generally known

Information Thy Formulation of Statistical Principle

Minimum Description-Length (Rissanen 1978,1983,...,B. 1985, B.&Cover 1991, ...)

Statistical measure of complexity of <u>Y</u>

$$L(\underline{Y}) = \min_{q} \begin{bmatrix} \log 1/q(\underline{Y}) & + & L(q) \end{bmatrix}$$

bits for \underline{x} given q + bits for q

- It is an information-theoretically valid codelength for <u>Y</u> for any L(q) satisfying Kraft summability.
- The minimization is for *q* in a family indexed by parameters {*p*_θ(<u>Y</u>) : θ ∈ Θ} or by functions {*p*_f(<u>Y</u>) : *f* ∈ *F*}
- The estimator \hat{p} is $p_{\hat{\theta}}$ or $p_{\hat{f}}$.

<ロ> <同> <同> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回</p>

- From training data $\underline{x} \Rightarrow \text{estimator } \hat{p}$
- Generalize to subsequent data <u>x'</u>
- Want log $1/\hat{p}(\underline{x}')$ to compare favorably to log $1/p(\underline{x}')$
- For targets *p* close to or in the families
- With <u>X'</u> expectation, loss becomes Kullback divergence
- Bhattacharyya, Hellinger, Rényi loss also relevant

▲□ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ● ■ ● ● ● ●



Kullback Information-divergence:

$$D(P_{\underline{X}'} \| Q_{\underline{X}'}) = E \big[\log p(\underline{X}') / q(\underline{X}') \big]$$

Bhattacharyya, Hellinger, Rényi divergence:

$$d^{2}(P_{\underline{X}'}, Q_{\underline{X}'}) = 2\log 1/E[q(\underline{X}')/p(\underline{X}')]^{1/2}$$

• Product model case: $D(P_{\underline{X}'} || Q_{\underline{X}'}) = n D(P || Q)$

$$d^2(P_{\underline{X}'},Q_{\underline{X}'}) = n d^2(P,Q)$$

• Relationship: $d^2 \le D \le (2+b) d^2$ if the log density ratio $\le b$.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

∃ \$\$\$<</p>

MDL Analysis

• Redundancy of Two-stage Code:

$$Red_n = \frac{1}{n}E\left\{\min_{q}\left[\log\frac{1}{q(\underline{Y})} + L(q)\right] - \log\frac{1}{p(\underline{Y})}\right\}$$

• bounded by Index of Resolvability:

$$\textit{Res}_n(p) = \min_q \left\{ D(p||q) + rac{L(q)}{n}
ight\}$$

• Statistical Risk Analysis in i.i.d. case with $\mathcal{L}(q) = 2L(q)$:

$$Ed^2(p,\hat{p}) \leq \min_{q} \left\{ D(p\|q) + \frac{\mathcal{L}(q)}{n} \right\}$$

 B. 1985, B.&Cover 1991, B., Rissanen, Yu 1998, Li 1999, Grunwald 2007 • Risk bound reveals adaptation properties:

$$E d^2(p, \hat{p}) \leq \min_{q} \left\{ D(p \| q) + \mathcal{L}(q)/n \right\}$$

Special Cases:

Traditional parametric: $L(\theta) = (dim/2) \log n + C$ Nonparametric: L(q) = Metric entropy (log cardinality of optimal net) Idealized: L(q) = Kolmogorov complexity

• Adaptation:

Achieves minimax optimal rates simultaneously in every computable subfamily of distributions

ヘロト 人間 ト くほ ト くほ トー

MDL Analysis: Key to risk consideration

Discrepancy between training sample and future

$$\textit{Disc}(p) = \log rac{p(\underline{Y})}{q(\underline{Y})} - \log rac{p(\underline{Y}')}{q(\underline{Y}')}$$

- Future term may be replaced by population counterpart
- Discrepancy control: If L(q) satisfies the Kraft sum then

$$E\left[\inf_{q}\left\{Disc(p,q)+2L(q)\right\}\right]\geq 0$$

• From which the risk bound follows:

 $\textit{Risk} \leq \textit{Redundancy} \leq \textit{Resolvability}$

 $E d^2(p, \hat{p}) \leq Red_n \leq Res_n(p)$

Statistically valid penalized likelihood

- Likelihood penalties arise via
 - number parameters: $pen(p_{\theta}) = \lambda dim(\theta)$
 - roughness penalties: $pen(p_f) = \lambda ||f^s||^2$
 - coefficient penalties: $pen(\theta) = \lambda \|\theta\|_1$
 - Bayes estimators: $pen(\theta) = \log 1/w(\theta)$
 - Maximum likelihood: $pen(\theta) = constant$
 - MDL:
- Penalized likelihood:

$$\hat{p} = rg\min_{q} \{ \log 1/q(\underline{Y}) + pen(q) \}$$

 Under what condition on the penalty will it be true that the sample based estimate p̂ has risk controlled by the population counterpart?

$$\mathit{Ed}^2(
ho, \hat{
ho}) \leq \inf_q ig\{ \mathit{D}(
ho\|q) \ + \ rac{\mathit{pen}(q)}{n} ig\}$$

▲御 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ 二 臣

Statistically valid penalized likelihood

- Result with J. Li, C. Huang, X. Luo (Festschrift for J. Rissanen 2008)
- Penalized Likelihood:

$$\hat{p} = rg\min_{q} \left\{ rac{1}{n} \log rac{1}{q(\underline{Y})} + pen_n(q)
ight\}$$

Penalty condition:

$$pen_n(q) \geq rac{1}{n} \min_{ ilde{q}} \left\{ 2L(ilde{q}) + \Delta_n(p, ilde{q})
ight\}$$

where the distortion $\Delta_n(q, \tilde{q})$ is the difference in discrepancies at q and a representer \tilde{q}

• Risk conclusion:

$$Ed^2(p,\hat{q}) \leq \inf_q \left\{ D(p\|q) + pen_n(q) \right\}$$

個 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Information-theoretic valid penalties

Penalized likelihood

$$\min_{\theta \in \Theta} \left\{ \log \frac{1}{p_{\theta}(\underline{x})} + \operatorname{Pen}(\theta) \right\}$$

- Possibly uncountable Θ
- Valid codelength interpretation if there exists a countable
 and L satisfying Kraft such that the above is not less than

$$\min_{\tilde{\theta} \in \tilde{\Theta}} \left\{ \log \frac{1}{\rho_{\tilde{\theta}}(\underline{x})} + L(\tilde{\theta}) \right\}$$

Equivalently:

Penalized likelihood with a penalty Pen(θ) is information-theoretically valid with uncountable Θ, if there is a countable Θ and Kraft summable L(θ), such that, for every θ in Θ, there is a representor θ in Θ such that

$$extsf{Pen}(heta) \ \geq \ L(ilde{ heta}) + \log rac{ extsf{p}_{ heta}(ilde{ heta})}{ extsf{p}_{ ilde{ heta}}(ilde{ heta})}$$

This is the link between uncountable and countable cases

Statistical-Risk Valid Penalty

For an uncountable Θ and a penalty Pen(θ), θ ∈ Θ, suppose there is a countable Θ̃ and L(θ̃) = 2L(θ̃) where L(θ̃) satisfies Kraft, such that, for all <u>x</u>, θ*,

$$\begin{split} \min_{\theta \in \Theta} \left\{ \left[\log \frac{p_{\theta^*}(\underline{x})}{p_{\theta}(\underline{x})} - d_n^2(\theta^*, \theta) \right] + \operatorname{\textit{Pen}}(\theta) \right\} \\ \geq \min_{\tilde{\theta} \in \tilde{\Theta}} \left\{ \left[\log \frac{p_{\theta^*}(\underline{x})}{p_{\tilde{\theta}}(\underline{x})} - d_n^2(\theta^*, \tilde{\theta}) \right] + \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\theta}) \right\} \end{split}$$

- Proof of the risk conclusion: The second expression has expectation ≥ 0, so the first expression does too.
- This condition and result is obtained with J. Li and X. Luo (in Rissanen Festschrift 2008)

ℓ_1 Penalties are codelength and risk valid

Regression Setting: Linear Span of a Dictionary

- *G* is a dictionary of candidate basis functions E.g. wavelets, splines, polynomials, trigonometric terms, sigmoids, explanatory variables and their interactions
- Candidate functions in the linear span $f_{\theta}(x) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \theta_g g(x)$
- weighted ℓ_1 norm of coefficients $\|\theta\|_1 = \sum_g a_g |\theta_g|$
- weights $a_g = ||g||_n$ where $||g||_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^2(x_i)$
- Regression $p_{\theta}(y|x) = \text{Normal}(f_{\theta}(x), \sigma^2)$
- ℓ_1 Penalty (Lasso, Basis Pursuit)

 $pen(\theta) = \lambda \|\theta\|_1$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○)

Regression with ℓ_1 penalty

• ℓ_1 penalized log-density estimation, i.i.d. case

$$\hat{\theta} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{1}{p_{f_{\theta}}(\underline{x})} + \lambda_n \|\theta\|_1 \right\}$$

Regression with Gaussian model

$$\min_{\theta} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - f_{\theta}(x_i))^2 + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi\sigma^2 + \frac{\lambda_n}{\sigma} \|\theta\|_1 \right\}$$

Codelength Valid and Risk Valid for

$$\lambda_n \ge \sqrt{\frac{2\log(2p)}{n}}$$
 with $p = Card(\mathcal{G})$

Adaptive risk bound specialized to regression

• Again for fixed design and $\lambda_n = \sqrt{\frac{2 \log 2p}{n}}$, multiplying through by $4\sigma^2$,

$$E\|f^* - f_{\hat{\theta}}\|_n^2 \leq \inf_{\theta} \left\{ 2\|f^* - f_{\theta}\|_n^2 + 4\sigma\lambda_n\|\theta\|_1 \right\}$$

- In particular for all targets $f^* = f_{\theta^*}$ with finite $\|\theta^*\|$ the risk bound $4\sigma\lambda_n\|\theta^*\|$ is of order $\sqrt{\frac{\log M}{n}}$
- Details in Barron, Luo (proceedings Workshop on Information Theory Methods in Science & Eng. 2008), Tampere, Finland

<ロ> <同> <同> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回</p>

• The variable complexity cover property is demonstrated by choosing the representer \tilde{f} of f_{θ} of the form

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \frac{v}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} g_k(x)$$

*g*₁,..., *g_m* picked at random from *G*, independently, where *g* arises with probability proportional to |*θ_g*|

ACT II (Summary)

- Shannon Capacity determines limits of statistical accuracy
- Adaptation by penalized likelihood
- Information-theoretic variable complexity cover property
- Determines risk valid and codelength valid penalties
- Risk is controlled by the population counterpart of penalized criterion

 $min_q \{D(p||q) + pen(q)/n\}$

▲冊▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ(?)

Practical Communication by Regression

ACT III

- Achieving Shannon Capacity: (with A. Joseph)
 - Gaussian Channel with Power Constraints
 - History of Methods
 - Communication by Regression
 - Sparse Superposition Coding
 - Adaptive Successive Decoding
 - Rate, Reliability, and Computational Complexity

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲

Shannon Formulation

• Input bits:
$$u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_K)$$

 \downarrow
• Encoded: $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$
 \downarrow
• Channel: $p(y|x)$
 \downarrow
• Received: $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$
 \downarrow
• Decoded: $\hat{u} = (\hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2, \dots, \hat{u}_K)$
• Rate: $R = \frac{K}{n}$ Capacity $C =$
• Reliability: Want small Prob{ $\hat{u} \neq u$ }

and small $\mathsf{Prob}\{Fraction \ mistakes \geq \alpha\}$

 $\max I(X; Y)$

Gaussian Noise Channel

• Input bits:
$$u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_K)$$

 \downarrow
• Encoded: $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ ave $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \leq P$
 \downarrow
• Channel: $p(y|x)$ $y = x + \varepsilon$ $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$
 \downarrow
• Received: $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$
 \downarrow
• Decoded: $\hat{u} = (\hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2, \dots, \hat{u}_K)$
• Rate: $R = \frac{K}{n}$ Capacity $C = \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + P/\sigma^2)$
• Reliability: Want small Prob{ $\hat{u} \neq u$ }
and small Prob{*Fraction mistakes* $\geq \alpha$ }

Shannon Theory meets Coding Practice

- The Gaussian noise channel is the basic model for
 - wireless communication
 - radio, cell phones, television, satellite, space
 - wired communication

internet, telephone, cable

- Forney and Ungerboeck 1998 review
 - modulation, coding, and shaping for the Gaussian channel
- Richardson and Urbanke 2008 cover much of the state of the art in the analysis of coding
 - There are fast encoding and decoding algorithms, with empirically good performance for LDPC and turbo codes
 - Some tools for their theoretical analysis, but obstacles remain for mathematical proof of these schemes achieving rates up to capacity for the Gaussian channel
- Arikan 2009, Arikan and Teletar 2009 polar codes
 - Adapting polar codes to Gaussian channel (Abbe and B. 2011, in prog.)
- Method here is different. Prior knowledge of the above is not necessary to follow what we present as a set as a source

- Input bits: $u = (u_1 \dots u_K)$
- Coefficients: $\beta = (00 * 00000000 * 00 \dots 0 * 00000)^T$
- Sparsity: L entries non-zero out of N
- Matrix: X, n by N, all entries indep Normal(0, 1)
- Codeword: $X\beta$, superposition of a subset of columns
- Receive: $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$, a statistical linear model
- Decode: $\hat{\beta}$ and \hat{u} from X,y

◎ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ● の Q ()

- Input bits: $u = (u_1 \dots u_K)$
- Coefficients: $\beta = (00 * 000000000 * 00...0 * 000000)^T$
- Sparsity: L entries non-zero out of N
- Matrix: X, n by N, all entries indep Normal(0, 1)
- Codeword: $X\beta$
- Receive: $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$
- Decode: $\hat{\beta}$ and \hat{u} from X,y
- Rate: $R = \frac{K}{n}$ from $K = \log{\binom{N}{L}}$, near $L \log{\binom{N}{L}e}$

- Input bits: $u = (u_1 \dots u_K)$
- Coefficients: $\beta = (00 * 00000000 * 00 \dots 0 * 00000)^T$
- Sparsity: L entries non-zero out of N
- Matrix: X, n by N, all entries indep Normal(0,1)
- Codeword: $X\beta$
- Receive: $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$
- Decode: $\hat{\beta}$ and \hat{u} from X, y
- Rate: $R = \frac{K}{n}$ from $K = \log {\binom{N}{L}}$
- Reliability: small Prob{*Fraction* $\hat{\beta}$ *mistakes* $\geq \alpha$ }, small α

- Input bits: $u = (u_1 \dots u_K)$
- Coefficients: $\beta = (00 * 00000000 * 00 \dots 0 * 00000)^T$
- Sparsity: L entries non-zero out of N
- Matrix: X, n by N, all entries indep Normal(0,1)
- Codeword: $X\beta$
- Receive: $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$
- Decode: $\hat{\beta}$ and \hat{u} from X, y
- Rate: $R = \frac{K}{n}$ from $K = \log {\binom{N}{L}}$
- Reliability: small Prob{*Fraction* $\hat{\beta}$ *mistakes* $\geq \alpha$ }, small α
- Outer RS code: rate $1-2\alpha$, corrects remaining mistakes
- Overall rate: $R_{tot} = (1-2\alpha)R$

- Input bits: $u = (u_1 \dots u_K)$
- Coefficients: $\beta = (00 * 00000000 * 00 \dots 0 * 00000)^{T}$
- Sparsity: L entries non-zero out of N
- Matrix: X, n by N, all entries indep Normal(0, 1)
- Codeword: $X\beta$
- Receive: $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$
- **Decode**: $\hat{\beta}$ and \hat{u} from *X*, *y*
- Rate: $R = \frac{K}{n}$ from $K = \log {\binom{N}{L}}$
- Reliability: small Prob{*Fraction* $\hat{\beta}$ *mistakes* $\geq \alpha$ }, small α
- Outer RS code: rate $1-2\alpha$, corrects remaining mistakes
- Overall rate: $R_{tot} = (1-2\alpha)R$. Is it reliable with rate up to capacity?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ → □ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Partitioned Superposition Code

- Input bits: $u = (u_1 ..., ..., ..., ..., u_K)$
- Coefficients: $\beta = (00 * 00000, 00000 * 00, \dots, 0 * 000000)$
- Sparsity: *L* sections, each of size B = N/L, a power of 2. 1 non-zero entry in each section
- Indices of nonzeros: (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_L) directly specified by u
- Matrix: X, n by N, splits into L sections
- Codeword: $X\beta$
- Receive: $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$
- Decode: $\hat{\beta}$ and \hat{u}
- Rate: $R = \frac{K}{n}$ from $K = L \log \frac{N}{L} = L \log B$ may set B = n and $L = nR/\log n$
- Reliability: small Prob{*Fraction* $\hat{\beta}$ *mistakes* $\geq \alpha$ }
- Outer RS code: Corrects remaining mistakes
- Overall rate: up to capacity?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ → □ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Power Allocation

- Coefficients: β=(00*00000, 00000*00,..., 0*00000)
- Indices of nonzeros: $sent = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_L)$
- Coeff. values: $\beta_{j_{\ell}} = \sqrt{P_{\ell}}$ for $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, L$
- Power control: $\sum_{\ell=1}^{L} P_{\ell} = P$
- Codewords: $X\beta$, have average power P
- Power Allocations
 - Constant power: $P_{\ell} = P/L$
 - Variable power: P_{ℓ} proportional to $u_{\ell} = e^{-2C \ell/L}$
 - Variable with leveling: P_{ℓ} proportional to max{ u_{ℓ}, cut }

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ → □ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Power Allocation



ъ

-2

Decoders using received $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$

Optimal: Least Squares Decoder

$$\hat{\beta} = \operatorname{argmin} \| \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \beta \|^2$$

- minimizes probability of error with uniform input distribution
- reliable for all R < C, with best form of error exponent

Practical: Adaptive Successive Decoder

- fast decoder
- reliable using variable power allocation for all *R* < *C*

Decoding Steps

- Start: [Step 1]
 - Compute the inner product of Y with each column of X
 - See which are above a threshold
 - Form initial fit as weighted sum of columns above threshold
- Iterate: [Step k ≥ 2]
 - Compute the inner product of residuals *Y Fit*_{*k*-1} with each remaining column of *X*
 - See which are above threshold
 - Add these columns to the fit
- Stop:
 - At Step $k = \log B$, or
 - if there are no inner products above threshold

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Quantities in the Iterative Decoder

Intialization: $res_1 = Y$ and $J_1 = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, with N = LB

Loop:

- Residual: $res_k = Y Fit_{k-1}$
- Test Stat: $Z_{k,j} = X_j^T res_k / \|res_k\|$
- Threshold: $\tau = \sqrt{2 \log B} + a$
- Detections: $\mathbf{1}_{H_{k,j}} = \mathbf{1}_{\{Z_{k,j} \ge \tau\}}$
- Fit Update: $Fit_k = Fit_{k-1} + \sum_{j \in J_k} \sqrt{P_j} X_j \mathbf{1}_{H_{k,j}}$
- Remaining: $J_{k+1} = \{ j \in J_k : Z_{k,j} < \tau \}$

▲□ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ● ■ ● ● ● ●

Tracking Progress

Message

• *sent* =
$$(j_1, j_2, ..., j_L)$$

False Alarms

• Increment:
$$\hat{f}_k = \sum_{j \in J_k \cap (not \ sent)} \pi_j \mathbf{1}_{H_{k,j}}$$

• Total: $\hat{f}_{1,k} = \hat{f}_1 + \hat{f}_2 + \ldots + \hat{f}_k$

Correct Detections

• Increment:
$$\hat{q}_k = \sum_{j \in J_k \cap sent} \pi_j \mathbf{1}_{H_{k,j}}$$

• Total: $\hat{q}_{1,k} = \hat{q}_1 + \hat{q}_2 + \ldots + \hat{q}_k$

Weights

•
$$\pi_j = P_j/P$$

• where P_j is the power allocated to the section containing j

▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ 臣 ● � � �

Decoding Progression



Figure: Plot of likely progression of weighted fraction of correct detections $\hat{q}_{1,k}$, for snr = 15.
Decoding Progression



Figure: Plot of of likely progression of weighted fraction of correct detections $\hat{q}_{1,k}$, for snr = 1.

7

Rate and Reliability

Optimal: Least squares decoder of sparse superposition code

• Prob error exponentially small in *n* for small $\Delta = C - R > 0$

 $\mathsf{Prob}\{\mathsf{Error}\} \leq e^{-n(C-R)^2/2V}$

 In agreement with the Shannon-Gallager optimal exponent, though with possibly suboptimal V depending on the snr

Practical: Adaptive Successive Decoder, with outer RS code.

• achieves rates up to C_B approaching capacity

$$C_B = \frac{C}{1 + c_1 / \log B}$$

• Probability exponentially small in L for $R \leq C_B$

 $\mathsf{Prob}\{\mathsf{Error}\} \leq e^{-L(C_B-R)^2 c_2}$

- Improves to $e^{-c_3L(C_B-R)^2(\log B)^{0.5}}$ using a Bernstein bound.
- Nearly optimal when $C_B R$ is of the same order as $C C_B$.
- Our c_1 is near $(2.5 + 1/snr) \log \log B + 4C$

False Alarms

- Increment: $\hat{f}_k = \sum_{j \in J_k \cap (not sent)} \pi_j \mathbf{1}_{H_{k,j}}$
- Upper bound: $\sum_{j \text{ not sent }} \pi_j \mathbf{1}_{H_{k,j}}$
- Expectation: f*
- Target level: f* less than const/(log B)²
- Total: $\hat{f}_{1,k} = \hat{f}_1 + \hat{f}_2 + \ldots + \hat{f}_k$
- UB expectation: kf*
- Reliability: $\hat{f}_{1,k}$ less than kf with high prob for $f > f^*$
- Total bound: const/log B with #steps k of order log B

Lower Bounding Correct Detections

Correct Detections

- Increment: $\hat{q}_k = \sum_{j \in J_k \cap sent} \pi_j \mathbf{1}_{H_{k,j}}$
- Total: $\hat{q}_{1,k} = \hat{q}_1 + \hat{q}_2 + \ldots + \hat{q}_k$
- Equivalent: $\sum_{j \in sent} \pi_j \mathbf{1}_{H_{1,j} \cup H_{2,j} \cup \ldots \cup H_{k,j}}$
- Lower Bound: $\sum_{j \in sent} \pi_j \mathbf{1}_{H_{k,j}}$
- LB Expectation: q^{*}_{1,k}
- Reliability: $\hat{q}_{1,k} > q_{1,k}$ with high prob for $q_{1,k} < q^*_{1,k}$

Lower Bounding Correct Detections

Correct Detections

- Increment: $\hat{q}_k = \sum_{j \in J_k \cap sent} \pi_j \mathbf{1}_{H_{k,j}}$
- Total: $\hat{q}_{1,k} = \hat{q}_1 + \hat{q}_2 + \ldots + \hat{q}_k$
- Equivalent: $\sum_{j \in sent} \pi_j \mathbf{1}_{H_{1,j} \cup H_{2,j} \cup \ldots \cup H_{k,j}}$
- Lower Bound: $\sum_{j \in sent} \pi_j \mathbf{1}_{H_{k,j}}$
- LB Expectation: q^{*}_{1,k}
- Reliability: $\hat{q}_{1,k} > q_{1,k}$ with high prob for $q_{1,k} < q^*_{1,k}$
- Recursive: $q_{1,k}^* = g(q_{1,k-1} f_{1,k-1})$
 - $f_{1,k} = kf$ bound on likely false alarms, from preceding slide
 - g(x) shown to exceed x by at least $const/\log B$ for $R \le R_B$
 - g(x) evaluated at $x_{k-1} = q_{1,k-1} f_{1,k-1}$ yields x_k
 - likely lower bound on correct detections
 - reaches $x_k \ge 1 const / \log B$ in order log *B* steps

◆□ ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q ()

Decoding progression, example bounds



Figure: Plot of g(x) and the sequence x_k for snr = 15, with variable power allocation. The threshold uses a = 0.86. The final false alarm and failed detection rates are less than 0.026 and 0.013 respectively, with probability of at least that fraction of mistakes less than 0.002.

Decoding Progression



Figure: Plot of g(x) and the sequence x_k for snr = 1, with constant power allocation. The threshold uses a = 0.56. The final false alarm and failed detection rates are 0.026 and 0.053 respectively, with probability bound 0.0007.

- Sparse superposition coding is fast and reliable at rates up to channel capacity
- Formulation and analysis blends modern statistical regression and information theory

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Rate versus Section Size



Figure: Rate as a function of B for snr = 15 and error probability 10^{-3} .

Rate versus Section Size



Figure: Rate as a function of B for snr = 1 and error probability 10^{-3} .