Consider an irreducible, recurrent Markov chain $\{X_n : n = 0, 1, ...\}$ on a countable state space S with transition probabilities P(i, j). For an arbitrarily chosen (but fixed) state *i*, define $T_i := \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} : X_n = i\}$ and

$$\lambda_j := \mathbb{E}_i \{ \text{ number of visits to state } j \text{ up to time } T_i \}$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_i \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} 1\{X_n = j, n \le T_i\} \right)$$

<1>

$$= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}_i \{X_n = j, n \leq T_i\}$$
 taking expectation term-by-term
$$= P(i, j) + \sum_{m \geq 2} \mathbb{P}_i \{X_m = j, m \leq T_i\}$$

Notice that $\lambda_i = 1$, because the first visit to *i* ends the excursion.

Over many (independent) excursions, we expect the chain to average λ_j visits to state j. On the average, a fraction P(j,k) of those visits should be followed immediately by a visit to state k. (Does this heuristic work when j equals i? Maybe we need to consider the state for X_1 to make it work.) Summing over all possible j that might be visited right before a visit to state k, we could then hope that

$$\lambda_k = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{S}} \lambda_j P(j, k)$$
 for every k

To make the argument rigorous, we would need to show that everything works according to averages. Alternatively, first invoke the Markov property,

$$\mathbb{P}_{i}\{X_{1} = j_{1}, X_{2} = j_{2}, \dots, X_{n} = j, X_{n+1} = k\} = \mathbb{P}_{i}\{X_{1} = j_{1}, X_{2} = j_{2}, \dots, X_{n} = j\}P(j,k),$$

then sum over all j_1, \ldots, j_{n-1} not equal to *i* to get

<2>

$$\mathbb{P}_{i}\{T_{i} \ge n, X_{n} = j, X_{n+1} = k\} = \mathbb{P}_{i}\{T_{i} \ge n, X_{n} = j\}P(j, k).$$

Sum both sides of the last equality over *n* and *j*, using the form <1> for λ_j .

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{S}}\mathbb{P}_i\{T_i\geq n, X_n=j, X_{n+1}=k\}=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{S}}\lambda_jP(j,k).$$

On the left-hand side, split the event $\{T_i \ge n\}$ into a union of two disjoint events, $\{T_i = n\} \cup \{T_i \ge n+1\}$, thereby breaking the sum into

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{S}} \mathbb{P}_i \{ T_i = n, X_n = j, X_{n+1} = k \} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{S}} \mathbb{P}_i \{ T_i \ge n+1, X_n = j, X_{n+1} = k \}$$

The sum over j collapses the second double sum to

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}_i \{ T_i \ge n+1, X_{n+1} = k \} = \sum_{m \ge 2} \mathbb{P}_i \{ T_i \ge m, X_m = k \}$$

If the final sum were to start at m = 1 we would have the expression for λ_k . We lack only the summand $\mathbb{P}_i \{T_i \ge 1, X_1 = k\} = P(i, k)$.

The collapse of the first double sum in $\langle 4 \rangle$ is even more dramatic, because only the summands with *j* equal to *i* survive: if $T_i = n$ then we must have $X_n = i$. The double sum reduces to

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}_i \{ T_i = n, X_n = i, X_{n+1} = k \} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}_i \{ T_i = n, X_n = i \} P(i, k)$$

the final factorization coming from $\langle 3 \rangle$. (Why can we replace $T_i \geq n$ by $T_i = n$ when j equals i?) With the factorization achieved, we can discard the redundant $X_n = i$ from the event $\{T_i = n, X_n = i\}$. The sum over n corresponds to a union over disjoint events $\{T_i = n\}$; the probabilities $\mathbb{P}_i\{T_i = n, X_n = i\}$ sum to 1, leaving us the P(i, k) needed to reduce $\langle 4 \rangle$ to λ_k .

If $\sum_{j} \lambda_j < \infty$, the standardized values $\pi_k = \lambda_k / \sum_{j} \lambda_j < \infty$ sum to 1. Equality <2> then gives us the equations that identify $\{\pi_k : k \in S\}$ as a stationary probability distribution for the chain. From <1>,

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{S}}\lambda_j = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{S}}\mathbb{P}_i\{X_n = j, n \le T_i\} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{P}_i\{n \le T_i\} = \mathbb{E}_i\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{1}\{n \le T_i\} = \mathbb{E}_iT_i$$

That is, if the chain is *positive recurrent* (meaning that $\mathbb{E}_i T_i < \infty$) it has a stationary probability distribution.

©David Pollard, 2004

<4>