Statistics 251b/551b, spring 2009 Homework # 1 solutions

[1] Consider an irreducible Markov chain with a finite state space S. Show that every state *i* is positive recurrent by following these steps. Write $S \setminus i$ for $\{j \in S : j \neq i\}$.

Most of the difficulties students had with this problem came from an improper application of the Markov property or faulty conditioning. I hope it will help if I give a more detailed treatment of these ideas than appears in the Chang notes.

The Markov property can be stated more formally as: for every initial distribution μ , for every event D that involves only statements about $X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}$, and every function f,

<1>
$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu}(f(X_n, X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \dots) \mid X_n = i, D) = \mathbb{E}_i f(X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots).$$

In particular, when $f(X_n, X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, ...) = \mathbf{1}\{X_{n+1} = j\},\$

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mu}\{X_{n+1} = j \mid X_n = i, D\} = \mathbb{P}_i\{X_1 = j\} = P(i, j),$$

which is the definition given in the Chang notes. A formal proof of $\langle 1 \rangle$ would involve repeated conditioning arguments (using $\langle 2 \rangle$) involving the successive states X_{n+1} , X_{n+2} , and so on.

Now consider the time $T_i = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} : X_n = i\}$. For fixed $m, M \in \mathbb{N}$, the event $\{T_i \ge m + M\}$ can be written as $\{X_n \ne i : \text{ for } 1 \le n < m + M\}$. The indicator function of that event can be written as a product of random variables, GHF, where

$$G = \mathbf{1} \{ X_n \neq i \text{ for } 1 \le n < m \}$$

$$H = \mathbf{1} \{ X_m \neq i \}$$

$$F = f(X_{m+1}, \dots, X_{m+M-1})$$

for the function

<2>

$$f(y_{m+1},\ldots,y_{m+M-1}) = \mathbf{1}\{y_n \neq i: \text{ for } m+1 \le n \le m+M-1\}.$$

REMARK. Notice how I have written the function using dummy variables $y_{m+1}, \ldots, y_{m+M-1}$. It is important to think of f in this way if we want to replace X_{m+1}, X_{m+2}, \ldots by X_1, X_2, \ldots .

Thus

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mu}\{T_i \ge m + M\} = \mathbb{E}_{\mu}\mathbf{1}\{T_i \ge m + M\} = \mathbb{E}_{\mu}GHF$$

For the next step, write D for the event $\{T_i \ge m\}$, so that $\mathbf{1}_D = G$. Split according to the events D or D^c , then further split D according to the values that can be taken by X_m . Condition.

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mu}\{T_{i} \geq m + M\} = \mathbb{E}_{\mu} (GHF \mid D^{c}) \mathbb{P}_{\mu} D^{c} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{S}} \mathbb{E}_{\mu} (GHF \mid X_{m} = k, D) \mathbb{P}_{\mu} (\{X_{m} = k\} \cap D).$$

The first term on the right-hand side is zero, because occurence of the event D^c implies that $T_i < m$ and G = 0. Similarly, occurence of the event D implies that G = 1, which gives the simplification

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu}\left(GHF \mid X_{m} = k, D\right) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu}\left(HF \mid X_{m} = k, D\right)$$

If k = i, occurrence of the event $\{X_m = k\}$ implies H = 0; and occurrence of the event $\{X_m = k\}$ for any $k \neq i$ implies H = 1. With these simplifications we get

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mu}\{T_i \ge m+M\} = \sum_{k \in S \setminus i} \mathbb{E}_{\mu} \left(F \mid X_m = k, D\right) \mathbb{P}_{\mu} \left(\{X_m = k\} \cap D\right).$$

Written out in full, the conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}_{\mu}(F \mid X_m = k, D)$ becomes

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu}(f(X_{m+1}, \dots, X_{m+M-1}) \mid X_m = k, X_n \neq i \text{ for } 1 \le n < m)$$

which, by the Markov property <1>, equals

$$\mathbb{E}_k f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{M-1}) = \mathbb{P}_k \{ X_n \neq i \text{ for } 1 \le n \le M-1 \} = \mathbb{P}_k \{ T_i \ge M \}.$$

In summary:

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mu}\{T_i \ge m+M\} = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{S} \setminus i} \mathbb{P}_k\{T_i \ge M\} \mathbb{P}_{\mu}\{X_m = k, \ T_i \ge m\}$$

If we know that $\mathbb{P}_k\{T_i \ge M\} \le C$ for all k in $\mathbb{S}\setminus i$, the final sum is bounded above by

$$C\sum_{k\in\mathbb{S}\backslash i}\mathbb{P}_{\mu}\{X_m=k,\,T_i\geq m\}=C\mathbb{P}_{\mu}\{X_m\neq i,\,T_i\geq m\}.$$

That is,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mu}\{T_i \ge m + M\} \le C\mathbb{P}_{\mu}\{T_i \ge m + 1\}.$$

To solve the homework problem, you needed to apply this inequality repeatedly, for various values of m and M.

[2] You were supposed to argue that

$$\mathbb{E}_0 N_0 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}_0 \{ X_n = 0 \}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}_0 \{ S_n \ge n/2 \}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rho^{-n} \quad \text{for some } \rho > 1$$

$$< \infty$$

thereby establishing transience of state 0 when $\alpha > 1/2$.