

For the first two questions,  $A_\theta$  denotes the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$  for an angle  $\theta$ .

[1] Suppose  $W$  is a random vector that is uniformly distributed on the set  $\{w \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |w| = 1\}$ . Let  $\mathbb{E}W = \mu$ , a vector with components  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ , and  $\text{var}(W) = S$ .

(i) [5 points] Explain why  $A_\theta W$  has the same distribution as  $W$ , for each fixed  $\theta$ .

The matrix  $A_\theta$  rotates vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  clockwise through an angle  $\theta$ . For an arc  $L$  of length  $\ell$  on the unit circle,

$$\mathbb{P}\{W \in L\} = \frac{\ell}{2\pi}$$

Let  $L_\theta$  denote the arc  $\{A_{-\theta}w : w \in L\}$ . It also has length  $\ell$  and

$$\mathbb{P}\{A_\theta W \in L\} = \mathbb{P}\{W \in L_\theta\} = \frac{\ell}{2\pi},$$

which shows that  $A_\theta W$  is also uniformly distributed around the circle.

(ii) [5 points] Explain why  $\mathbb{E}(A_\theta W) = A_\theta \mu$  and  $\text{var}(A_\theta W) = A_\theta \text{var}(W) A'_\theta$ .

Many of you just quoted these as standard results for random vectors. Both can be derived by writing out the vector  $A_\theta W$  or the matrix  $A_\theta W W' A'_\theta$  and taking expectations of each component. If you have not seen the derivation, start from somewhere like:

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Covariance\\_matrix](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Covariance_matrix)

As  $A_\theta W$  has the same distribution as  $W$ , we have  $\mu = A_\theta \mu$  and

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} \\ v_{21} & v_{22} \end{pmatrix} := S = \text{var}(W) = A_\theta S A'_\theta$$

The matrix  $S$  is symmetric because  $v_{12} = \text{cov}(W_1, W_2) = v_{21}$ .

(iii) [10 points] By an appropriate choice of  $\theta$ , deduce that  $\mu = 0$  and  $S = \frac{1}{2}I_2$ , where  $I_2$  is the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix.

With  $\theta = \pi/2$  we have  $A_{\pi/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . It follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mu = A_{\pi/2} \mu = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_2 \\ -\mu_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

These equalities imply  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = -\mu_1$ , so that  $\mu = 0$ . Similarly,

$$S = A_{\pi/2} S A'_{\pi/2} = \begin{pmatrix} v_{22} & v_{21} \\ -v_{12} & v_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus  $v_{11} = v_{22}$  and  $v_{12} = v_{21} = -v_{12}$ , forcing  $v_{12} = 0$ . The factor  $1/2$  comes from  $v_{11} + v_{22} = \mathbb{E}(W_1^2 + W_2^2) = 1$ .

- [2] [20 points] Let  $\{W_t : t \geq 0\}$  be a two-dimensional Brownian motion, that is, its components  $\{X_t : t \geq 0\}$  and  $\{Y_t : t \geq 0\}$  are independent standard Brownian motions. Explain why  $\{A_\theta W_t : t \geq 0\}$ , for a fixed  $\theta$ , is also a two-dimensional Brownian motion.

The two-dimensional Brownian motion is characterized by the facts that it is Gaussian with continuous sample paths, and  $\mathbb{E}W_t = 0$ , and

$$\text{cov}(W_s, W_t) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}(X_s X_t) & \mathbb{E}(X_s Y_t) \\ \mathbb{E}(X_t Y_s) & \mathbb{E}(Y_s Y_t) \end{pmatrix} = (s \wedge t)I_2.$$

The process  $\widetilde{W}_t = A_\theta W_t$  is also Gaussian with continuous sample paths, because both properties are preserved by linear combinations. Also  $\mathbb{E}\widetilde{W}_t = A_\theta \mathbb{E}W_t = 0$  and

$$\text{cov}(\widetilde{W}_s, \widetilde{W}_t) = A_\theta \text{cov}(W_s, W_t) A_\theta' = (s \wedge t) A_\theta A_\theta' = (s \wedge t)I_2.$$

The  $\widetilde{W}$  process is also a two-dimensional Brownian motion.

- [3] Suppose  $\{M_t : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$  is a martingale with continuous sample paths. That is,  $M_t$  is determined by the information,  $\mathcal{F}_t$ , available at time  $t$  and  $\mathbb{E}(M_t - M_s | \mathcal{F}_s) = 0$  for each pair of times  $0 \leq s < t \leq 1$ . Equivalently,  $\mathbb{E}((M_t - M_s)W) = 0$  for each random variable  $W$  that depends only on the information  $\mathcal{F}_s$ .

Suppose also that there is some process  $\{A_t : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ , with continuous sample paths  $A(t, \omega)$  that are increasing in  $t$ , such that  $N_t = M_t^2 - A_t$  is a martingale. (Necessarily,  $A_t$  is determined by the  $\mathcal{F}_t$  information.) For simplicity, assume  $M_0 = A_0 = 0$ .

- (i) [10 points] Let  $G$  be a grid of time points  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq 1$ . Write  $\Delta_i M$  for  $M(t_{i+1}, \omega) - M(t_i, \omega)$ . Define  $\Delta_i N$  and  $\Delta_i A$  similarly. Show that

$$\mathbb{E}((\Delta_i M)^2 - \Delta_i A | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Hint: What do you know about  $\mathbb{E}(\Delta_i N | \mathcal{F}_{t_i})$ ?

Expand the square to get

$$\Delta_i N = (M_{t_i} + \Delta_i M)^2 - (A_{t_i} + \Delta_i A) - M_{t_i}^2 + A_{t_i} = 2M_{t_i} \Delta_i M + (\Delta_i M)^2 - \Delta_i A$$

The martingale property of  $N$  gives  $\mathbb{E}(\Delta_i N | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}) = 0$ . The facts that  $M$  is a martingale and  $M_{t_i}$  depends only on  $\mathcal{F}_{t_i}$  information give

$$\mathbb{E}(M_{t_i} \Delta_i M | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}) = M_{t_i} \mathbb{E}(\Delta_i M | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}) = 0.$$

(ii) [10 points] Suppose  $H_G$  is a simple process, that is,

$$H_G(s, \omega) = \sum_{0 \leq i < n} h_i(\omega) \mathbf{1}\{t_i < s \leq t_{i+1}\}$$

where  $h_i$  is a random variable that depends only on  $\mathcal{F}_{t_i}$  information. (It will behave like a constant when you take expectations conditional on  $\mathcal{F}_{t_i}$ .) Define

$$Y_G(1) = \int_0^1 H_G(s) dM(s) = \sum_{0 \leq i < n} h_i(\omega) \Delta_i M.$$

Show that  $\mathbb{E}Y_G(1) = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}Y_G^2(1) = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^1 H_G^2(s, \omega) dA(s)\right)$ . [[Remember that  $\int_0^1 f(s) dA(s) = \sum_i f_i \Delta_i A$  if  $f = \sum_{0 \leq i < n} f_i \mathbf{1}\{t_i < s \leq t_{i+1}\}$ .]] Hint: Part (i) should help with terms like  $\mathbb{E}h_i^2(\Delta_i M)^2$ .

For the expectation:  $\mathbb{E}Y_G(1) = \sum_{0 \leq i < n} \mathbb{E}(h_i(\omega) \Delta_i M) = 0$  because  $h_i$  depends only on  $\mathcal{F}_{t_i}$  information and  $\mathbb{E}(\Delta_i M \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_i}) = 0$ . The zero expected value allows us to write the variance of  $Y_G(1)$  as

$$\mathbb{E}Y_G(1)^2 = \sum_{0 \leq i < n} \mathbb{E}(h_i^2(\Delta_i M)^2) + 2 \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} \mathbb{E}(h_i h_j \Delta_i M \Delta_j M)$$

All the cross-product terms vanish because  $h_i h_j \Delta_i M$  depends only on  $\mathcal{F}_{t_j}$  information, if  $i < j$ , and  $\mathbb{E}(\Delta_j M \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_j}) = 0$ . The result from part (i) simplifies the other sum:

$$\sum_{0 \leq i < n} \mathbb{E}(h_i^2(\Delta_i M)^2) = \sum_{0 \leq i < n} \mathbb{E}(h_i^2 \Delta_i A)$$

For the final step, note that  $H_G^2 = \sum_{0 \leq i < n} h_i^2 \mathbf{1}\{t_i < s \leq t_{i+1}\}$  so that  $\int_0^1 H_G^2 dA = \sum_{0 \leq i < n} h_i^2 \Delta_i A$ .

(iii) [10 points] For each fixed  $t$  in  $[0, 1]$ , show that

$$\begin{aligned} H_G(s, \omega) \mathbf{1}\{0 < s \leq t\} &= \sum_{0 \leq i < k} h_i(\omega) \mathbf{1}\{t_i < s \leq t_{i+1}\} \\ &\quad + h_k \mathbf{1}\{t_k < s \leq t\} \quad \text{if } t_k < t \leq t_{k+1} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i < n} h_i(\omega) \mathbf{1}\{t_i \wedge t < s \leq t_{i+1} \wedge t\}, \end{aligned}$$

a simple process defined for a slightly different grid of points.

The second form follows from the equality of indicator functions,

$$\mathbf{1}\{0 < s \leq t\} \mathbf{1}\{t_i < s \leq t_{i+1}\} = \mathbf{1}\{t_i \wedge t < s \leq t_{i+1} \wedge t\}$$

(The inequalities appearing on the left-hand side are equivalent to the inequalities appearing on the right-hand side.) The first form then follows from

$$\mathbf{1}\{t_i \wedge t < s \leq t_{i+1} \wedge t\} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{1}\{t_i < s \leq t_{i+1}\} & \text{if } t > t_{i+1} \\ \mathbf{1}\{t_i < s \leq t\} & \text{if } t_i < t \leq t_{i+1} \\ 0 & \text{if } t \leq t_i \end{cases}$$

(iv) [10 points] Define

$$Y_G(t) = \int_0^t H_G(s) \mathbf{1}\{0 < s \leq t\} dM(s) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Show that  $\{Y_G(t) : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$  has continuous sample paths. Hint: Use the second form in part (iii) for the integrand.

From (iii),

$$Y_G(t) = \sum_{0 \leq i < n} h_i(\omega) (M(t_{i+1} \wedge t) - M(t_i \wedge t))$$

Each  $t \wedge t_i$  is a continuous function of  $t$  and  $M(s)$  is a continuous function of  $s$ . A finite sum of continuous function is also continuous.

(v) [10 points] Show that  $\{Y_G(t) : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$  is a martingale. Hint: If  $s < t$ , you may suppose  $s = t_j$  and  $t = t_k$  for some  $j < k$ . (If  $s$  and  $t$  were not grid points, you could refine the grid by adding them in. None of the preceding definitions would be significantly changed.)

If  $s = t_j$  and  $t = t_k$  then

$$Y_G(s) = \sum_{0 \leq i < j} h_i \Delta_i M$$

$$Y_G(t) = \sum_{0 \leq i < k} h_i \Delta_i M$$

If  $W$  depends only on  $\mathcal{F}_{t_j}$  information then

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_G(t) - Y_G(s)) W = \sum_{j \leq i < k} \mathbb{E}(h_i W \Delta_i M) = 0$$

because  $h_i W$  depends only on  $\mathcal{F}_{t_i}$  information and  $\mathbb{E}(\Delta_i \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_i}) = 0$ .