

- [1] (5 points) Suppose  $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix for which  $\|At\| = \|t\|$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . (That is,  $A$  is an isometry.) Show that the columns  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are orthogonal unit vectors, that is,  $A$  is an orthogonal matrix. Hint: Let  $e_i$  denote the  $i$ th column of the identity matrix  $I_n$ . Consider  $\|Ae_1\|$  and  $\|A(e_1 + e_2)\|^2$ .

- [2] (10 points) If  $y$  and  $w$  are vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the correlation between them is defined as

$$\text{corr}(y, w) = \frac{\langle y - \bar{y}\mathbb{1}, w - \bar{w}\mathbb{1} \rangle}{\|y - \bar{y}\mathbb{1}\| \|w - \bar{w}\mathbb{1}\|}$$

It equals the cosine of the angle between the vectors  $y - \bar{y}\mathbb{1}$  and  $w - \bar{w}\mathbb{1}$ , which always lies between  $-1$  and  $+1$ .

Now suppose that  $q_1 = n^{-1/2}\mathbb{1}, q_2, \dots, q_n$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and that  $\mathcal{X}$  is the  $m$ -dimensional subspace spanned by  $q_1, \dots, q_m$ . Let  $w$  equal  $\hat{y}$ , the orthogonal projection of  $y$  onto  $\mathcal{X}$ . The following facts could be shown using the representation  $y = \sum_{i \leq n} s_i q_i$  where  $s_i = \langle q_i, y \rangle$ , although that is not the quickest method.

- (i) Show that  $\bar{w} = \bar{y}$ . Hint: The residual vector  $r = y - \hat{y}$  is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{X}$ .
- (ii) Show that  $\langle y - \bar{y}\mathbb{1}, \hat{y} - \bar{y}\mathbb{1} \rangle = \|\hat{y} - \bar{y}\mathbb{1}\|^2$ .
- (iii) Deduce that  $\text{corr}(y, \hat{y})^2 = \|\hat{y} - \bar{y}\mathbb{1}\|^2 / \|y - \bar{y}\mathbb{1}\|^2$ .

**Remark.** The quantity  $\text{corr}(y, \hat{y})^2$  is usually denoted by  $R^2$ , sometimes called the “multiple  $R$ -squared”. (Not to be confused with the matrix  $R$  from the QR decomposition nor the program **R**.) It takes values between 0 and 1. If  $R^2 = 1$  then  $\hat{y} = y$ , which means the model has done a good job at approximating  $y$ . Some users of regression get very happy when  $R^2$  is close enough to 1, where ‘close enough’ can sometimes mean  $R^2 > 0.1$ . In my experience,  $R^2 \approx 1$  is usually a sign that something is very wrong with the model.

- [3] (10 points) The handout `RMDdemo.Rmd` considered a toy least squares example where the model space  $\mathcal{X}$  was spanned by the columns of a matrix not of full rank. Using only the vector  $y$  and `out$qr` from `out <- lm(y ~ ., data=mydata)`, I showed how the fitted vector  $\hat{y}$  could be calculated using matrix calculations.

For this problem I want you to show how some of the other parts of `summary(out)` (see next page) could be calculated using only `y` and `out$qr`. Display your calculations using R Markdown. *No cheating by cutting and pasting from the summary.*

Show how to get the two lines following: “Residuals:”; the coefficients (but ignore the stuff about standard errors and t-values); the residual standard error, which is defined as

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i \leq n} r_i^2 / (\text{degrees of freedom})} \quad ;$$

and the multiple  $R$ -squared.

```

> set.seed(10) # for reproducibility
> mydata <- data.frame(y=rnorm(10),
+ x1=1:10,x2= 11:20, x3= 0.5*(1:10)-3*(11:20))
> out <- lm(y ~ ., data=mydata)
> summary(out)

```

Call:

```
lm(formula = y ~ ., data = mydata)
```

Residuals:

	Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
	-1.0211	-0.5231	0.1832	0.4320	0.9085

Coefficients: (2 not defined because of singularities)

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	-0.18175	0.49193	-0.369	0.721
x1	-0.05616	0.07928	-0.708	0.499
x2	NA	NA	NA	NA
x3	NA	NA	NA	NA

Residual standard error: 0.7201 on 8 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.05903, Adjusted R-squared: -0.05859

F-statistic: 0.5019 on 1 and 8 DF, p-value: 0.4988