## Statistics 330b/600b, Math 330b spring 2014

Homework # 6 Due: Thursday 27 February

Please attempt at least the starred problems.

- \*[1] Suppose X and Y are independent real-valued random variables for which X = Y almost surely. Use Fubini to show that there must exist some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  for which X = c almost surely.
- \*[2] Suppose T is a function from a set  $\mathfrak{X}$  into a set  $\mathfrak{Y}$ , which is equipped with a  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Recall that  $\sigma(T) := \{T^{-1}B : B \in \mathfrak{B}\}$  is the smallest sigma-field on  $\mathfrak{X}$  for which T is  $\sigma(T) \setminus \mathfrak{B}$ -measurable.

Show that to each f in  $\mathcal{M}^+(\mathfrak{X}, \sigma(T))$  there exists a g in  $\mathcal{M}^+(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathcal{B})$  such that  $f = g \circ T$  (that is, f(x) = g(T(x)), for all x in  $\mathfrak{X}$ ) by following these steps.

- (i) If f is the indicator function of  $T^{-1}(B)$  and g is the indicator function of B, show that  $f = g \circ T$ .
- (ii) Consider the case where  $f \in \mathcal{M}^+_{\text{simple}}(\mathfrak{X}, \sigma(T))$ .
- (iii) Suppose  $f_n = g_n \circ T$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{M}^+_{\text{simple}}(\mathfrak{X}, \sigma(T))$  that increases pointwise to f. Define  $g(y) = \limsup g_n(y)$  for each  $y \text{ in } \mathcal{Y}$ . Show that g has the desired property.
- (iv) In part (iii), why can't you assume that  $\lim g_n(y)$  exists for each y in  $\mathcal{Y}$ ?
- \*[3] In class I sketched some of the ideas needed to prove the following version of the SLLN: if  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  are independent and identically distributed random variables with  $\mathbb{P}|X_1| < \infty$  then  $(X_1 + \cdots + X_n)/n \to \mathbb{P}X_1$  almost surely. Use this result to deduce the following extension: Suppose  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  are independent and identically distributed random variables taking values in  $[0, \infty)$  for which  $\mathbb{P}Y_1 = +\infty$ . Then  $(Y_1 + \cdots + Y_n)/n \to \infty$  almost surely.
- [4] Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  are independent random variables with  $\mathbb{P}X_i = 0$  for each i and  $\sup_i \mathbb{P}X_i^{2k} = M < \infty$  for some positive integer k. Provide a convincing argument in less than a page to show that

 $\mathbb{P}\left(X_1 + \dots + X_n\right)^{2k} = O(n^k).$