Statistics 330b/600b, Math 330b spring 2015 Homework # 2 Due: Thursday 29 January

Please attempt at least the starred problems. Please explain your reasoning.

- \*[1] Suppose  $\mu$  is a countably additive measure defined on a sigma-field  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Define  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$  to be the set of all  $D \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$  for which there exists sets  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $B \subseteq D \subset A$  and  $\mu(AB^c) = 0$ . Call A, B a  $\mu$ -sandwich for D.
  - (i) If a set  $D \in A_{\mu}$  has two  $\mu$ -sandwiches,  $A_1, B_1$  and  $A_2, B_2$ , show that  $\mu A_1 = \mu A_2 = \mu B_1 = \mu B_2$ .
  - (ii) Show that  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$  is a sigma-field with  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\mu}$ .
  - (iii) Define  $\tilde{\mu}$  on  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$  by  $\tilde{\mu}D = \mu B$  for any choice of  $\mu$ -sandwich A, B for D. Show that  $\tilde{\mu}$  is a countably additive measure on  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$  for which  $\tilde{\mu}D = \mu D$  if  $D \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- \*[2] Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a sigma-field on a set  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a sigma-field on a set  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . Suppose also that T is an  $\mathcal{A}\backslash \mathcal{B}$ -measurable function from  $\mathfrak{X}$  to  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . Let  $\mu$  be a countably additive measure on  $\mathcal{A}$ .
  - (i) For each  $h \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$  and  $g \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B})$  define  $\nu_h(g) = \mu(h(x)g(Tx))$ . Show that each  $\nu_h$  corresponds to an integral with respect to a countably additive measure on  $\mathcal{B}$ .
  - (ii) Suppose g is a function in  $\mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B})$  for which  $\nu_1(g) = 0$ . (Here  $\nu_1$  is the  $\nu_h$  for h equal to the constant function 1.) Show that  $\nu_h g = 0$  for all  $h \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$ .
- \*[3] Suppose  $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$  and  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_k$  are strictly positive numbers that sum to one. Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Show that  $\mu \prod_{i \leq k} f_i^{\theta_i} \leq \prod_{i \leq k} (\mu f_i)^{\theta_i}$  by following these steps.
  - (i) Explain why the inequality is trivially true if  $\mu f_i = 0$  for at least one *i* or if  $\mu f_i = \infty$  for at least one *i* (and all the other  $\mu f_j$  are strictly positive).
  - (ii) Explain why there is no loss of generality in assuming that  $\mu f_i = 1$  for each i and  $f_i(x) < \infty$  for each x and i.
  - (iii) For all  $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , show that  $\prod_{i \leq k} a_i^{\theta_i} \leq \sum_{i \leq k} \theta_i a_i$ . Hint: First dispose of the trivial case where at least one  $a_i$  is zero, then rewrite the inequality using  $b_i = \log a_i$ . You do not need to reprove that the log function is concave on  $(0, \infty)$ .
  - (iv) Complete the proof by considering the inequality from (iii) with  $a_i = f_i(x)$ .
- [4]
  - (i) For all nonnegative real numbers  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  show that

$$\sum_{i} a_i \leq \max_i a_i + \sum_{i < j} \min(a_i, a_j) \leq \sum_{i} a_i + \sum_{i < j < k} \min(a_i, a_j, a_k).$$

Hint: First explain why, without loss of generality, you may assume that  $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_n \ge 0$ .

(ii) Suppose  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$  are functions in  $\mathcal{M}^+(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{A})$  and  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{A}$  for which  $\mu f_i < \infty$  for each *i*. Show that

$$\sum_{i} \mu f_{i} - \sum_{i < j} \mu(f_{i} \wedge f_{j}) \leq \mu \left( \max_{i} f_{i} \right)$$
$$\leq \sum_{i} \mu f_{i} - \sum_{i < j} \mu(f_{i} \wedge f_{j}) + \sum_{i < j < k} \mu(f_{i} \wedge f_{j} \wedge f_{k}).$$

Here  $\wedge$  denotes pointwise minimum. Note: Expressions like  $f_1(x) - f_2(x) \wedge f_3(x)$  might not be well defined for all x.