

Statistics 330/600, springl 2017
Homework #10 solutions

*[1] Suppose $\{\mathcal{F}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is a filtration defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is a sequence of real-valued random variables adapted to that filtration. Suppose also that σ , σ_1 , and σ_2 are stopping times for the filtration. For each of the following six cases either prove that τ is a stopping time or give an example to show that it need not be a stopping time.

(i) $\tau = \inf\{i \geq \sigma : X_i \in B\}$ for a given Borel set B

SOLUTION: For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\{\tau(\omega) \leq n\} = \cup_{j=0}^n \cup_{i=j}^n \{\sigma(\omega) = j, X_i(\omega) \in B\}.$$

Check that $\{\sigma = j, X_i \in B\} \in \mathcal{F}_i \subseteq \mathcal{F}_n$.

(ii) $\tau = \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2$ (minimum) or $\tau = \sigma_1 \vee \sigma_2$ (maximum)

(iii) $\tau = \sigma + 3$ or $\tau = (\sigma - 3)^+$

(iv) $\tau = \operatorname{argmax}_i \{X_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$.

SOLUTION: Interpret the argmax as the first i for which $X_i(\omega) = M(\omega) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} X_j(\omega)$. Then

$$\{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq 1\} = \{\omega : X_1(\omega) = M(\omega)\} = \{\omega : X_1(\omega) \geq \max_{2 \leq j \leq k} X_j(\omega)\}.$$

You needed to create an example where this set is not \mathcal{F}_1 -measurable. For example, suppose $\Omega = \{-1, 1\}^k$ with $\xi_i(\omega)$ being the i th coordinate and $\mathcal{F}_i = \sigma\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_i\}$. If $X_i(\omega) = i\xi_i(\omega)$ then

$$\{\tau(\omega) \leq 1\} = \{\omega : \max_{2 \leq j \leq k} \xi_j(\omega) = -1\} \notin \mathcal{F}_1.$$

Of course it would suffice to take k equal to 2 for the purposes of a counterexample.

*[2] Suppose $\Omega = (0, 1]$ and \mathbb{P} equals Lebesgue measure restricted to $\mathcal{B}(0, 1]$. Suppose also that μ is another measure on $\mathcal{B}(0, 1]$ for which $\mu B \leq \mathbb{P}B$ for each $B \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1]$. Define $E_{i,k} = ((i-1)/2^k, i/2^k]$ and $\mathcal{E}_k = \{E_{i,k} : 1 \leq i \leq 2^k\}$ and $\mathcal{E} = \cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{E}_k$. Define $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(\mathcal{E}_n)$ and

$$X_k(\omega) = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_k} \{\omega \in E\} \frac{\mu E}{\mathbb{P}E}.$$

Show that $\{(X_n, \mathcal{F}_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is a martingale. Deduce that X_n converges both almost surely and in \mathcal{L}^1 to a random variable X for which $\mu B = \mathbb{P}(XB)$ for each $B \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1]$.

SOLUTION: It was easy to get involved in a lot of unnecessary notation with this problem. The idea was to explain how Radon-Nikodym can be proved using martingales, at least in this simple case.

It helps to first note that each member of \mathcal{F}_k is either the empty set or the union of finitely many members of \mathcal{E}_k . The fact that each E in \mathcal{E}_k is a union of two sets in \mathcal{E}_{k+1} implies that $\mathcal{F}_k \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{k+1}$. That is, we have a filtration.

You should check that $\mathcal{B}(0, 1] = \mathcal{F}_\infty = \sigma(\mathcal{D})$ where $\mathcal{D} = \cup_k \mathcal{F}_k$.

A function f on $(0, 1]$ is \mathcal{F}_k -measurable if and only if it takes a constant value on each E in \mathcal{E}_k , that is, $f(\omega) = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_k} \{\omega \in E\} f_E$ for real numbers $\{f_E : E \in \mathcal{E}_k\}$. Why? In particular each X_k is \mathcal{F}_k -measurable.

To prove the martingale property, note that $\mathbb{P}X_k E = \mu E$ for each E in \mathcal{E}_k (the $\mathbb{P}E$ factors cancel). This equality extends to each F in \mathcal{F}_k by summing over all the \mathcal{E}_k 'atoms' in F . That is, $\mathbb{P}X_k F = \mu F$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}_k$.

Remark. In fact $X_k = d\mu_k/d\mathbb{P}_k$ where the subscripts denote restriction of the measures to the sigma-field \mathcal{F}_k

As $\mathcal{F}_k \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{k+1}$ we also have

$$\mathbb{P}X_k F = \mu F = \mathbb{P}X_{k+1} F \quad \text{for each } F \text{ in } \mathcal{F}_k,$$

which is the desired martingale property. (Why is it enough to check that $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{F}_s} X_t = X_s$ almost surely only for the case $t = s + 1$?)

By construction, $0 \leq X_k \leq 1$. The convergence theorem for non-negative supermartingales gives $X_n \rightarrow X$ almost surely for some \mathcal{F}_∞ -measurable X . By Dominated Convergence we also have $\mathbb{P}|X_n - X| \rightarrow 0$.

For each F in $\mathcal{D} = \cup_k \mathcal{F}_k$ there exists a k for which $F \in \mathcal{F}_k$. For $n \geq k$ we have $\mu F = \mathbb{P}X_k F = \mathbb{P}X_n F$ so that

$$|\mu F - \mathbb{P}X F| = |\mathbb{P}X_n F - \mathbb{P}X F| \leq \mathbb{P}|X_n - X| \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that $\mu F = \mathbb{P}X F$ for each F in \mathcal{D} . A generating class argument using the fact that \mathcal{D} is a field that generates $\mathcal{B}(0, 1]$ extends the equality to each F in $\mathcal{B}(0, 1]$. That is, μ has density X with respect to \mathbb{P} .