Statistics 330b/600b, Math 330b spring 2018

Homework # 7

Due: Thursday 8 March

\*[1] Suppose  $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  and  $(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{B}, \nu)$  are measure space with both  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  sigma-finite. Suppose also that  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{Y}, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}, \mu \otimes \nu)$ . Define

$$\mathfrak{X}_0 = \{ x \in \mathfrak{X} : \nu^y | f(x, y) | < \infty \} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{Y}_0 = \{ y \in \mathfrak{Y} : \mu^x | f(x, y) | < \infty \}.$$

Define  $g(x, y) := f(x, y) \mathbb{1}\{x \in \mathfrak{X}_0, y \in \mathfrak{Y}_0\}.$ 

- (i) Explain why g is  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -measurable.
- (ii) Show that  $g \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{Y}, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}, \mu \otimes \nu)$  and

$$\mu \otimes \nu f = \mu \otimes \nu g = \mu^x [\nu^y g(x, y)] = \nu^y [\mu^x g(x, y)]$$

in the sense that the last two expressions are well defined and are equal to the first two expressions.

- (iii) Why might the same assertion with g everywhere replaced by f be suspect?
- \*[2] Let P be the probability measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  with density  $p(x) = e^{-x} \mathbb{1}\{x > 0\}$ with respect to Lebesgue measure. Suppose X and Y are independent random variables, each with distribution P. Show that the distribution of X - Y has density  $\gamma(z) = \frac{1}{2} \exp(-|z|)$  with respect to Lebesgue measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ .
- \*[3] Suppose X and Y are independent real-valued random variables with

$$\mathbb{P}\{X=t\}\mathbb{P}\{Y=t\}=0 \quad \text{for each } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Show that  $\mathbb{P}{X = Y} = 0$ . *Hint: First show that the set*  $S := {t \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{P}{Y = t} > 0}$  *is at worst countably infinite. Consider the function*  $g(x) = \sum_{t \in S} \mathbb{1}{x = t}\mathbb{P}{Y = t}$ .

[4] For real-valued random variables  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , define

$$f(\omega, s) := \mathbb{1}\{X_1(\omega) > s\} + \mathbb{1}\{X_2(\omega) > s\} - 2\mathbb{1}\{X_1(\omega) > s, X_2(\omega) > s\}.$$

Show that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\omega, s) ds = |X_1(\omega) - X_2(\omega)|$ . Then complete the argument begun in class to show that  $\mathbb{P}|X_1 - X_2|$  is minimized over all integrable random variables with  $X_i \sim P_i$  if the  $X_i$ 's are coupled using the quantile transformation.

[5] For each fixed p > 0 define  $B_{p,n} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_p(x) \le 1\}$  where  $g_p(x) := \sum_{i \le n} |x_i|^p$ . Follow these steps to show that

$$V_{p,n} := \operatorname{vol}(B_{p,n}) = \frac{(2\Gamma(1+1/p))^n}{\Gamma(1+n/p)}.$$

**Remark.** Recall that the Gamma function is defined for  $\alpha > 0$  by  $\Gamma(\alpha) := \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t} dt$ . Integration by parts shows that  $\Gamma(1 + \alpha) = \alpha \Gamma(\alpha)$  for each  $\alpha > 0$ .

(i) For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  Show that

$$I_p := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-g_p(x)\right) \, dx = \left(2\int_0^\infty \exp(-t^p) \, dt\right)^n = \left(\frac{2}{p}\Gamma(1/p)\right)^n$$

(ii) Show that

$$\exp\left(-g_p(x)\right) = \int_0^\infty \{t \ge g_p(x)\} e^t \, dt = \int_0^\infty \{t^{-1/p} x \in B_{p,n}\} e^{-t} \, dt.$$

- (iii) Deduce that  $I_p = V_p \int_0^\infty t^{n/p} e^{-t} dt$ .
- (iv) Then what?