

## Statistics 330b/600b, Math 330b spring 2018

Homework # 11

Due: Thursday 19 April

\*[4] I have a collection of  $m$  different coins, the  $i$ th of which lands heads with probability  $\theta_i$  when tossed. Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be a probability measure on  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  for which  $\mathbb{Q}\{i\} = p_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , where  $p_i > 0$  for each  $i$ . I generate a sequence of random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  as follows. First generate an observation  $T$  from  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If  $T = i$  then toss the  $i$ th coin repeatedly, recording  $X_j = 1$  if the  $j$ th toss lands heads and  $X_j = 0$  for tails.

- (i) Show that  $\mathbb{P}X_1 = \bar{\theta} := \sum_{i=1}^m p_i \theta_i$ . Hint: Condition.
- (ii) For each set  $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$  of  $k$  distinct positive integers find  $\mathbb{P}(\prod_{j=1}^k X_{n_j})$ .
- \*(iii) Show that the sequence  $(X_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is exchangeable (UGMTP Definition 6.49).
- (iv) Show that  $\text{cov}(X_1, X_2) = 0$  if and only if  $\theta_i = \bar{\theta}$  for every  $i$ .
- \*(v) Explain why  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are independent if and only if  $\theta_i = \bar{\theta}$  for every  $i$ .

**Remark.** The ill-fated problem [4] has been causing more problems.

You could interpret it the following way.

Suppose  $\mathbb{P}_1, \dots, \mathbb{P}_m$  are probability measures on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  and  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are measurable maps from  $\Omega$  into  $\{0, 1\}$ . Under  $\mathbb{P}_i$ , the  $X_j$ 's are independent, each with distribution  $\text{Ber}(\theta_i)$ . Define  $\mathbb{P} = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i \mathbb{P}_i$ .

Part (iii) effectively asks you to prove that, for each  $k$  and each permutation  $\sigma$  of  $(1, 2, \dots, k)$  and all choices of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$  from  $\{0, 1\}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_1 = \alpha_1, X_2 = \alpha_2, \dots, X_k\} = \mathbb{P}\{X_{\sigma(1)} = \alpha_1, X_{\sigma(2)} = \alpha_2, \dots, X_{\sigma(k)}\}.$$

Hint: Note that  $\mathbb{1}\{X_j = 1\} = X_j$  and  $\mathbb{1}\{X_j = 0\} = 1 - X_j$ . That leads to simplifications such as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{1}\{X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0, X_3 = 1, X_4 = 0\} &= X_1(1 - X_2)X_3(1 - X_4) \\ &= X_1X_3 - \dots + X_1X_2X_3X_4. \end{aligned}$$

**SOLUTION:** In the original statement of the problem  $\mathbb{Q}$  was supposed to be the distribution of  $T$  and  $\mathbb{P}_i$  was supposed to be thought of as  $\mathbb{P}(\cdot | T = i)$ .

The suggested method of solution for (iii) was unnecessarily complicated. Instead argue, for each  $k$  and each choice of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$  from  $\{0, 1\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{X_1 = \alpha_1, \dots, X_k = \alpha_k\} &= \sum_i p_i \mathbb{P}_i\{X_1 = \alpha_1, \dots, X_k = \alpha_k\} \\ &= \sum_i p_i \theta_i^h (1 - \theta_i)^{1-h} \quad \text{where } h = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i. \end{aligned}$$

If  $t = \sigma^{-1}$ , that is, if  $\sigma(i) = j$  iff  $\tau(j) = i$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_{\sigma(1)} = \alpha_1, \dots, X_{\sigma(k)} = \alpha_k\} = \mathbb{P}\{X_1 = \alpha_{\tau(1)}, \dots, X_k = \alpha_{\tau(k)}\},$$

which again equals  $\sum_i p_i \theta_i^h (1 - \theta_i)^{1-h}$  because  $h$  is unaffected by the  $\tau$  permutation. That gives the exchangeability.

Specialize to  $k = 1$  and  $\alpha_1 = 1$  to get  $\mathbb{P}X_1 = \mathbb{P}\{X_1 = 1\} = \bar{\theta}$ .

Specialize to  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_k = 1$  to get  $\mathbb{P}(X_1 \dots X_k) = \sum_i p_i \theta_i^k$ .

Exchangeability gives the same value for distinct  $n_1, \dots, n_k$ .

Consequently,

$$\text{cov}(X_1, X_2) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 X_2) - (\mathbb{P}X_1)(\mathbb{P}X_2) = \left( \sum_i p_i \theta_i^2 \right) - \bar{\theta}^2 = \sum_i p_i (\theta_i - \bar{\theta})^2.$$

Clearly  $\text{cov}(X_1, X_2) = 0$  iff  $\theta_i = \bar{\theta}$  for every  $i$ . A non-zero covariance implies dependence. And if  $\theta_i = \bar{\theta}$  for each  $i$  then  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are independent  $\text{Ber}(\bar{\theta})$  distributed under  $\mathbb{P}$ .