Appendix C Doléans measures

C.1 Introduction

Once again all random processes will live on a fixed probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ equipped with a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$. We should probably assume the filtration is standard even though it does not seem essential for this project.

The construction (Project 6) of the isometric stochastic integral $H \bullet M$ with respect to a martingale $M \in \mathcal{M}_0^2[0,1]$, at least for bounded, predictable H, depended on the existence of the Doléans measure μ on the predictable sigma-field \mathcal{P} on $\mathfrak{S}_1 := \Omega \times (0,1]$. To make the map $H \mapsto H \bullet M_1$ an isometry between $\mathcal{H}_{\text{simple}}$ and a subset of $\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathbb{P})$ we needed

$$<1> \qquad \mu(a,b] \times F = \mathbb{P}\{\omega \in F\}(M_b - M_a)^2 \qquad \text{for all } 0 \le a < b \le 1 \text{ and } F \in \mathfrak{F}_a.$$

This property characterizes the measure μ because the collection of all predictable sets of the form $(a, b] \times F$ is $\cap f$ -stable and it generates \mathcal{P} .

The sigma-field \mathcal{P} is also generated by the set of all stochastic intervals $((0, \tau)]$ for $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_1$, the set of all [0, 1]-valued stopping times for the filtration. The Doléans measure is also characterized by the property

 $\mu((0,\tau)] = \mathbb{P}M_{\tau}^2 \quad \text{for all } \tau \in \mathfrak{T}_1.$

Notice that μ depends on M only through the submartingale $S_t := M_t^2$. In fact, analogous measures can be defined for a large class of submartingales.

<2> **Definition.** Let $\{S_t : 0 \le t \le 1\}$ be a cadlag submartingale with $S_0 \equiv 0$. Say that a finite (countably-additive) measure μ_S , defined on the predictable sigma-field \mathfrak{P} on \mathfrak{S} , is the **Doléans measure** for S if $\mu((0,\tau]] = \mathbb{P}S_{\tau}$ for every τ in \mathfrak{T}_1 , the set of all [0,1]-valued stopping times for the filtration.

Remark. If μ_S exists then S_{τ} must be integrable for every τ in \mathcal{T}_1 . In fact we will need the uniform integrability only for stopping times with a finite range (Métivier 1982, page 80).

I mentioned explicitly that μ_S must be countably-additive to draw attention to a subtle requirement on S for μ_S to exist, known somewhat cryptically as **property** [D]:

[D] the set of random variables $\{S_{\tau} : \tau \in \mathcal{T}_1\}$ is uniformly integrable.

Problem [2] shows that if there exists a (countably-additive) Doléans measure μ_S then S has property [D]. The proof of the converse assertion is the main subject of this Appendix.

<3> **Theorem.** Every cadlag submartingale $\{S_t : 0 \le t \le 1\}$ with property [D] has a countably additive Doléans measure μ_S on the predictable sigma-field \mathfrak{P} on $\mathfrak{S} := \Omega \times (0, 1]$.

Remark. Alert readers will be thinking—correctly—that property [D] must be used somewhere to convert a pointwise convergence along a sequence of stopping times into an \mathcal{L}^1 convergence.

<4> Example. If $M \in \mathcal{M}_0^2[0,1]$ then the submartingale $S_t = M_t^2$ has property [D]. Indeed, we know from Project 4 that $M_{\tau} = \mathbb{P}(M_1 \mid \mathcal{F}_{\tau})$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_1$. Thus $0 \leq M_{\tau}^2 \leq \mathbb{P}(M_1^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{\tau})$ for each τ in \mathcal{T}_1 . Use the fact that $\{\mathbb{P}(\xi \mid \mathcal{G}) : \mathcal{G} \text{ a sub-sigma-field of } \mathcal{F}\}$ is uniformly integrable for each integrable random variable ξ to complete the argument.

Remark. If I write μ_S for the Dol'eans measure then I should write μ_{M^2} instead of the μ_M used in Project 6. Indeed, Definition 2 would give $\mu_M \equiv 0$ if applied directly to the submartingale M. You might want to go back and make the necessary changes.

<5> **Example.** Let $\{B_t : 0 \le t \le 1\}$ be a standard Brownian motion. The submartingale $S_t := B_t^2$ has a very simple Doléans measure, characterized by

$$\mu_S(a,b] \otimes F = \mathbb{P}F\left(B_b^2 - B_a^2\right) = \mathbb{P}F(b-a) \quad \text{for } F \in \mathfrak{F}_a.$$

That is, $\mu_S = \mathbb{P} \otimes \mathfrak{m}$, with \mathfrak{m} equal to Lebesgue measure on $\mathcal{B}(0,1]$. Of course μ_S has a further extension to the product sigma-field $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}(0,1]$.

A Poisson process $\{N_t : 0 \le t \le 1\}$ with intensity 1 shares with Brownian motion the independent increment property. It is a submartingale with sample paths that are constant, except for jumps of size 1 corresponding to points of the process; the increment $N_t - N_s$ has a Poisson(t-s) distribution. It has Doléans measure $\mu_N = \mathbb{P} \otimes \mathfrak{m}$, the same as the square of Brownian motion.

Clearly the Doléans measure does not uniquely determine the submartingale. But the only square integrable martingale M with continuous sample paths and Doléans measure $\mu_{M^2} = \mathbb{P} \otimes \mathfrak{m}$ is Brownian motion: if $F \in \mathcal{F}_s$ and s < t then $\mathbb{P}F(M_t^2 - M_s^2) = \mu_{M^2}F \times (s, t] = (t - s)\mathbb{P}F$, from which it follows that $M_t^2 - t$ is a martingale with respect to $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$. It follows from Lévy's characterization that M is a Brownian motion. There are several ways to prove that a cadlag submartingale $\{S_t : 0 \le t \le 1\}$ of class [D] has a countably additive Doléans measure. For example:

- (i) Invoke an approximation by compact sets (see Métivier 1982, Chapter 3 or Chung and Williams 1990, Section 2.8, for example).
- (ii) For the square of a continuous $\mathcal{M}_0^2[0, 1]$ -martingale M, prove directly the existence of an increasing process A (the quadratic variation process) for which $M_t^2 - A_t$ is a martingale (Chung and Williams 1990, Section 4.4).
- (iii) Do something very general, as in Dellacherie and Meyer (1982, $\S7.1$).

I will present a different method, based on the identification of measures on \mathcal{P} with a certain kind of linear functional defined on the vector space $\mathcal{H}_{\text{BddLip}}$ of all adapted, continuous processes H on $(0, 1] \times \Omega$ for which there exists a finite constant C_H such that

- (i) $|H(t,\omega)| \leq C_H$ for all (t,ω) .
- (ii) $|H(s,\omega) H(t,\omega)| \le C_H |t-s|$ for all s, t, and ω .

The limit $H(0,\omega) := \lim_{t\downarrow\downarrow 0} H(t,\omega)$ exists for each ω . Each member of $\mathcal{H}_{\text{BddLip}}$ is predictable. Also, as you saw in Project 6, $\mathcal{H}_{\text{BddLip}}$ generates the sigma-field \mathcal{P} .

<6> **Theorem.** There exists a one-to-one correspondence between finite measures on \mathcal{P} and increasing linear functionals $\mu : \mathcal{H}_{BddLip} \to \mathbb{R}$ for which

 $\mu(h_k) \downarrow 0$ for each $\{h_k : nk \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\text{BddLip}}$ with $h_k \downarrow 0$ pointwise.

See Pollard (2001, Appendix A) for a proof.

PROOF (OF THEOREM 3) Construct μ as a limit of simpler functionals. For each n in \mathbb{N} and $i = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^n$ define $t_{i,n} := i/2^n$. Write $\mathbb{P}_{i,n}(\cdots)$ for expectations conditional on $\mathcal{F}(t_{i,n})$. Define $\Delta_{i,n} := S(t_{i+1,n}) - S(t_{i,n})$. Note that $\mathbb{P}_{i,n}\Delta_{i,n} \geq 0$ almost surely, by the submartingale property. For each Hin $\mathcal{H}_{\text{BddLip}}$, define

$$\mu_n H := \sum_{0 \le i < 2^n} \mathbb{P}\left(H(t_{i,n})\Delta_{i,n}\right) = \sum_{0 \le i < 2^n} \mathbb{P}\left(H(t_{i,n})\mathbb{P}_{i,n}\Delta_{i,n}\right).$$

Clearly, μ_n is a linear functional on $\mathcal{H}_{\text{BddLip}}$. The second expression for $\mu_n H$ ensures that μ_n is increasing in H.

The proof involves four steps:

- (a) Show that $\mu H := \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_n H$ exists for each $H \in \mathcal{H}_{BddLip}$. Note that μ inherits its linearity and the increasing property from μ_n .
- (b) For each nonnegative $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{BddLip}}$ and each $\epsilon > 0$ define $\tau(H, \epsilon) := \inf\{t : H(t, \omega) \ge \epsilon\} \land 1$. Show that $\mu H \le \epsilon \mathbb{P}S_1 + C_H \mathbb{P}(S_1 S_{\tau(H,\epsilon)})$.
- (c) Show that $\mu(h_k) \downarrow 0$ if $h_k \downarrow 0$ pointwise.
- (d) Show that $\mu((0, \tau)] = \mathbb{P}S_{\tau}$ for each $\tau \in \mathfrak{T}_1$.

Proof of (a).

For each $H \in \mathcal{H}$, show that the sequence $\{\mu_n H : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is Cauchy. Fix n and m with n < m. Define $J_i := \{j : t_{i,n} \leq t_{j,m} < t_{i+1,n}\}$. Then

$$|\left(\sum_{j\in J_i} \mathbb{P}H(t_{j,m})\Delta_{j,m}\right) - \mathbb{P}H(t_{i,n})\Delta_{i,n}|$$

$$= |\sum_{j\in J_i} \mathbb{P}\left(H(t_{j,m}) - H(t_{i,n})\right)\Delta_{j,m}|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j\in J_i} \mathbb{P}\left(|H(t_{j,m}) - H(t_{i,n})|\mathbb{P}_{j,m}\Delta_{j,m}\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j\in J_i} C_H 2^{-n} \mathbb{P}\Delta_{j,m}$$

$$= C_H 2^{-n} \sum_{j\in J_i} \mathbb{P}\Delta_{i,n}$$

Sum over *i* to deduce that $|\mu_m H - \mu_n H| \leq C_H 2^{-n} \mathbb{P}S_1$, which tends to zero as *n* tends to infinity.

Proof of (b).

Temporarily write τ_n for the discretized stopping time obtained by rounding $\tau(H, \epsilon)$ up to the next integer multiple of 2^{-n} . Then

$$\mu_n H \leq \sum_{0 \leq i < 2^n} \mathbb{P}\left(\epsilon\{t_{i,n} < \tau_n\} + C_H\{t_{i,n} \geq \tau_n\}\right) \mathbb{P}_{i,n} \Delta_{i,n}$$
$$\leq \epsilon \sum_{0 \leq i < 2^n} \mathbb{P}\Delta_{i,n} + C_H \sum_{0 \leq i < 2^n} \mathbb{P}\{t_{i,n} \geq \tau_n\} \Delta_{i,n}$$
$$\leq \epsilon \mathbb{P}S_1 + C_H \mathbb{P}\left(S_1 - S_{\tau_n}\right).$$

Let n tend to infinity. Uniform integrability of the sequence $\{S_{\tau_n}\}$ together with right-continuity of the sample paths of S gives the asserted inequality.

Proof of (c).

For a fixed $\epsilon > 0$, temporarily write σ_k for $\tau(H_k, \epsilon)$. By compactness of [0, 1], the pointwise convergence of the continuous functions, $H_k(\cdot, \omega) \downarrow 0$, is actually uniform. For each ω , the sequence $\{\sigma_k(\omega)\}$ not only increases to 1, it actually achieves the value 1 at some finite k (depending on ω). Uniform integrability of $\{S_{\sigma_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and the analog of (b) for each H_k then give

 $\mu H_k \le \epsilon \mathbb{P}S_1 + C_H \mathbb{P}\left(S_1 - S_{\sigma_k}\right) \to \epsilon \mathbb{P}S_1 \qquad \text{as } k \to \infty.$

By Theorem 6, the functional corresponds to the integral with respect to a finite measure on \mathcal{P} , with total mass $\mu((0, 1]] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_n((0, 1]] = \mathbb{P}S_1$.

Proof of (d).

For a given $\epsilon > 0$, approximate $((0, \tau)]$ by



Note that $\{(\omega, t) \in \mathfrak{S} : H_{\epsilon}(\omega, t) \geq 1 - c\} = ((0, \tau + c\epsilon)]$ for each $0 \leq c < 1$, which ensures that H_{ϵ} is predictable. It belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{\text{BddLip}}$ with $C_{H_{\epsilon}} = 1/\epsilon$, and $\mu((0, \tau)] \leq \mu H_{\epsilon} \leq \mu((0, \tau + \epsilon)]$. When $2^{-n} < \epsilon$,

$$\mathbb{P}S_{\tau+2\epsilon} \geq \mathbb{P}\sum_{i} \{t_{i,n} \leq \tau + \epsilon\} \mathbb{P}_{i,n} \Delta_{i,n}$$
$$\geq \mu_n H_{\epsilon}$$
$$\geq \mathbb{P}\sum_{i} \{t_{i,n} \leq \tau\} \mathbb{P}_{i,n} \Delta_{i,n} \geq \mathbb{P}S_{\tau}.$$

In the limit as $n \to \infty$ we get $\mathbb{P}S_{\tau+2\epsilon} \ge \mu H_{\epsilon} \ge \mathbb{P}S_{\tau}$.

As $\epsilon \to 0$, the countable additivity of μ gives $\mu((0, \tau + \epsilon]] \to \mu((0, \tau]]$. Property[D] and right-continuity of the sample paths gives $\mathbb{P}S_{\tau+2\epsilon} \to \mathbb{P}S_{\tau}$. It follows that $\mu((0, \tau]] = \mathbb{P}S_{\tau}$.



Problems

[1] Let $\{X_i : 0 \le i \le n\}$ be a submartingale with $X_0 \equiv 0$. For a fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$, define stopping times

 $\sigma := \min\{i : X_i \le -\lambda\} \land 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau := \min\{i : X_i \ge \lambda\} \land 1.$

(i) Show that

$$\lambda \mathbb{P}\{\max_i X_i > \lambda\} \le \mathbb{P}X_\tau\{X_\tau \ge \lambda\} \le \mathbb{P}X_1\{X_\tau \ge \lambda\} \le \mathbb{P}|X_n|.$$

(ii) Show that

$$\lambda \mathbb{P}\{\min_i X_i < -\lambda\} \le \mathbb{P}(-X_{\sigma})\{X_{\sigma} \le -\lambda\} \\ \le -\mathbb{P}X_{\sigma} + \mathbb{P}X_n\{X_{\sigma} > -\lambda\} \le \mathbb{P}|X_n|.$$

- (iii) Suppose $\{Y_t : 0 \le t \le 1\}$ is a cadlag submartingale with $Y_0 \equiv 0$. Show that $\lambda \mathbb{P}\{\sup_t | Y_t | > \lambda\} \le 2\mathbb{P}|Y_1|$.
- [2] Suppose a cadlag martingale $\{S_t : 0 \le t \le 1\}$, with $S_0 \equiv 0$, has a Doléans measure μ in the sense of Definition <2>, that is, $\mu((0, \tau)] = \mathbb{P}S_{\tau}$ for every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_1$. Show that S has property [D] by following these steps.
 - (i) For a given $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_1$, let τ_n be the stopping time obtained by rounding up to the next integer multiple of 2^{-n} .
 - (ii) Invoke the Stopping Time Lemma to show that $0 \leq \mathbb{P}S_{\tau_n}$ and $\mathbb{P}S_{\tau_n}^+ \leq \mathbb{P}S_1^+$ for each $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_1$. Deduce that $\mathbb{P}|S_{\tau_n}| \leq \kappa := 2\mathbb{P}S_1^+ < \infty$.
 - (iii) Invoke Fatou's lemma to show that $\sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_1} \mathbb{P}|S_{\tau}| \leq \kappa$.
 - (iv) For each $C \in \mathbb{R}^+$, show that

$$\mathbb{P}S_{\tau_n}\{S_{\tau_n} > C\} \le \mathbb{P}S_1\{S_{\tau_n} > C\} \le \mathbb{P}S_1\{S_1 > \sqrt{C}\} + \kappa/\sqrt{C}.$$

Invoke Fatou, then deduce that $\sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_1} \mathbb{P}S_{\tau} \{S_{\tau} > C\} \to 0$ as $C \to \infty$.

- (v) Show that every cadlag function on [0, 1] is bounded in absolute value. Deduce that the stopping time $\sigma_C := \inf\{t : S_t < -C\} \land 1$ has $\sigma_C(\omega) = 1$ for all C large enough (depending on ω). Deduce that $\mu((\sigma_C, 1]] \to 0$ as $C \to \infty$.
- (vi) For a given $\tau \in \mathfrak{I}_1$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^+$, define $F_\tau := \{S_\tau < -C\}$. Show that $\tau' := \tau F_\tau^c + F_\tau$ is a stopping time for which

$$\mathbb{P}\left(S_1 - S_{\tau}\right)F_{\tau} = \mathbb{P}\left(S_{\tau'} - S_{\tau}\right) = \mu((\tau, \tau']] \le \mu((\sigma_C, 1]],$$

Hint: Show that if $\omega \in F_{\tau}$ then $\sigma_C(\omega) \leq \tau(\omega)$ and if $\omega \in F_{\tau}^c$ then $\tau(\omega) = \tau'(\omega)$.

- (vii) Deduce that $\sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{T}_1} \mathbb{P}(-S_{\tau}) \{S_{\tau} < -C\} \to 0 \text{ as } C \to \infty.$
- [3] Let $\{S_t : t \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$ be a submartingale of class [D]. Show that there exists an integrable random variable S_{∞} for which $\mathbb{P}(S_{\infty} | \mathcal{F}_t) \geq S_t \to S_{\infty}$ almost surely and in L^1 by following these steps.
 - (i) Show that the uniformly integrable submartingale $\{S_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges almost surely and in L^1 to an S_{∞} for which $\mathbb{P}(S_{\infty} | \mathcal{F}_n) \geq S_n$.
 - (ii) For $t \leq n$, show that $S_t \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{P}(S_{\infty} \mid \mathcal{F}_n) \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(S_{\infty} \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right)$.
 - (iii) For $t \ge n$, show that

$$\mathbb{P}(S_t - S_n)^- \le \mathbb{P}(S_t - S_n)^+ \le \mathbb{P}(S_\infty - S_n)^+ \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

- (iv) For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, choose n(k) for which $\mathbb{P}|S_{\infty} S_{n(k)}| \leq 4^{-k}$. Invoke Problem [1] to show that $\sum_{k} \mathbb{P}\{\sup_{t \geq n(k)} |S_t S_{n(k)}| > 2^{-k}\} < \infty$.
- (v) Deduce that $S_t \to S_\infty$ almost surely.

C.3 Notes

My exposition in this Chapter is based on ideas drawn from a study of Métivier (1982, §13), Dellacherie and Meyer (1982, Chapter VII), and Chung and Williams (1990, Chapter 2). The construction in Section 2 appears new, although it is clearly closely related to existing methods.

References

- Chung, K. L. and R. J. Williams (1990). *Introduction to Stochastic Inte*gration. Boston: Birkhäuser.
- Dellacherie, C. and P. A. Meyer (1982). *Probabilities and Potential B: Theory of Martingales.* Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Métivier, M. (1982). Semimartingales: A Course on Stochastic Processes. Berlin: De Gruyter.
- Pollard, D. (2001). A User's Guide to Measure Theoretic Probability. Cambridge University Press.