

## Chapter

# Gibbs measures

*This Chapter contains a simplified account of some theory for Gibbs measures, which I learned from the very thorough monograph by Georgii (1988) with a little help from the gentler exposition by Kindermann & Snell (1980).*

### 1. Notation

- Let  $S$  be a countably infinite index set of *sites*. For each  $i$  in  $S$ , suppose  $\mathcal{X}_i$  is a set equipped with a sigma-field  $\mathcal{B}_i$ . For each  $A \subseteq S$  write  $\Omega_A$  for  $\prod_{i \in A} \mathcal{X}_i$ . Equip  $\Omega_A$  with its product sigma-field  $\mathcal{B}_A = \bigotimes_{i \in A} \mathcal{B}_i$ . Abbreviate  $\Omega_S$  to  $\Omega$  and  $\mathcal{B}_S$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- Write  $\omega_A = (\omega_i : i \in A)$  for both the generic point of  $\Omega_A$  and for the coordinate projection of a generic  $\omega$  in  $\Omega$  onto  $\Omega_A$ .
- By definition,  $\mathcal{B}$  is the smallest sigma-field on  $\Omega$  for which each coordinate projection  $\omega \mapsto \omega_i$  is  $\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}_i$ -measurable. Consequently, for each  $A \subseteq S$ , the projection map  $\omega \mapsto \omega_A$  is  $\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}_A$ -measurable. Write  $\mathcal{F}_A$  for the smallest sigma-field on  $\Omega$  for which the map  $\omega \mapsto \omega_A$  is  $\mathcal{F}_A \setminus \mathcal{B}_A$ -measurable. Each set in  $\mathcal{F}_A$  is of the form  $B \times \Omega_{S \setminus A}$  with  $B \in \mathcal{B}_A$ .
- Write  $\mathbb{S}$  for the set of all finite, nonempty subsets of  $S$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the set of all bounded, real-valued,  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable functions on  $\Omega$ . For  $A \subseteq \mathbb{S}$  define

$$\mathcal{L}_A = \{f \in \mathcal{H} : f \text{ depends on } \omega \text{ only through the coordinates } \omega_A\}$$

That is,  $f \in \mathcal{L}_A$  if and only if  $f(\omega) = g(\omega_A)$  for some bounded,  $\mathcal{B}_A \setminus \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable function  $g$  on  $\Omega_A$ . The functions in  $\mathcal{L}_A$  generate a sigma-field  $\mathcal{F}_A$  on  $\Omega$ . Write  $\mathcal{L}$  for  $\cup_{A \in \mathbb{S}} \mathcal{L}_A$ , the set of all functions in  $\mathcal{H}$  that depend on  $\omega$  only through some finite subset of coordinates. If  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ , write  $S(f)$  for the smallest  $A$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{L}_A$ .

- Call a sequence  $\{A(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{S}$  an  **$\mathbb{S}$ -cover for  $S$**  if  $A(n) \uparrow S$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 2. A cautionary example

Ignore this Section.

We will be building Gibbs measures from a collection of desired conditional distributions. As you saw in Chapter MRF, it is not a completely trivial task to find Markov kernels that have the consistency properties required for conditional

distributions. For a finite  $S$ , the construction of a Gibbs measure via a density (defined by a family  $\Psi$  of nonnegative functions) guarantees the necessary consistency. We cannot follow exactly the same route when  $S$  is infinite, because it is not always possible to define a joint density for  $\omega_S$  by taking an infinite product of  $\Psi$  functions.

<1> **Example.** Suppose  $\mathcal{X}_i = \{0, 1\}$  and  $\lambda^i$  is the uniform distribution on  $\mathcal{X}_i$ , for every  $i \in S = \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $\mathbb{F} = \{(i, i+1) : i \in S\}$  and

$$\Psi_{(i,i+1)} = 2\{\omega_i = \omega_{i+1}\} + \{\omega_i \neq \omega_{i+1}\}.$$

The product measure  $\lambda^S = \otimes_{i \in S} \lambda^i$  is well defined. We might hope to construct  $\mathbb{P}$  by defining

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}}{d\lambda^S}(\omega_S) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i \in S} \Psi_{(i,i+1)}(\omega_i, \omega_{i+1})$$

where  $Z = \lambda^S \prod_{i \in S} \Psi_{(i,i+1)}(\omega_i, \omega_{i+1})$ .

Unfortunately,

$$Z \geq \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda \otimes \lambda \Psi_{(2j,2j+1)}(\omega_{2j}, \omega_{2j+1}) = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \times 2 + \frac{1}{2} \times 1\right) = \infty$$

□ We would end up with  $\infty/\infty$ .

### 3. Consistent sets of conditional distributions

For each  $i$  in  $S$ , suppose  $\lambda^i$  is a sigma-finite measure on  $\mathcal{B}_i$ . For each  $A$  in  $\mathbb{S}$ , write  $\lambda^A$  for the product measure  $\otimes_{i \in A} \lambda^i$  on  $\mathcal{B}_A$ .

For some index set  $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{S}$ , suppose  $\Psi := \{\Psi_a : a \in \mathbb{F}\}$  is a collection of nonnegative,  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable functions on  $\Omega$  for which  $\Psi_a$  depends on  $\omega$  only through the coordinates  $\omega_a$ .

Some important features of  $\Psi$  are captured by its factor graph, which has a node for each site  $i$  in  $S$  and a node for each factor  $a$  in  $\mathbb{F}$ , with  $i$  connected to  $a$  if and only if  $i$  is one of the sites in  $a$ . For each  $A \subseteq S$  define

$$\partial A := \{a \in \mathbb{F} : A \cap a \neq \emptyset\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(A) := \{j \in S \setminus A : j \in a \text{ for some } a \text{ in } \partial A\}$$

To avoid problems with infinite products, I will assume that both  $\partial A$  and  $\mathcal{N}(A)$  are finite if  $A \in \mathbb{S}$ . For each such  $A$  define

$$G_A(\omega) = \prod_{a \in \partial A} \Psi_a(\omega_a).$$

Sometimes I will write  $G(\omega_A, \omega_{\mathcal{N}(A)})$  to emphasize the fact that  $G_A$  depends on  $\omega$  only through the coordinates  $\omega_i$  for  $i \in A \cup \mathcal{N}(A)$ . Similarly, define

$$Z_A(\omega_{S \setminus A}) = Z_A(\omega_{\mathcal{N}(A)}) := \lambda^A G_A(\omega_A, \omega_{\mathcal{N}(A)}),$$

where the  $\lambda^A$  integrates out over the  $\omega_A$  coordinates to leave a dependence on (a subset of) the  $\omega_{S \setminus A}$  coordinates.

Assume  $Z_A(\omega_{S \setminus A}) < \infty$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ . At the risk of some unforeseen complications, I will not assume that  $Z_A$  is everywhere strictly positive. However, for the purposes of Stat 606, you could safely restrict yourself to the case where  $Z_A(\omega_{S \setminus A}) > 0$  for every  $\omega$ .

Define probability measures  $Q_{S \setminus A}(\cdot \mid \omega_{S \setminus A})$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  for each  $A \in \mathbb{S}$  and each  $\omega_{S \setminus A} \in \Omega_{S \setminus A}$  for which  $Z_A(\omega_{S \setminus A}) \neq 0$  by

$$Q_{S \setminus A}(f \mid \omega_{S \setminus A}) = \frac{1}{Z_A(\omega_{S \setminus A})} \lambda^A f(\omega_A, \omega_{S \setminus A}) G_A(\omega_A, \omega_{N(A)}) \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Here the  $\omega_{S \setminus A}$  fixes both the  $\omega_{N(A)}$  coordinates for  $G_A$  and some of the coordinates for  $f$ . The  $\lambda^A$  integrates out over the  $\omega_A$  coordinates. If  $Z_A(\omega_{S \setminus A}) = 0$ , define  $Q_{S \setminus A}(\cdot \mid \omega_{S \setminus A})$  to be the zero measure.

REMARK. If  $f \in \mathcal{L}_D$  then  $Q_{S \setminus A}(f \mid \omega_{S \setminus A})$  depends on  $\omega$  only through the coordinates  $\omega_i$  for  $i \in (D \setminus A) \cup N(A)$ .

We could regard  $Q_{S \setminus A}$  as a linear map from  $\mathcal{H}$  into  $\mathcal{L}_{S \setminus A}$ , in which case it would be natural to omit the explicit  $\omega_{S \setminus A}$  and write just  $Q_{S \setminus A} f$ .

<2> **Lemma.** Suppose  $A, B \in \mathbb{S}$  with  $A \subseteq B$ . For each  $\omega_{S \setminus B} \in \Omega_{S \setminus B}$  the following two properties hold.

- (i)  $Q_{S \setminus B}\{\omega : Z_A(\omega_{S \setminus A}) = 0 \mid \omega_{S \setminus B}\} = 0$
- (ii) for each  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$Q_{S \setminus B}(f \mid \omega_{S \setminus B}) = Q_{S \setminus B} [Q_{S \setminus A}(f(\omega_A, \omega_{B \setminus A}, \omega_{S \setminus B}) \mid \omega_{S \setminus A}) \mid \omega_{S \setminus B}]$$

REMARK. On the right-hand side in (ii), the  $Q_{S \setminus A}$  integrates over  $\omega_A$  with the coordinates  $\omega_{B \setminus A}, \omega_{S \setminus B}$  being fixed by  $\omega_{S \setminus A}$ . The  $Q_{S \setminus B}$  then integrates over  $\omega_{B \setminus A}$  for fixed  $\omega_{S \setminus B}$ .

*Proof.* We have only to consider the case of a fixed  $\omega_{S \setminus B}$  for which  $Z_B(\omega_{S \setminus B})$  is nonzero, for otherwise both assertions are trivially true.

Temporarily write  $N$  for  $N(A)$ . Note that  $G_B$  factorizes as

$$<3> \quad G_B(\omega) = G_A(\omega_A, \omega_N) H(\omega_{S \setminus A}) \quad \text{where } H(\omega_{S \setminus A}) = \prod_{a \in \partial B \setminus \partial A} \Psi_a(\omega_a).$$

In fact,  $H$  depends only on coordinates  $\omega_i$  for  $i \in (B \cup N(B)) \setminus A$ .

Use the fact that  $\lambda^B = \lambda^{B \setminus A} \otimes \lambda^A$  to write  $Z_B$  times the left-hand side of (i) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^{B \setminus A} \lambda^A G_A(\omega_A, \omega_N) H(\omega_{S \setminus A}) \{Z_A(\omega_{S \setminus A}) = 0\} \\ & = \lambda^{B \setminus A} Z_A(\omega_{S \setminus A}) H(\omega_{S \setminus A}) \{Z_A(\omega_{S \setminus A}) = 0\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (i), neither side of (ii) is changed if we replace  $f$  by  $\{Z_A(\omega_{S \setminus A}) \neq 0\} f$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} F(\omega_{S \setminus A}) & = \{Z_A(\omega_{S \setminus A}) \neq 0\} Q_{S \setminus A}(f \mid \omega_{S \setminus A}) \\ & = \frac{\{Z_A(\omega_{S \setminus A}) \neq 0\}}{Z_A(\omega_{S \setminus A})} \lambda^A G_A(\omega_A, \omega_N) f(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Z_B(\omega_{S \setminus B}) \times \text{RHS of (ii)} & = \lambda^{B \setminus A} \lambda^A G_A(\omega_A, \omega_N) H(\omega_{S \setminus A}) F(\omega_{S \setminus A}) \\ & = \lambda^{B \setminus A} H(\omega_{S \setminus A}) F(\omega_{S \setminus A}) Z_A(\omega_{S \setminus A}) \\ & = \lambda^{B \setminus A} H(\omega_{S \setminus A}) \{Z_A \neq 0\} \lambda^A (G_A(\omega_A, \omega_N) f(\omega)) \\ & = \lambda^B G_B(\omega) \{Z_A \neq 0\} f(\omega) \quad \text{by } <3> \\ & = Z_B(\omega_{S \setminus B}) \times \text{LHS of (ii)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

REMARK. Most of the theory depends on  $\Psi$  only through the  $Q_{S \setminus A}$  measures, which are often referred to as a *specification*. See Georgii (1988, page 16), for example.

#### 4. Existence of Gibbs measures

A probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  is said to be a **Gibbs measure** for the family  $\Psi$  if it has the  $Q$ 's from the previous Section as its conditional distributions, that is, for every  $A$  in  $\mathbb{S}$  and at least for  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(f \mid \mathcal{F}_{S \setminus A}) = Q_{S \setminus A}(f \mid \omega_{S \setminus A}) \quad \text{a.e. } [\mathbb{P}],$$

Equivalently,

$$\langle 4 \rangle \quad \mathbb{P}f = \mathbb{P}Q_{S \setminus A}(f \mid \omega_{S \setminus A}) \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The set of all Gibbs probability measures for a given  $\Psi$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{G}(\Psi)$ .

REMARK. Some authors would call  $Q_{S \setminus A}(\cdot \mid \omega_{S \setminus A})$  a **regular conditional distribution** for  $\mathbb{P}$  given  $\mathcal{F}_{S \setminus A}$ . In my opinion, it is a backward step to express the conditioning properties of  $\mathbb{P}$  in terms of Kolmogorov conditional expectations when we know that regular conditional distributions exist.

At an  $\omega$  for which  $Z_D(\omega_{S \setminus D}) = 0$ , the conditional distribution  $Q_{S \setminus D}$  is the zero measure and not a probability. This is of no major importance because, from part (i) of Lemma  $\langle 2 \rangle$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\omega : Z_D(\omega_{S \setminus D}) = 0\} = \mathbb{P}Q_{S \setminus A}\{Z_A(\omega_{S \setminus D}) = 0\} = 0$$

if  $\mathbb{P}$  satisfies  $\langle 4 \rangle$  for  $f = \{Z_D = 0\}$ , for some  $A \supseteq D$ .

It is not completely obvious that Gibbs measures exist—that  $\mathbb{G}(\Psi)$  is nonempty—for any particular  $\Psi$ . At least when each  $\mathcal{X}_i$  is a finite set, it is very easy to prove existence because (as a special case of the Kolmogorov extension theorem) there is a one-to-one correspondence between probability measures on  $\mathcal{B}$  and increasing linear functionals  $\mathbb{P} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for which  $\mathbb{P}1 = 1$ .

see Kolmogorov.pdf

$\langle 5 \rangle$  **Theorem.** *Suppose each coordinate space  $\mathcal{X}_i$  is finite. Suppose also that there exists an  $\mathbb{S}$ -cover  $\{A(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  for which each of the sets  $F_n := \{\omega_{S \setminus A(n)} \in \Omega_{S \setminus A(n)} : Z_{A(n)}(\omega_{S \setminus A(n)}) > 0\}$  is nonempty. Then  $\mathbb{G}(\Psi) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $S(n) := S \setminus A(n)$ . Let  $\nu_n$  be any probability measure on  $\mathcal{B}_{S(n)}$  for which  $\nu_n(F_n) = 1$ . Define increasing linear functionals  $\mu_n$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  by

$$\mu_n f := \nu_n Q_{S(n)}(f \mid \omega_{S(n)}).$$

Note that  $\mu_n 1 = 1$  for every  $n$  because  $Q_{S(n)}(\Omega \mid \omega_{S(n)}) = 1$  for all  $\omega_{S(n)} \in F_n$ .

Identify  $\mu_n$  with a point in the product space

$$\mathbb{K} = \times_{f \in \mathcal{L}} [-m_f, m_f] \quad \text{where } m_f := \sup_{\omega} |f(\omega)|.$$

When equipped with its product topology (the weakest topology that makes each coordinate map  $\kappa \mapsto \kappa(f)$  continuous), the space  $\mathbb{K}$  is compact. The sequence  $\{\mu_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  has a cluster point,  $\mathbb{P}$ , in  $\mathbb{K}$ .

It is easy to show that  $\mathbb{P}$  inherits from the  $\mu_n$ 's the linearity and increasing properties and that  $\mathbb{P}1 = 1$ ; by the Kolmogorov extension theorem, it corresponds to a probability measure on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

To establish the defining property  $\langle 4 \rangle$  for a Gibbs measure, consider first an  $f$  in some  $\mathcal{L}_A$ , with  $A \in \mathbb{S}$ , and an  $n$  so large that  $A(n) \supseteq A$ . From Lemma  $\langle 2 \rangle$ ,

$$Q_{S(n)}(f \mid \omega_{S(n)}) = Q_{S(n)}[Q_{S \setminus A}(f \mid \omega_{S \setminus A}) \mid \omega_{S(n)}].$$

Integrate both sides with respect to  $\nu_n$  to get

$$\mu_n f = \mu_n g \quad \text{where } g(\omega_{S \setminus A}) = Q_{S \setminus A}(f \mid \omega_{S \setminus A}).$$

The function  $g$  depends on  $\omega_{S \setminus A}$  only through the coordinates in  $\mathcal{N}(A)$ . Thus  $g \in \mathcal{L}$ . Let  $n$  tend to infinity (along a subsequence) to deduce that  $\mathbb{P}f = \mathbb{P}g$ .

That is, the equality in <4> holds at least for  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ . An appeal to the  $\pi$ - $\lambda$ -theorem for functions extends the equality to all  $f$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ . The cluster point  $\mathbb{P}$  is a Gibbs probability measure.  $\square$

## 5. Representation of Gibbs measures as mixtures

Suppose  $\mathbb{G}(\Psi)$  is nonempty. It follows directly from the defining property <4> that  $\mathbb{G}(\Psi)$  is convex. A Gibbs measure  $\mathbb{P}$  is said to be an *extremal element* of  $\mathbb{G}(\Psi)$  if it cannot be written as a proper convex combination of two other Gibbs measures: if  $\mathbb{P} = \theta\mathbb{P}_1 + (1 - \theta)\mathbb{P}_2$  with  $0 < \theta < 1$  and  $\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{P}_2 \in \mathbb{G}(\Psi)$  then we must have  $\mathbb{P}_1 = \mathbb{P}_2 = \mathbb{P}$ . Write  $\mathbb{G}_{\text{ex}}(\Psi)$  for the set of extreme elements of  $\mathbb{G}(\Psi)$ . This Section will show that there is a very simple way to characterize the extreme Gibbs measures and that, in an appropriate sense,  $\mathbb{G}(\Psi)$  is the closed convex hull of  $\mathbb{G}_{\text{ex}}(\Psi)$ .

Georgii (1988, Chapter 7)

<6> **Definition.** The tail sigma-field on  $\Omega$  is defined as  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathbb{S}} \mathcal{F}_{S \setminus A}$ . Write  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{tail}}$  for the set of all  $\mathcal{T}$ -measurable functions in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

REMARK. From the fact that  $\mathcal{F}_{S \setminus A} \supseteq \mathcal{F}_{S \setminus B}$  when  $A \subseteq B$ , it is easy to see that if  $\{A(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an  $\mathbb{S}$ -cover for  $S$  then  $\mathcal{T} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_{S \setminus A(n)}$ .

<7> **Lemma.** Suppose  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathbb{G}(\Psi)$  and  $\mu$  is another probability measure on  $\mathcal{B}$  that is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mathbb{P}$ . Then  $\mu \in \mathbb{G}(\Psi)$  if and only if there exists a  $\mathcal{T}$ -measurable version of the density  $\phi(\omega) = d\mu/d\mathbb{P}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\phi(\omega)$  is a  $\mathcal{T}$ -measurable version of  $d\mu/d\mathbb{P}$ . Then for each  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  and each  $A \in \mathbb{S}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu f &= \mathbb{P} \phi f \\ &= \mathbb{P} (Q_{S \setminus A}(\phi(\omega) f(\omega) \mid \omega_{S \setminus A})) \\ &= \mathbb{P} (\phi(\omega) Q_{S \setminus A}(f(\omega) \mid \omega_{S \setminus A})) \quad \text{because } \phi \text{ is also } \mathcal{F}_{S \setminus A}\text{-measurable} \\ &= \mu Q_{S \setminus A}(f \mid \omega_{S \setminus A}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\mu \in \mathbb{G}(\Psi)$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\mu$  is a Gibbs measure. Let  $S(n) := S \setminus A(n)$  for some  $\mathbb{S}$ -cover  $\{A(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . The restriction of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal{F}_{S(n)}$  is dominated by the restriction of  $\mathbb{P}$  to  $\mathcal{F}_{S(n)}$ . Let  $\phi_n(\omega_{S(n)})$  be an  $\mathcal{F}_{S(n)}$ -measurable choice for the corresponding density. For every  $n$  and  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} f \phi &= \mu f = \mu g \quad \text{where } g(\omega_{S(n)}) = Q_{S(n)}(f \mid \omega_{S(n)}) \\ &= \mathbb{P} \phi_n(\omega_{S(n)}) g(\omega_{S(n)}) \quad \text{because } g \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_{S(n)}\text{-measurable} \\ &= \mathbb{P} Q_{S(n)}(f(\omega) \phi_n(\omega_{S(n)}) \mid \omega_{S(n)}) \quad \text{because } \phi_n \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_{S(n)}\text{-measurable} \\ &= \mathbb{P} f \phi_n. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\phi_n = \phi$  a.e.  $[\mathbb{P}]$  for each  $n$  and hence  $\liminf_n \phi_n$  is a  $\mathcal{T}$ -measurable version of the density.  $\square$

<8> **Definition.** A probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  is said to be *trivial on  $\mathcal{T}$*  if  $\mathbb{P}F$  is either 0 or 1 for each  $F$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Equivalently,  $\mathbb{P}(f \mid \mathcal{H}_{\text{tail}}) = \mathbb{P}f$  a.e.  $[\mathbb{P}]$  for each  $f$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

<9> **Theorem.** Suppose  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathbb{G}(\Psi)$ .

- (i)  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathbb{G}_{\text{ex}}(\Psi)$  if and only if  $\mathbb{P}$  is trivial on  $\mathcal{T}$ .
- (ii) If  $\mu \in \mathbb{G}(\Psi)$  and  $\mu F = \mathbb{P}F$  for all  $F$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  then  $\mu = \mathbb{P}$ , as measures on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

(iii) If  $\mathbb{P}, \mu \in \mathbb{G}_{\text{ex}}(\Psi)$  and  $\mathbb{P} \neq \mu$  then the two measures are mutually singular.

*Proof.* Suppose  $F_0 \in \mathcal{T}$  with  $0 < \mathbb{P}F_0 < 1$ . Define  $\mu_i(\cdot) = \mathbb{P}(\cdot | F_i)$ , where  $F_1 = \Omega \setminus F_0$ . That is,  $d\mu_i/d\mathbb{P} = \{\omega \in F_i\}/\mathbb{P}F_i$ , a  $\mathcal{T}$ -measurable function of  $\omega$  for  $i = 0, 1$ . By Lemma <7>, the  $\mu_i$  are distinct (because  $\mu_0F_0 = 1 = \mu_1F_1$ ) Gibbs measures for which  $\mathbb{P} = (\mathbb{P}F_0)\mu_0 + (\mathbb{P}F_1)\mu_1$ . Thus  $\mathbb{P}$  is not extremal.

Conversely, suppose  $\mathbb{P}$  is trivial on  $\mathcal{T}$  but  $\mathbb{P}$  can be written as a convex combination of two Gibbs measures,  $\theta\mathbb{P}_0 + (1 - \theta)\mathbb{P}_1$ . Again by Lemma <7>, there must exist  $\mathcal{T}$ -measurable versions of the densities  $\phi_i = d\mathbb{P}_i/d\mathbb{P}$ . Triviality implies  $\phi_i = \mathbb{P}\phi_i = 1$  a.e.  $[\mathbb{P}]$ , ensuring that  $\mathbb{P}_0 = \mathbb{P}_1 = \mathbb{P}$ . The Gibbs measure  $\mathbb{P}$  must be extremal.

For (ii), note that both  $\mu$  and  $\mathbb{P}$  are absolutely continuous with respect to the Gibbs measure  $\mathbb{P}_0 = (\mu + \mathbb{P})/2$ . Let  $\phi(\omega)$  be a  $\mathcal{T}$ -measurable version of the density  $d\mu/d\mathbb{P}_0$ . For an  $f$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  let  $F = \mathbb{P}_0(f | \mathcal{T})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu f &= \mathbb{P}_0\phi(\omega)f(\omega) = \mathbb{P}_0\phi(\omega)F(\omega) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\mu + \mathbb{P})(\phi F) \\ &= \mu F \quad \text{because } \mu = \mathbb{P} \text{ on } \mathcal{T}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $\mathbb{P}f = \mathbb{P}F$ . The equality  $\mu F = \mathbb{P}F$ , for the integrals of the  $\mathcal{T}$ -measurable function  $F$ , then implies  $\mu f = \mathbb{P}f$ .

For (iii), the measures  $\mu$  and  $\mathbb{P}$  must have different restrictions to  $\mathcal{T}$ . That is, there exists some  $F \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $\mu F < \mathbb{P}F$ . As both measures are extremal, we must have  $\mu F = 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}F = 1$ . That is,  $\mathbb{P}$  concentrates on  $F$  and  $\mu$  concentrates on  $F^c$ .  $\square$

<10> **Theorem.** Suppose each coordinate space  $\mathcal{X}_i$  is finite.

- (i) There exists a set  $\Omega_0 \in \mathcal{T}$  for which  $\mathbb{P}\Omega_0 = 1$  for every  $\mathbb{P}$  in  $\mathbb{G}(\Psi)$ .
- (ii) There exists a collection  $\{\lambda_\omega : \omega \in \Omega_0\} \subseteq \mathbb{G}_{\text{ex}}(\Psi)$  for which  $\omega \mapsto \lambda_\omega f$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -measurable and  $\mathbb{P}f = \mathbb{P}\lambda_\omega f$  for each  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  and each  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathbb{G}(\Psi)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S(n) := S \setminus A(n)$  for a fixed  $\mathbb{S}$ -cover  $\{A(n) : N \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Finiteness of each  $\Omega_{A(n)}$  implies that the vector space  $\mathcal{L}_{A(n)}$  is spanned by a finite set of functions. Taking all rational combinations of the basis functions we get a countable subset  $\mathcal{L}_n^\circ$  that is uniformly dense in  $\mathcal{L}_{A(n)}$ . The countable set  $\mathcal{L}^\circ := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{L}_n^\circ$  is uniformly dense in  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Suppose  $\mu \in \mathbb{G}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ . By the convergence theorem for reverse martingales,

$$Q_{S(n)}(f | \omega_{S(n)}) = \mu(f | \mathcal{F}_{S(n)}) \rightarrow \mu(f | \mathcal{T}) \quad \text{a.e. } [\mu]$$

Put another way,  $\mu$  gives measure one to the set

$$\Omega_f := \{\omega \in \Omega : \limsup_n Q_{S(n)}(f | \omega_{S(n)}) = \liminf_n Q_{S(n)}(f | \omega_{S(n)})\}$$

and on  $\Omega_f$  the limit  $\lambda_\omega := \lim_n Q_{S(n)}(f | \omega_{S(n)})$  exists and equals  $\mu(f | \mathcal{T})$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ . The sets

$$\Omega_2 := \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{L}^\circ} \Omega_f \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_1 := \{\omega \in \Omega : \limsup_n Q_{S(n)}(\Omega | \omega_{S(n)}) = 1\}$$

are both  $\mathcal{T}$ -measurable and have  $\mu$  measure one, for each  $\mu \in \mathbb{G}$ .

Convergence of  $Q_{S(n)}(f | \omega_{S(n)})$  for each  $f$  in the uniformly dense set  $\mathcal{L}^\circ$  implies convergence for all  $f$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ . For each  $\omega \in \Omega_1$ , the functional  $\lambda_\omega$ , as a map from  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , inherits linearity and the increasing property and  $\lambda_\omega 1 = 1$ . We may extend  $\lambda_\omega$  to a probability measure on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

REMARK. Note: I am not asserting that  $\lim_n Q_{S(n)}(f | \omega_{S(n)})$  exists for every  $f$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ . The operations needed to extend  $\lambda_\omega$  from  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $\mathcal{H}$  need not commute with the limit operation that defines  $\lambda_\omega f$  for  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ .

For each  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ , each  $\omega \in \Omega_1$ , and each  $A \in \mathbb{S}$ , Lemma <2> shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_\omega f &= \lim_n Q_{S(n)}(Q_{S \setminus A}(f | \omega_{S \setminus A}) | \omega_{S(n)}) \\ &= \lambda_\omega(Q_{S \setminus A}(f | \omega_{S \setminus A})) \quad \text{because } Q_{S \setminus A} f \in \mathcal{L}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\lambda_\omega \in \mathbb{G}$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega_0$ .

Similarly, the equalities  $\mu(f | \mathcal{T}) = \lambda_\omega f$  a.e.  $[\mu]$  for each  $f \in \mathcal{L}^\circ$  extend to  $\mathcal{L}$  and then, by the usual sort of  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  argument, to all  $f$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Consequently,

$$\mu f = \mu^\omega \lambda_\omega f \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and all } \mu \in \mathbb{G}$$

It remains only to show that  $\lambda_\omega \in \mathbb{G}_{\text{ex}}$  for  $\mu$  almost all  $\omega$ .

First we need to use  $\mathcal{L}^\circ$  to characterize the measures in  $\mathbb{G}_{\text{ex}}$ . From Theorem <9>, for a Gibbs measure  $\mu$ ,

$$\mu \in \mathbb{G}_{\text{ex}} \quad \text{iff} \quad \lambda_\omega f = \mu(f | \mathcal{T}) = \mu f \quad \text{a.e. } [\mu] \text{ for each } f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Uniform approximation followed by a  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  argument gives

$$\{\omega \in \Omega_1 : \lambda_\omega f = \mu f \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{H}\} = \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{L}^\circ} \{\omega \in \Omega_1 : \lambda_\omega f = \mu f\}.$$

Define  $F_f(\omega) := \{\omega \in \Omega_1\} \lambda_\omega f$ . For a fixed  $f$ , we have  $F_f(\omega) = \mu f$  a.e.  $[\mu]$  if and only if  $\mu(F_f(\omega) - \mu f)^2 = 0$ . Thus

$$<11> \quad \mu \in \mathbb{G}_{\text{ex}} \quad \text{iff} \quad \mu(F_f - \mu f)^2 = 0 \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{L}^\circ.$$

Specializing to the case  $\mu = \lambda_{\omega'}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_0 &:= \{\omega' \in \Omega_1 : \lambda_{\omega'} \in \mathbb{G}_{\text{ex}}\} = \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{L}^\circ} \{\omega' \in \Omega_1 : \lambda_{\omega'}^\omega (F_f(\omega) - F_f(\omega'))^2 = 0\} \\ &= \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{L}^\circ} \{\omega' \in \Omega_1 : \lambda_{\omega'} F_f^2 = F_f(\omega')^2\}\end{aligned}$$

The last representation shows that  $\Omega_0 \in \mathcal{T}$ .

Finally, note that  $\mathbb{P}\Omega_0 = 1$  if  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathbb{G}$  because, for each  $f \in \mathcal{L}^\circ$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}^{\omega'} \lambda_{\omega'}^\omega (F_f(\omega) - F_f(\omega'))^2 &= \mathbb{P}^{\omega'} \lambda_{\omega'}^\omega F_f(\omega)^2 - \mathbb{P}^{\omega'} F_f(\omega')^2 \\ &= \mathbb{P} F_f^2 - \mathbb{P} F_f^2 = 0\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mathbb{P} f = \mathbb{P}^\omega(\{\omega \in \Omega_0\} \lambda_\omega f)$  is a representation of  $\mathbb{P}$  as a mixture of extremal Gibbs measures.  $\square$

REMARK. Equip  $\mathbb{G}$  with the smallest sigma-field  $\mathcal{G}$  for which each of the maps  $\mu \mapsto \mu f$ , for  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , is  $\mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable. Then  $\mathbb{G}_{\text{ex}} \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $\ell : \omega \rightarrow \lambda_\omega$  is a  $\mathcal{T} \setminus \mathcal{G}$ -measurable map from  $\Omega_0$  into  $\mathbb{G}_{\text{ex}}$ . The image of a Gibbs measure  $\mathbb{P}$  under the map  $\ell$  is a probability measure  $\pi$  on  $\mathbb{G}_{\text{ex}}$ . We could think of  $\mathbb{P}$  as a  $\pi$  average over  $\mathbb{G}_{\text{ex}}$ .

Uniqueness? cf Gheorghii p. 132.