

Thus

$$p_{A^c}(\omega_{A^c}) = \lambda^A p(\omega_A, \omega_{A^c}) = \frac{Z_{\mathcal{N}(A)}}{Z} \prod_{a \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \partial A} \psi_a(\omega_a)$$

where $Z_{\mathcal{N}(A)} = Z_{\mathcal{N}(A)}(\omega_{\mathcal{N}(A)}) := \lambda^A \prod_{a \in \partial A} \Psi_a(\omega_a)$

and

$$p_{A|A^c}(\omega_A | \omega_{A^c}) = \tilde{p}_{\mathcal{N}(A)}(\omega_A | \omega_{\mathcal{N}(A)})$$

$$:= \frac{\{Z_{\mathcal{N}(A)} \neq 0\}}{Z_{\mathcal{N}(A)}} \prod_{a \in \partial A} \Psi_a(\omega_a) \quad \text{a.e. } [\lambda^A \otimes \mathbb{P}^{A^c}].$$

Notice that $\tilde{p}_{\mathcal{N}(A)}$ depends on ω only through the coordinates $\omega_{A \cup \mathcal{N}(A)}$. In fact, it defines a new Markov kernel, a family of measures $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{N}(A)}(\cdot | \omega_{\mathcal{N}(A)})$ on $\mathcal{B}_A$: for each $\omega_{\mathcal{N}(A)}$,

$$\frac{d\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{N}(A)}}{d\lambda^A} = \tilde{p}_{\mathcal{N}(A)}(\omega_A | \omega_{\mathcal{N}(A)})$$

Notice that $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{N}(A)}(\cdot | \omega_{\mathcal{N}(A)})$ is a probability measure at each $\omega_{\mathcal{N}(A)}$ for which $Z_{\mathcal{N}(A)}(\omega_{\mathcal{N}(A)}) \neq 0$.

For $D \subseteq A$, write $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{N}(A)}^D$ for the marginal distribution of ω_D under $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{N}(A)}$. The new Markov kernels fit together in an elegant way.

<14> **Lemma.** Suppose $A \subset B$. Then, at least for nonnegative, $\mathcal{B}_A$ -measurable f ,

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{N}(B)}^{B \setminus \mathcal{N}(A)} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{N}(A)}^A f(\omega_A) = \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{N}(B)}^A f(\omega_A)$$

REMARK. The $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{N}(B)}^{B \setminus \mathcal{N}(A)}$ notation denotes an integral with respect to the $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{N}(B)}$ distribution, for a fixed $\omega_{\mathcal{N}(B)}$, over the ω_i coordinates for $i \in B \cap \mathcal{N}(A)$. The coordinates in $\mathcal{N}(A) \setminus B$ are held fixed.

Proof. For later applications, I think it will be enough to have the result when $B \supseteq A \cup \mathcal{N}(A)$. I will prove only that case. The proof for case where $\mathcal{N}(A) \setminus B \neq \emptyset$ is similar but involves slightly more notation.

Let me simplify notation by defining $N = \mathcal{N}(A)$ and $M = \mathcal{N}(B)$ and $D = B \setminus (A \cup N)$, so that B is a union of disjoint sets $A \cup N \cup D$. We need to show that

<15>
$$\mathcal{Q}_M^N \mathcal{Q}_N^A f(\omega_A) = \mathcal{Q}_M f.$$

Also note the dependence of the densities on particular blocks of coordinates by writing

$$\prod_{a \in \partial A} \Psi_a(\omega_a) = G(\omega_A, \omega_N)$$

$$\prod_{a \in \partial B \setminus \partial A} \Psi_a(\omega_a) = h(\omega_D, \omega_N, \omega_M)$$

so that

$$Z_N(\omega_N) = \lambda^A G(\omega_A, \omega_N)$$

$$Z_M(\omega_M) = \lambda^D \otimes \lambda^N \otimes \lambda^A G(\omega_A, \omega_N) h(\omega_D, \omega_N, \omega_M)$$

$$= \lambda^N Z_N(\omega_N) H(\omega_N, \omega_M)$$

where

$$H(\omega_N, \omega_M) := \lambda^D h(\omega_D, \omega_N, \omega_M)$$

The asserted equality <15> holds trivially (both sides are zero) when $Z_M(\omega_M)$ is zero. For the rest of the proof consider a fixed ω_M at which $Z_M = Z_M(\omega_M) \neq 0$. From the definition of $\mathcal{Q}_M$ on $\mathcal{B}_B$,

$$\frac{d\mathcal{Q}_M}{d(\lambda^D \otimes \lambda^N \otimes \lambda^A)} = \frac{1}{Z_M} G(\omega_A, \omega_N) h(\omega_D, \omega_N, \omega_M)$$

The density of the marginal distribution of ω_N under Q_M is obtained by integrating out with respect to $\lambda^D \otimes \lambda^A$:

$$\frac{dQ_M^N}{d\lambda^N} = \frac{1}{Z_M} \lambda^D \lambda^A G(\omega_A, \omega_N) H(\omega_D, \omega_N, \omega_M) = \frac{Z_N(\omega_N)}{Z_M} H(\omega_N, \omega_M)$$

Thus the left-hand side of <15> equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^N \frac{Z_N(\omega_N)}{Z_M} H(\omega_N, \omega_M) \frac{\{Z_N(\omega_N) \neq 0\}}{Z_N(\omega_N)} \lambda^A G(\omega_A, \omega_N) f(\omega_A) \\ &= \lambda^N \lambda^A \frac{\{Z_N(\omega_N) \neq 0\}}{Z_M} H(\omega_N, \omega_M) G(\omega_A, \omega_N) f(\omega_A) \end{aligned}$$

On the set $\{Z_N(\omega_N) = 0\}$ we have $G(\omega_A, \omega_N) = 0$ a.e. $[\lambda^A]$. The last iterated integral is, therefore, unchanged if we omit the indicator function, leaving

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{Z_M} \lambda^N \lambda^A H(\omega_N, \omega_M) G(\omega_A, \omega_N) f(\omega_A) \\ &= \frac{1}{Z_M} \lambda^N \lambda^A \lambda^D h(\omega_D, \omega_N, \omega_M) G(\omega_A, \omega_N) f(\omega_A) = Q_M f, \end{aligned}$$

□ as asserted by <15>.

6. Conditional distributions for countable sets of sites

When S is countably infinite it is not always possible to define a joint density for ω_S by taking an infinite product.

<16> **Example.** Suppose $\mathcal{X}_i = \{0, 1\}$ and λ^i is the uniform distribution on $\mathcal{X}_i$, for every $i \in S = \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $\mathbb{F} = \{\{i, i+1\} : i \in S\}$ and

$$\Psi_{\{i, i+1\}} = 2\{\omega_i = \omega_{i+1}\} + \{\omega_i \neq \omega_{i+1}\}.$$

The product measure $\lambda^S = \otimes_{i \in S} \lambda^i$ is well defined. We might hope to construct $\mathbb{P}$ by defining

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbb{P}}{d\lambda^S}(\omega_S) &= \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i \in S} \Psi_{\{i, i+1\}}(\omega_i, \omega_{i+1}) \\ \text{where } Z &= \lambda^S \prod_{i \in S} \Psi_{\{i, i+1\}}(\omega_i, \omega_{i+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Unfortunately,

$$Z \geq \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda \otimes \lambda \Psi_{\{2j, 2j+1\}}(\omega_{2j}, \omega_{2j+1}) = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \times 2 + \frac{1}{2} \times 1\right) = \infty$$

□ We would end up with ∞/∞ .

An alternative method is to construct $\mathbb{P}$ as some sort of limit of distributions on larger and larger finite chunks of S . The use of $Q_N(A)$ conditional distributions will help us to avoid an inconsistent assignment of conditional distributions.

<17> **Example.** Suppose $S = \{1, 2\}$ with $\mathcal{X}_i = \{0, 1\}$ and λ^i equal to counting measure, for each i . Does there exist a probability density $p(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ on $\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2$ with conditiona densities

$$\begin{aligned} p_{1|2}(\omega_1 | \omega_2) &= \alpha \{\omega_1 = \omega_2\} + \bar{\alpha} \{\omega_1 \neq \omega_2\} & \text{where } \bar{\alpha} &= 1 - \alpha \\ p_{2|1}(\omega_2 | \omega_1) &= \beta \{\omega_1 = \omega_2\} + \bar{\beta} \{\omega_1 \neq \omega_2\} & \text{where } \bar{\beta} &= 1 - \beta \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \neq \beta$? If there were such a p we would have

$$p(0, 0) + p(1, 1) = p_1(0) p_{2|1}(0 | 0) + p_1(1) p_{2|1}(1 | 1) = \beta$$

- A similar argument with the roles of the two coordinates interchanged would give $p(0, 0) + p(1, 1) = \alpha$, a contradiction.

Everything from here onwards is under revision.

cf. Georgii (1988, page 31) <18> **Definition.** Write $\mathcal{S}$ for the collection of all finite, nonempty subsets of S . Write $\mathcal{L}$ for the set of all bounded, $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable, real functions on Ω that depend on ω only through a finite set of coordinates $S(f) \subset S$. For each $A \in \mathcal{S}$, write $\mathcal{L}_A$ for $\{f \in \mathcal{L} : S(f) \subseteq A\}$. Write m_f for $\sup_{\omega} |f(\omega)|$.

7. Notes

See Griffeath (1976) for the main idea (the so-called Möbius inversion, as presented in Lemma <12>) behind the proof of the Hammersley-Clifford result.

Georgii (1988, page 16) called a family of Markov kernels that satisfies a condition like the one asserted by Lemma <14> a *specification*.

REFERENCES

- Georgii, H.-O. (1988), *Gibbs Measures and Phase Transitions*, Walter de Gruyter.
 Griffeath, D. (1976), *Introduction to Markov Random Fields*, Springer. Chapter 12 of *Denumerable Markov Chains* by Kemeny, Knapp, and Snell (2nd edition).
 Pollard, D. (2001), *A User's Guide to Measure Theoretic Probability*, Cambridge University Press.