

1. Notation

Define $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$, the set of natural numbers. Define

$$\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \quad \overline{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}, \quad \overline{\mathbb{N}}_0 = \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$$

Let \mathcal{X} be a set, equipped with the sigma-field \mathcal{B} . Define $\Omega = \mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$. The typical element of Ω is a sequence $\omega = (\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots)$. Equip Ω with \mathcal{F}_∞ , the smallest sigma-field on Ω for which each of the maps $\omega \mapsto \omega_n$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, is $\mathcal{F}_\infty \setminus \mathcal{B}$ -measurable. Write \mathcal{F}_n for the smallest sigma-field on Ω for which all of the maps $\omega \mapsto \omega_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$ are $\mathcal{F}_n \setminus \mathcal{B}$ -measurable.

For $0 \leq n \leq \infty$, write $\mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{F}_n)$ for the set of all \mathcal{F}_n -measurable $[0, \infty]$ -valued functions on Ω . For $n < \infty$, a function belongs to $\mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{F}_n)$ if and only if it can be represented as $f_n(\omega_0, \dots, \omega_n)$ with f_n a \mathcal{B}^{n+1} -measurable, nonnegative function on \mathcal{X}^{n+1} .

A **Markov kernel** on \mathcal{X} is a family of probability measures $\{P(x, \cdot) : x \in \mathcal{X}\}$ on \mathcal{B} for which $x \mapsto P(x, B)$ is \mathcal{B} -measurable for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$. I will also write P_x for $P(x, \cdot)$ and, for an integrable function f on \mathcal{X} , define

$$Pf = P_x f = P_x^y f(y) = P(x, f) = \int f(y) P(x, dy).$$

When \mathcal{X} is finite, one can also think of P as a matrix of nonnegative numbers for which $\sum_y P(x, y) = 1$ for each x . The notation Pf then agrees with the usual notation for the product of P and a column vector f .

Let μ be a probability measure on \mathcal{B} . For each function $f(\omega) = f_n(\omega_0, \dots, \omega_n)$ in $\mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{F}_n)$ define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_\mu f &= \int \dots \int \mu(d\omega_0) P(\omega_0, d\omega_1) P(\omega_1, d\omega_2) \dots P(\omega_{n-1}, d\omega_n) f_n(\omega_0, \dots, \omega_n) \\ &= \mu^{\omega_0} P_{\omega_0}^{\omega_1} P_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} \dots P_{\omega_{n-1}}^{\omega_n} f_n(\omega_0, \dots, \omega_n) \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown (Pollard 2001, Section 4.8) that \mathbb{P}_μ has a unique extension to a probability measure on \mathcal{F}_∞ .

Write \mathbb{P}_x for \mathbb{P}_μ when μ concentrates at the single point x

2. Markov chains

To begin with, suppose \mathcal{X} is a countable set and \mathcal{B} consists of all subsets of \mathcal{X} . Call $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ a Markov chain with state space \mathcal{X} and transition probabilities $P(x, y)$ if

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_{n+1} = y \mid X_0 = x_0, X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n\} = P(x_n, y)$$

for all n , all x_0, \dots, x_n , and all y . If X_0 has distribution μ then

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_0 = x_0, X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n\} = \mu\{x_0\} P(x_0, x_1) P(x_1, x_2) \dots P(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

More generally, for suitably integrable f ,

$$\mathbb{P}f(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n) = \mu^{x_0} P_{x_0}^{x_1} \dots P_{x_{n-1}}^{x_n} f(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mathbb{P}_\mu f.$$

Even more generally,

$$<1> \quad \mathbb{P}f(X_0, X_1, \dots) = \mathbb{P}_\mu f \quad \text{at least for all } f \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{F}_\infty).$$

In other words, as a map from the underlying probability space into the sequence space Ω , the infinite random vector (X_0, X_1, \dots) has distribution \mathbb{P}_μ .

Property <1> also makes sense for a general state space \mathcal{X} . It can be used as the definition of a Markov chain with state space \mathcal{X} and transition probabilities $P(x, y)$

In fact, if we are only interested in probabilities and expectations involving the X_i 's, we can work exclusively with \mathbb{P}_μ . More formally, we could identify X_i with the i th coordinate map, that is $X_i(\omega) = \omega_i$, so that the Markov chain is actually defined by a sequence of random variables on the sequence space Ω . Under \mathbb{P}_μ , the chain has initial distribution μ ; under \mathbb{P}_x , the chain starts from x .

3. The Markov property

Suppose $k < n$. Suppose f and g are nonnegative, measurable functions of finitely many arguments. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_\mu f(\omega_0, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_k) g(\omega_k, \dots, \omega_n) \\ = \mu^{\omega_0} P_{\omega_0}^{\omega_1} P_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} \dots P_{\omega_{k-1}}^{\omega_k} f(\omega_0, \dots, \omega_k) (P_{\omega_k}^{\omega_{k+1}} \dots P_{\omega_{n-1}}^{\omega_n} g(\omega_k, \dots, \omega_n)). \end{aligned}$$

The expression in parentheses at the end corresponds to an expectation for a chain started at ω_k . Indeed, if we rename the dummy variables we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\omega_k}^{\omega_{k+1}} \dots P_{\omega_{n-1}}^{\omega_n} g(\omega_k, \dots, \omega_n) \\ = P_{\omega_k}^{y_1} \dots P_{y_{\ell-1}}^{y_\ell} g(\omega_k, y_1, \dots, y_\ell) \quad \text{where } \ell = n - k \\ = \mathbb{P}_{\omega_k} g \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{P}_\mu f(\omega_0, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_k) g(\omega_k, \dots, \omega_n) = \mathbb{P}_\mu (f(\omega_0, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_k) \mathbb{P}_{\omega_k} g)$$

A simple generating class argument extends the equality at least to all g in $\mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{F}_\infty)$. The resulting assertion is called the **Markov property**.

4. Stopping times

A function $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{N}}_0$ is called a stopping time if $\{\tau \leq k\} \in \mathcal{F}_k$ for each $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}_0$. Equivalently, $\{\tau = k\} \in \mathcal{F}_k$ for each $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}_0$.

The sigma-field corresponding to “information available at time τ ” is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_\tau = \{F \in \mathcal{F}_\infty : F \cap \{\tau \leq k\} \in \mathcal{F}_k \text{ for all } k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}_0\}.$$

It is not too difficult to show that $f \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{F}_\tau)$ if and only if

$$f(\omega) = \sum_{k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}_0} f_k(\omega_0, \dots, \omega_k) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau = k\}}$$

where f_k is \mathcal{B}^{k+1} -measurable and nonnegative.

5. The strong Markov property

Suppose $f \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{F}_\tau)$ and $g \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{F}_\infty)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_\mu f(\omega) g(X_\tau, X_{\tau+1}, \dots) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < \infty\}} \\ = \sum_{k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}_0} \mathbb{P}_\mu f(\omega) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau = k\}} g(\omega_k, \omega_{k+1}, \dots) \\ = \sum_{k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}_0} \mathbb{P}_\mu f(\omega) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau = k\}} \mathbb{P}_{\omega_k} g \quad \text{by the Markov property} \\ = \mathbb{P}(f(\omega) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < \infty\}} \mathbb{P}_{X_\tau(\omega)} g). \end{aligned}$$

This assertion is called the *strong Markov property*. It can also be expressed as

$$\mathbb{P}_\mu (g(X_\tau, X_{\tau+1}, \dots) \mid \mathcal{F}_\tau) = \mathbb{P}_x g \quad \text{on the set } \{\tau < \infty, X_\tau = x\}.$$

6. Exercises

Suppose \mathcal{X} is a countable state space and α is some arbitrary, but fixed, state. Try to use the strong Markov property to establish the following assertions.

Remember that $\tau_y = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} : X_n = y\}$. Define $\eta_y = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_n = y\}}$, the number of times the chain visits state y .

Say that a state α is accessible from a state x , denoted by $x \leadsto \alpha$, if $\mathbb{P}_x\{\tau_\alpha < \infty\} > 0$.

- [1] Show that the following three assertions are equivalent.

- (i) $\mathbb{P}_\alpha\{\tau_\alpha < \infty\} = 1$
- (ii) $\mathbb{P}_\alpha\eta_\alpha = \infty$
- (iii) $\mathbb{P}_\alpha\{\eta_\alpha = \infty\} = 1$

REMARK. A state α that satisfies any (and hence all) of these three requirements is said to be *recurrent*.

- [2] Suppose $x \leadsto \alpha$ and $\mathbb{P}_x\{\tau_x < \infty\} = 1$, for two states $x, \alpha \in \mathcal{X}$. Show that $\mathbb{P}_x\{\tau_\alpha < \infty\} = \mathbb{P}_\alpha\{\tau_\alpha < \infty\} = 1$.

7. Notes

There are many texts that develop the standard theory for Markov chains on countable state spaces. Chung (1967) is a classic. I find Freedman (1983) very clear because many details are spelled out.

REFERENCES

- Chung, K. L. (1967), *Markov Chains with Stationary Transition Probabilities*, second edn, Springer-Verlag.
 Freedman, D. (1983), *Markov Chains*, Springer-Verlag.
 Pollard, D. (2001), *A User's Guide to Measure Theoretic Probability*, Cambridge University Press.