

## SOLUTION TO HW2.3

(Hard; extremely optional) In class on September 11 you saw lots of technical details that are usually hidden in a course at this level. In particular, you saw the role played by the process  $Z_n(t) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i \leq n} \dot{g}(x_i, t)$  for  $t$  near  $\theta$ . Define  $H_\theta(t) = \mathbb{E}_\theta \dot{g}(z, t)$  and

$$W_n(t) = Z_n(t) - \mathbb{E}_\theta Z_n(t) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i \leq n} \left( \dot{g}(x_i, t) - H_\theta(t) \right).$$

For each constant  $C$  show (under the assumptions described in class) that

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta \sup_{|h| \leq C} |W_n(\theta + h/\sqrt{n}) - W_n(\theta)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

What does this tell you about the behavior of  $Z_n(\theta_n^*)$  (under  $\mathbb{P}_\theta$ ) if  $\theta_n^*$  is a root- $n$  consistent estimator for  $\theta$ ? Hint: Use more Taylor, starting from

$$\sqrt{n} (Z_n(\theta + \delta) - Z_n(\theta)) = \sum_{i \leq n} \delta \int_0^1 \ddot{g}(x_i, \theta + s\delta) ds.$$

I'll use primes rather than dots to indicate derivatives with respect to the parameter, because  $\ddot{g}(z, t)$  looks weird. Maybe it would be better use another letter, say  $q = \dot{g}$ , because  $\ddot{q}$  looks better than  $\ddot{g}$ .

## 0.1 Assumptions

The assumptions are

- (i)  $\mathbb{E}_\theta |g'(z, \theta)|^2 < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}_\theta \dot{g}(z, \theta) = 0$
- (ii)  $\mathbb{E}_\theta |g''(z, \theta)| < \infty$
- (iii) There exists a neighborhood  $U = (\theta - \epsilon_0, \theta + \epsilon_0)$  of  $\theta$  and a function  $M$  for which

$$|g'''(z, t)| \leq M(z) \quad \text{for all } t \in U \text{ and all } z.$$

Also,  $\mathbb{E}_\theta M < \infty$ .

## 0.2 Pointwise control

Taylor's theorem with remainders written in integral form make it easier to control the error terms in the approximations for  $W_n$ . Start with the pointwise approximation to  $g'$ . For any fixed  $\delta$  with  $|\delta| \leq \epsilon_0$ ,

$$<1> \quad g'(z, \theta + \delta) - g'(z, \theta) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial s} g''(z, \theta + s\delta) ds = \delta \int_0^1 g''(z, \theta + s\delta) ds.$$

Similarly,

$$g''(z, \theta + s\delta) - g''(z, \theta) = \delta \int_0^s g'''(z, \theta + t\delta) dt.$$

Combine the last two equalities to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} r_\theta(z, \delta) &:= g'(z, \theta + \delta) - g'(z, \theta) - \delta g''(z, \theta) \\ &= \delta^2 \iint \{0 < t < s < 1\} g'''(z, \theta + t\delta) dt ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$<2> \quad |r_\theta(z, \delta)| \leq \int \int \{0 < t < s < 1\} M(z) dt ds = \frac{1}{2} \delta^2 M(z).$$

## 0.3 Approximations

Temporarily write  $a_n$  for  $n^{-1/2}$ . By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} H_\theta(\theta + \delta) &= \mathbb{E}_\theta g'(z, \theta + \delta) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\theta (g'(z, \theta) + \delta g''(z, \theta) + r_\theta(z, \delta)) \\ &= 0 - \delta \mathbb{I}(\theta) + R(\delta) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|R(\delta)| \leq \mathbb{E}_\theta |r_\theta(z, \delta)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \delta^2 \mathbb{E}_\theta M \quad \text{if } |\delta| \leq \epsilon_0.$$

In particular, if  $|h| \leq C$  and  $Cn^{-1/2} \leq \epsilon_0$ , then

$$<3> \quad \mathbb{E}_\theta Z_n(\theta + ha_n) = a_n^{-1} H_\theta(\theta + ha_n) = -h \mathbb{I}(\theta) + R(ha_n)$$

where  $|R(ha_n)| \leq Ka_n$  for  $K := C^2 \mathbb{E}_\theta M/2$ .

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_n(\theta + ha_n) &= a_n \sum_{i \leq n} (g'(x_i, \theta) + ha_n g''(x_i, \theta) + r_\theta(x_i, ha_n)) \\ &= Z_n(\theta) + hJ_n + R_n(ha_n) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where  $J_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i \leq n} g''(x_i, \theta)$  and

$$|R_n(ha_n)| \leq \frac{a_n C^2}{2n} \sum_{i \leq n} M(x_i).$$

From (3) and (4), for  $|h| \leq C$

$$\Delta_n(C) := \sup_{|h| \leq C} |W_n(\theta + ha_n) - W_n(\theta)| \leq C|J_n + \mathbb{I}(\theta)| + R_n(ha_n) + Ka_n. \tag{5}$$

In HW2.3 I asked you to show that  $\mathbb{E}_\theta \Delta_n(C) \rightarrow 0$  for each fixed  $C$ , in the mistaken belief that it would make the problem easier. The contributions from  $R_n$  is easily disposed of:

$$|\mathbb{E}_\theta R_n(ha_n)| \leq a_n C^2 \mathbb{E}_\theta M.$$

The SLLN tells us that  $J_n + \mathbb{I}(\theta) \rightarrow 0$  with  $\mathbb{P}_\theta$ -probability one. You would need to make some sort of uniform integrability argument to deduce that  $\mathbb{E}_\theta |J_n + \mathbb{I}(\theta)| \rightarrow 0$ . (Sorry about that.)

In fact we only need the weaker convergence in probability,

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta\{\Delta_n(C) > \epsilon\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for each fixed } \epsilon > 0 \text{ and } C < \infty, \tag{6}$$

for which a WLLN applied to  $J_n + \mathbb{I}(\theta)$  suffices.

Let me show you why (6) suffices to control  $Z_n(\theta_n^*)$  for a root- $n$  consistent estimator  $\theta_n^*$ . Define  $h_n^* = \sqrt{n}(\theta_n^* - \theta)$ . For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a constant  $C_\epsilon$  for which

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta\{|h_n^*| > C_\epsilon\} < \epsilon \quad \text{for all } n.$$

The equality

$$Z_n(\theta_n^*) - Z_n(\theta) = W_n(\theta_n^*) - W_n(\theta)h_n^*\mathbb{I}(\theta)$$

shows that

$$|Z_n(\theta_n^*) - Z_n(\theta) + h_n^*\mathbb{I}(\theta)| \leq \Delta_n(C_\epsilon) + Ka_n \quad \text{whenever } |h_n^*| \leq C_\epsilon.$$

It follows that  $\mathbb{P}_\theta\{|Z_n(\theta_n^*) - Z_n(\theta) + h_n^*\mathbb{I}(\theta)| > 2\epsilon\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for each  $\epsilon > 0$ .