S&DS 241 Lecture 2

Probability axioms. Counting. B-H Section 1.2-1.6

(App A.1: review of set theory)

Axiomatic framework

Three elements

1 Sample space

2 Events

3 Probability measure

Sample space and events

- Sample space Ω : set of all possible outcomes
- Outcome $\omega \in \Omega$: element of sample space
- Events $A \subset \Omega$: subset of sample space

Sample space and events

- Sample space Ω: set of all possible outcomes
- Outcome $\omega \in \Omega$: element of sample space
- Events $A \subset \Omega$: subset of sample space

Coin

- $\Omega = \{H, T\}$
- Events: $A = \{H\}$

Sample space and events

- Sample space Ω: set of all possible outcomes
- Outcome $\omega \in \Omega$: element of sample space
- Events $A \subset \Omega$: subset of sample space

Coin

- $\Omega = \{H, T\}$
- Events: $A = \{H\}$

Die

- $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
- Events:

Language of set theory

Name	Notation	Description
complement	A ^c	occurs if and only if A does not occur
union	$A \cup B$	occurs when either A or B occurs
intersection	$A \cap B$	occurs when both A and B occurs
implication	$A \subset B$	B occurs whenever A occurs.

Examples: dice

- $(even)^c = \{odd\}$
- **2** {even} \cup {divisible by 3} = {2,3,4,6}
- 3 {even} \cap {divisible by 3} = {6}
- 4 {divisible by 6} \subset {even}

Useful tool: Venn diagram



Useful tool: de Morgan's law

- $(A \cup B)^c = A^c \cap B^c$
- $(A \cap B)^c = A^c \cup B^c$

Probability of an event

To each event $A \subset \Omega$, we attach a number P(A), which denotes its probability.

Simplest model: equiprobable

In a finite sample space Ω :

1 For event A,

$$P(A) = \frac{\text{number of outcomes in } A}{\text{total number of outcomes}} = \frac{|A|}{|\Omega|}$$

2 Hence every outcome is equally likely:

$$P(\{\omega\}) = rac{1}{|\Omega|}$$

This is sometimes referred to as the classical definition of probability.¹

¹For more: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Classical_definition_of_probability.

Fair coin

- $\Omega = \{H, T\}$
- $P({H}) = P({T}) = \frac{1}{2}$

Fair coin

- $\Omega = \{H, T\}$
- $P({H}) = P({T}) = \frac{1}{2}$

Fair die

• $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$

Fair coin

- $\Omega = \{H, T\}$
- $P({H}) = P({T}) = \frac{1}{2}$

Fair die

- $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
- $P(\{6\}) = \frac{1}{6}$

Fair coin

- $\Omega = \{H, T\}$
- $P({H}) = P({T}) = \frac{1}{2}$

Fair die

- $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
- $P(\{6\}) = \frac{1}{6}$
- $P(\text{even}) = \frac{3}{6} = \frac{1}{2}$.

Fair coin

- $\Omega = \{H, T\}$
- $P({H}) = P({T}) = \frac{1}{2}$

Fair die

- $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
- $P(\{6\}) = \frac{1}{6}$
- $P(\text{even}) = \frac{3}{6} = \frac{1}{2}$.
- How many possible events are there?

• Sample space

$$\Omega = \begin{cases} (1,1) & (1,2) & (1,3) & (1,4) & (1,5) & (1,6) \\ (2,1) & (2,2) & (2,3) & (2,4) & (2,5) & (2,6) \\ (3,1) & (3,2) & (3,3) & (3,4) & (3,5) & (3,6) \\ (4,1) & (4,2) & (4,3) & (4,4) & (4,5) & (4,6) \\ (5,1) & (5,2) & (5,3) & (5,4) & (5,5) & (5,6) \\ (6,1) & (6,2) & (6,3) & (6,4) & (6,5) & (6,6) \end{cases}$$

• Sample space

$$\Omega = \begin{cases} (1,1) & (1,2) & (1,3) & (1,4) & (1,5) & (1,6) \\ (2,1) & (2,2) & (2,3) & (2,4) & (2,5) & (2,6) \\ (3,1) & (3,2) & (3,3) & (3,4) & (3,5) & (3,6) \\ (4,1) & (4,2) & (4,3) & (4,4) & (4,5) & (4,6) \\ (5,1) & (5,2) & (5,3) & (5,4) & (5,5) & (5,6) \\ (6,1) & (6,2) & (6,3) & (6,4) & (6,5) & (6,6) \end{cases}$$

• P(double) =

• Sample space

$$\Omega = \begin{cases} (1,1) & (1,2) & (1,3) & (1,4) & (1,5) & (1,6) \\ (2,1) & (2,2) & (2,3) & (2,4) & (2,5) & (2,6) \\ (3,1) & (3,2) & (3,3) & (3,4) & (3,5) & (3,6) \\ (4,1) & (4,2) & (4,3) & (4,4) & (4,5) & (4,6) \\ (5,1) & (5,2) & (5,3) & (5,4) & (5,5) & (5,6) \\ (6,1) & (6,2) & (6,3) & (6,4) & (6,5) & (6,6) \end{cases}$$

• $P(\text{double}) = \frac{6}{36} = \frac{1}{6}$

• Sample space

$$\Omega = \begin{cases} (1,1) & (1,2) & (1,3) & (1,4) & (1,5) & (1,6) \\ (2,1) & (2,2) & (2,3) & (2,4) & (2,5) & (2,6) \\ (3,1) & (3,2) & (3,3) & (3,4) & (3,5) & (3,6) \\ (4,1) & (4,2) & (4,3) & (4,4) & (4,5) & (4,6) \\ (5,1) & (5,2) & (5,3) & (5,4) & (5,5) & (5,6) \\ (6,1) & (6,2) & (6,3) & (6,4) & (6,5) & (6,6) \end{cases}$$

• $P(\text{double}) = \frac{6}{36} = \frac{1}{6}$ (a better way?)

• Sample space

$$\Omega = \begin{cases} (1,1) & (1,2) & (1,3) & (1,4) & (1,5) & (1,6) \\ (2,1) & (2,2) & (2,3) & (2,4) & (2,5) & (2,6) \\ (3,1) & (3,2) & (3,3) & (3,4) & (3,5) & (3,6) \\ (4,1) & (4,2) & (4,3) & (4,4) & (4,5) & (4,6) \\ (5,1) & (5,2) & (5,3) & (5,4) & (5,5) & (5,6) \\ (6,1) & (6,2) & (6,3) & (6,4) & (6,5) & (6,6) \end{cases}$$

•
$$P(\text{double}) = \frac{6}{36} = \frac{1}{6}$$
 (a better way?)

• P(sum is even) =

• Sample space

$$\Omega = \begin{cases} (1,1) & (1,2) & (1,3) & (1,4) & (1,5) & (1,6) \\ (2,1) & (2,2) & (2,3) & (2,4) & (2,5) & (2,6) \\ (3,1) & (3,2) & (3,3) & (3,4) & (3,5) & (3,6) \\ (4,1) & (4,2) & (4,3) & (4,4) & (4,5) & (4,6) \\ (5,1) & (5,2) & (5,3) & (5,4) & (5,5) & (5,6) \\ (6,1) & (6,2) & (6,3) & (6,4) & (6,5) & (6,6) \end{cases}$$

•
$$P(\text{double}) = \frac{6}{36} = \frac{1}{6}$$
 (a better way?)

•
$$P(\text{sum is even}) = \frac{2+4+6+4+2}{36} = \frac{1}{2}$$

• Sample space

$$\Omega = \begin{cases} (1,1) & (1,2) & (1,3) & (1,4) & (1,5) & (1,6) \\ (2,1) & (2,2) & (2,3) & (2,4) & (2,5) & (2,6) \\ (3,1) & (3,2) & (3,3) & (3,4) & (3,5) & (3,6) \\ (4,1) & (4,2) & (4,3) & (4,4) & (4,5) & (4,6) \\ (5,1) & (5,2) & (5,3) & (5,4) & (5,5) & (5,6) \\ (6,1) & (6,2) & (6,3) & (6,4) & (6,5) & (6,6) \end{cases}$$

•
$$P(\text{double}) = \frac{6}{36} = \frac{1}{6}$$
 (a better way?)

• $P(\text{sum is even}) = \frac{2+4+6+4+2}{36} = \frac{1}{2}$ (a better way?

Sample space

$$\Omega = \begin{cases} (1,1) & (1,2) & (1,3) & (1,4) & (1,5) & (1,6) \\ (2,1) & (2,2) & (2,3) & (2,4) & (2,5) & (2,6) \\ (3,1) & (3,2) & (3,3) & (3,4) & (3,5) & (3,6) \\ (4,1) & (4,2) & (4,3) & (4,4) & (4,5) & (4,6) \\ (5,1) & (5,2) & (5,3) & (5,4) & (5,5) & (5,6) \\ (6,1) & (6,2) & (6,3) & (6,4) & (6,5) & (6,6) \end{cases}$$

- $P(\text{double}) = \frac{6}{36} = \frac{1}{6}$ (a better way?)
- $P(\text{sum is even}) = \frac{2+4+6+4+2}{36} = \frac{1}{2}$ (a better way? does the result change if the die has five faces?)

What if the dice are not fair?

More generally

Definition

Let Ω be a finite sample space. A **probability measure** is a function *P* that assigns a number to each event that satisfies the following properties:

1 Positivity:²

 $P(\{\omega\}) \ge 0, \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$

2 Normalization:

 $P(\Omega) = 1$

3 Additivity:

$$P(A) = \sum_{\omega \in A} P(\{\omega\})$$

²The notation \forall reads "for all".

Biased coin

- $\Omega = \{H, T\}$
- $P({H}) = \frac{2}{3} \text{ and } P({T}) = \frac{1}{3}$

Biased coin

•
$$\Omega = \{H, T\}$$

•
$$P({H}) = \frac{2}{3} \text{ and } P({T}) = \frac{1}{3}$$

Loaded die

•
$$\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$$

Biased coin

•
$$\Omega = \{H, T\}$$

•
$$P({H}) = \frac{2}{3} \text{ and } P({T}) = \frac{1}{3}$$

Loaded die

•
$$\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$$

Biased coin

•
$$\Omega = \{H, T\}$$

•
$$P({H}) = \frac{2}{3} \text{ and } P({T}) = \frac{1}{3}$$

Loaded die

•
$$\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$$

•
$$P(even) = 0.7$$

<u>Remarks</u>

- For finite sample space, suffices to specify the prob of each outcome
- Probability of a given event depends on the model (assumption)
- Equiprobable model is often assumed implicitly

More general experiments:

- Infinitely many outcomes: e.g. how many tosses to get the first head
- A continuum of possible outcomes: e.g. position of a randomly spinned wheel

More general experiments:

- Infinitely many outcomes: e.g. how many tosses to get the first head
- A continuum of possible outcomes: e.g. position of a randomly spinned wheel

The rule of assigning probabilities should conform to intuition: e.g.

- P(rain) + P(no rain) = 1
- $P(\text{flush}) \ge P(\text{royal flush})$

More general experiments:

- Infinitely many outcomes: e.g. how many tosses to get the first head
- A continuum of possible outcomes: e.g. position of a randomly spinned wheel

The rule of assigning probabilities should conform to intuition: e.g.

- P(rain) + P(no rain) = 1
- $P(\text{flush}) \ge P(\text{royal flush})$

• ...

The axiomatic framework of probability is proposed by A.N. Kolmogorov.



Definition

A probability measure is a function P that assigns a number to each event that satisfies the following properties:

1 Positivity: For any event A,

 $P(A) \geq 0$,

2 Normalization:

$$P(\Omega) = 1$$

Additivity: Let A_i be mutually exclusive events, i.e., A_i ∩ A_j = Ø whenever i ≠ j. Then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}A_{i}
ight)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}P\left(A_{i}
ight)$$

Consequences

Corollary

- $P(\varnothing) = 0$
- $A \subset B \implies P(A) \leq P(B)$: if A leads to B, then B is more likely
- $0 \leq P(A) \leq 1$
- $P(A^c) = 1 P(A)$

Consequences

Corollary

- $P(\varnothing) = 0$
- $A \subset B \implies P(A) \le P(B)$: if A leads to B, then B is more likely
- $0 \leq P(A) \leq 1$
- $P(A^c) = 1 P(A)$

Proof.

- $\varnothing \cap \varnothing = \varnothing$ and $\varnothing \cup \varnothing = \varnothing$.
- $P(B) = P(A) + P(B \cap A^c)$
- $\varnothing \subset A \subset \Omega$
- A and A^c are mutually exclusive

A group of subjects are told:

Linda is 31, single, outspoken, and very bright. She majored in philosophy in college. As a student, she was deeply concerned with racial discrimination and other social issues, and participated in anti-nuclear demonstrations.

³https://www.washingtonpost.com/graphics/2017/politics/cognitive-biases/

A group of subjects are told:

Linda is 31, single, outspoken, and very bright. She majored in philosophy in college. As a student, she was deeply concerned with racial discrimination and other social issues, and participated in anti-nuclear demonstrations.

They are then asked to rank the likelihood of various alternatives, e.g.:

(1) Linda is a bank teller.

(2) Linda is a bank teller and active in the feminist movement.

³https://www.washingtonpost.com/graphics/2017/politics/cognitive-biases/

A group of subjects are told:

Linda is 31, single, outspoken, and very bright. She majored in philosophy in college. As a student, she was deeply concerned with racial discrimination and other social issues, and participated in anti-nuclear demonstrations.

They are then asked to rank the likelihood of various alternatives, e.g.:

(1) Linda is a bank teller.

(2) Linda is a bank teller and active in the feminist movement. Tversky and Kahneman found that 85 \sim 90% of the subjects rated (2) to be more likely. Is it?

³https://www.washingtonpost.com/graphics/2017/politics/cognitive-biases/

A group of subjects are told:

Linda is 31, single, outspoken, and very bright. She majored in philosophy in college. As a student, she was deeply concerned with racial discrimination and other social issues, and participated in anti-nuclear demonstrations.

They are then asked to rank the likelihood of various alternatives, e.g.:

(1) Linda is a bank teller.

(2) Linda is a bank teller and active in the feminist movement. Tversky and Kahneman found that $85 \sim 90\%$ of the subjects rated (2) to be more likely. Is it?

Of course not: $P(A \cap B) \le P(B)$ (They call this phenomenon the conjunction fallacy, and note that it appears to be unaffected by prior training in probability or statistics.)

³https://www.washingtonpost.com/graphics/2017/politics/cognitive-biases/

Union of two events

$$P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)$$

Analogy: "area"





Three events

$$P(A \cup B \cup C) = P(A) + P(B) + P(C)$$
$$- P(A \cap B) - P(B \cap C) - P(C \cap A)$$
$$+ P(A \cap B \cap C)$$

Proof.

Apply the previous formula for two events thrice.



Extending this formula to $P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}A_i)$: Inclusion-exclusion principle (later).

Counting and computing probabilities

Recall: factorial and binomial coefficients

- $n! \triangleq n(n-1) \cdots 1$: number of ways to permute *n* items
- $n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$: number of ways, considering order, to choose k items out of n items
- $\binom{n}{k} \triangleq \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)}{k!} = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!}$: number of ways, regardless of order, to choose k items out of n items

A poker deck consists of 52 cards:

$1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\heartsuit, 1\clubsuit, \dots, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\clubsuit$

Draw five cards successively from the deck:

A poker deck consists of 52 cards:

$1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\heartsuit, 1\clubsuit, \dots, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\clubsuit$

Draw five cards successively from the deck:

A poker deck consists of 52 cards:

 $1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\heartsuit, 1\clubsuit, \dots, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\clubsuit$

Draw five cards successively from the deck:

A poker deck consists of 52 cards:

 $1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\heartsuit, 1\clubsuit, \dots, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\clubsuit$

Draw five cards successively from the deck:

$$P(\mathsf{Flush}) = \frac{4 \times \binom{13}{5}}{\binom{52}{5}}$$

A poker deck consists of 52 cards:

 $1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\heartsuit, 1\clubsuit, \dots, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\clubsuit$

Draw five cards successively from the deck:

$$P(\mathsf{Flush}) = \frac{4 \times \binom{13}{5}}{\binom{52}{5}} = \frac{33}{16660} \approx 0.198\%$$

A poker deck consists of 52 cards:

 $1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\heartsuit, 1\clubsuit, \dots, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\clubsuit$

Draw five cards successively from the deck:

• Flush: all five cards have the same suit e.g. $2\diamondsuit, 3\diamondsuit, 5\diamondsuit, 7\diamondsuit, 9\diamondsuit$

$$P(\mathsf{Flush}) = \frac{4 \times \binom{13}{5}}{\binom{52}{5}} = \frac{33}{16660} \approx 0.198\%$$

A poker deck consists of 52 cards:

 $1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\heartsuit, 1\clubsuit, \dots, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\clubsuit$

Draw five cards successively from the deck:

• Flush: all five cards have the same suit e.g. $2\diamondsuit, 3\diamondsuit, 5\diamondsuit, 7\diamondsuit, 9\diamondsuit$

$$P(\mathsf{Flush}) = rac{4 imes {13 \choose 5}}{{52 \choose 5}} = rac{33}{16660} pprox 0.198\%$$

A poker deck consists of 52 cards:

 $1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\heartsuit, 1\clubsuit, \dots, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\clubsuit$

Draw five cards successively from the deck:

• Flush: all five cards have the same suit e.g. $2\diamondsuit, 3\diamondsuit, 5\diamondsuit, 7\diamondsuit, 9\diamondsuit$

$$P(\mathsf{Flush}) = rac{4 imes {13 \choose 5}}{{52 \choose 5}} = rac{33}{16660} \approx 0.198\%$$

$$P(\text{Full house}) = \frac{13 \times 12 \times \binom{4}{3} \times \binom{4}{2}}{\binom{52}{5}}$$

A poker deck consists of 52 cards:

 $1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\heartsuit, 1\clubsuit, \dots, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\clubsuit$

Draw five cards successively from the deck:

• Flush: all five cards have the same suit e.g. $2\diamondsuit, 3\diamondsuit, 5\diamondsuit, 7\diamondsuit, 9\diamondsuit$

$$P(\mathsf{Flush}) = rac{4 imes {13 \choose 5}}{{52 \choose 5}} = rac{33}{16660} \approx 0.198\%$$

$$P(\text{Full house}) = \frac{13 \times 12 \times \binom{4}{3} \times \binom{4}{2}}{\binom{52}{5}} = \frac{6}{4165} \approx 0.144\%$$

A poker deck consists of 52 cards:

 $1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\heartsuit, 1\clubsuit, \dots, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\clubsuit$

Draw two cards successively from the deck.

P(2nd card is higher than 1st card) =

A poker deck consists of 52 cards:

 $1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\diamondsuit, 1\heartsuit, 1\clubsuit, \dots, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\diamondsuit, 13\clubsuit$

Draw two cards successively from the deck.

$$P(2$$
nd card is higher than 1st card) = $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{13} 4 \times 4 \times (i-1)}{52 \times 51} = \frac{8}{17}$

since

$$1 + 2 + \dots + 12 = \frac{(1 + 12) \times 12}{2} = 78$$

Or, we can be smarter. Consider three events:

 $H = \{2nd \text{ higher than 1st}\}$ $L = \{2nd \text{ lower than 1st}\}$ $E = \{2nd \text{ equal to 1st}\}$

Then we know

1 P(H) + P(L) + P(E) = 1

Or, we can be smarter. Consider three events:

 $H = \{2nd \text{ higher than 1st}\}$ $L = \{2nd \text{ lower than 1st}\}$ $E = \{2nd \text{ equal to 1st}\}$

Then we know

P(H) + P(L) + P(E) = 1
 P(H) = P(L) (by symmetry)

Or, we can be smarter. Consider three events:

 $H = \{2nd \text{ higher than 1st}\}$ $L = \{2nd \text{ lower than 1st}\}$ $E = \{2nd \text{ equal to 1st}\}$

Then we know

1
$$P(H) + P(L) + P(E) = 1$$

2 $P(H) = P(L)$ (by symmetry)
3 $P(E)$ is easy:
 $P(E) = \frac{52 \times 3}{52 \times 51} = \frac{1}{17}$

Or, we can be smarter. Consider three events:

 $H = \{2nd \text{ higher than 1st}\}$ $L = \{2nd \text{ lower than 1st}\}$ $E = \{2nd \text{ equal to 1st}\}$

Then we know

1
$$P(H) + P(L) + P(E) = 1$$

2 $P(H) = P(L)$ (by symmetry)
3 $P(E)$ is easy:
 $P(E) = \frac{52 \times 3}{52 \times 51} = \frac{1}{17}$

4 Now we profit:

$$P(H) = \frac{1 - P(E)}{2} = \frac{8}{17}$$