

Spring 2023
Homework 2
S&DS 684: Statistical Inference on Graphs

Due: Apr 18, 2023

Prof. Yihong Wu

Rules:

- It is mandatory to type your solutions in L^AT_EX; you may use the source file for this PDF posted on the course website. (If you need help with this, let me know.)
- Email your solution in pdf by midnight of the due date to yihong.wu@yale.edu with subject line **Homework XX: your name**.
- Justify your work rigorously. As long as you are able to prove the result or a stronger version, there is no need to follow the hints.

1. (Spiked Wigner model) Consider the following rank-one perturbation to a Gaussian random matrix:

$$W = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{n}} \sigma \sigma^\top + Z$$

where $Z = (Z_{ij})$ is a symmetric matrix with $\{Z_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}$ being iid $N(0, 1)$, and the membership vector σ is uniformly drawn from the set of all bisections, i.e., $\{\sigma \in \{\pm 1\}^n : \sum_i \sigma_i = 0\}$.

- (a) (Detection) Consider the hypothesis testing problem of testing $H_0 : W = Z$ (i.e. $\mu = 0$) versus $H_1 : W = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{n}} \sigma \sigma^\top + Z$. Assume that μ is a constant. Show that reliable detection (i.e. both Type-I and Type-II error probabilities vanish as $n \rightarrow \infty$) is impossible if $\mu < 1$.¹ (Hint: compute the χ^2 -divergence using the second moment method as in Sec 7.2. Note that one cannot directly apply the calculation in (7.8) as the null distribution is not $\frac{P+Q}{2}$).
- (b) (Correlated recovery) We say an estimator $\hat{\sigma} = \hat{\sigma}(W)$ achieves correlated recovery, if it has a nontrivial overlap with the true partition, i.e., $\mathbb{E}|\langle \sigma, \hat{\sigma} \rangle| = \Omega(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Instead of using conditional second-moment argument as we did in class, we show that correlated recovery is impossible if $\mu < 1$ by a reduction argument:²

Suppose correlated recovery is possible. Let's construct a test statistic. Write $\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \end{bmatrix}$, where $\sigma_1 \in \{\pm 1\}^{(1-\epsilon)n}$ and $\sigma_2 \in \{\pm 1\}^{\epsilon n}$ with appropriately chosen ϵ . Write W accordingly in a block form $W = \begin{bmatrix} W_{11} & W_{12} \\ W_{21} & W_{22} \end{bmatrix}$. Apply correlated recovery estimator on W_{11} to obtain $\hat{\sigma}_1$, and compute $y = W_{21} \hat{\sigma}_1 / \|\hat{\sigma}_1\|$. Under the null, we expect the variance of each coordinate of y is roughly 1; under the alternative, thanks to the correlation between σ_1 and $\hat{\sigma}_1$, we expect the variance of each coordinate is strictly bigger than 1. Make this argument rigorous by analyzing the test statistic $\frac{1}{n} \|y\|_2^2$.

- (c) (Almost exact recovery) We say an estimator $\hat{\sigma} = \hat{\sigma}(W)$ achieves almost exact recovery, if the fraction of misclassification is vanishing, i.e., $\mathbb{E}|\langle \sigma, \hat{\sigma} \rangle| = n - o(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Show that almost exact recovery is possible if and only if $\mu \rightarrow \infty$.

(Hint: for positive result, consider spectral method and perturbation bound).

¹In fact, $\mu = 1$ is also impossible, but we have to resort more advanced techniques than second moment method.

²This idea was suggested by Prof. Zhou Fan.

- (d) (Exact recovery: impossibility) We say an estimator $\hat{\sigma} = \hat{\sigma}(W)$ achieves exact recovery, if $\mathbb{P}[\sigma = \pm \hat{\sigma}] \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Show that exact recovery is impossible if $\mu = (2 - \epsilon) \log n$ for any fixed $\epsilon > 0$.

(Hint: show that even the maximum likelihood estimator fails in this case).

- (e) (Exact recovery: SDP) Consider the following SDP relaxation:

$$\hat{X} = \arg \max \{ \langle W, X \rangle : X \succeq 0, X_{ii} = 1, \langle X, \mathbf{J} \rangle = 0 \}$$

where \mathbf{J} is the all-one matrix. Show that exact recovery is achieved, i.e., $\hat{X} = \sigma \sigma^\top$ with probability tending to one, if $\mu = (2 + \epsilon) \log n$ for any fixed $\epsilon > 0$.

(Hint: do not invoke the general result Theorem 10.1 in the lecture notes; instead, do a direct analysis based on two facts (i) $\|Z\|_{op} = O(\sqrt{n})$ with high probability; (ii) the maximum of n iid standard normals is $\sqrt{(2 + o(1)) \log n}$ with high probability).

2. ($\|\cdot\|_{2 \rightarrow 1}$ -norm) Denote the rows of $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ by $b_1^\top, \dots, b_n^\top$.

- (a) Show that the induced norm $\|B\|_{2 \rightarrow 1}$ is given by

$$\|B\|_{2 \rightarrow 1} = \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle b_i, y \rangle| : y \in S^{d-1} \right\}.$$

- (b) Suppose b_1, \dots, b_n are iid uniformly drawn from the sphere S^{d-1} . Show that for any fixed d , as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\frac{1}{n} \|B\|_{2 \rightarrow 1}$ converges in probability to some value c_d as a function of d . Find c_d as explicitly as you can.

(Hint: take an ϵ -net over S^{d-1} and use union bound. For fixed $y \in S^{d-1}$, what is $\mathbb{E}[|\langle b_1, y \rangle|]$?)

- (c) Show that $\sqrt{d} c_d \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$.

3. (Grothendieck inequality for PSD matrices) For $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, consider

$$\|A\|_{\infty \rightarrow 1} \triangleq \max \left\{ \sum_{i,j \in [n]} a_{ij} x_i y_j : x_i, y_j \in \{\pm 1\} \right\} = \max \left\{ \langle A, xy^\top \rangle : \|x\|_\infty \leq 1, \|y\|_\infty \leq 1 \right\} \quad (1)$$

and its SDP relaxation

$$\text{SDP}(A) \triangleq \max \left\{ \sum_{i,j \in [n]} a_{ij} \langle u_i, v_j \rangle : u_i, v_j \in S^{n-1} \right\} = \max \{ \langle A, X \rangle : X \succeq 0, X_{ii} = 1 \}. \quad (2)$$

- (a) Following the argument in class, show that for every positive semidefinite A ,

$$\text{SDP}(A) \geq \|A\|_{\infty \rightarrow 1} \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \text{SDP}(A). \quad (3)$$

- (b) Next we show that the constant $\frac{2}{\pi}$ in (3) is sharp by constructing instances of A so that the ratio $\frac{\|A\|_{\infty \rightarrow 1}}{\text{SDP}(A)}$ is arbitrarily close to $\frac{2}{\pi}$.

- (i) Show that without loss of optimality, we can restrict to $x_i = y_i$ in (1);
(Hint: $\langle A, xy^\top \rangle^2 = \langle \sqrt{A}x, \sqrt{A}y \rangle^2 \leq \langle A, xx^\top \rangle \langle A, yy^\top \rangle$. Why?)

- (ii) Show that without loss of optimality, we can restrict to $u_i = v_i$ in (2);
(Hint: $\langle A, U^\top V \rangle^2 = \langle \sqrt{A} U^\top, \sqrt{A} V^\top \rangle^2 \leq \langle A, U^\top U \rangle \langle A, V^\top V \rangle$. Why?)
- (iii) Show the following deterministic fact: if $A = \frac{1}{n^2} B B^\top$ for $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, then

$$\|A\|_{\infty \rightarrow 1} = \frac{1}{n^2} \|B\|_{2 \rightarrow 1}^2$$

and

$$\text{SDP}(A) \geq \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j \in [n]} \langle b_i, b_j \rangle^2$$

where b_i^\top is the i th row of B .

- (iv) Now take the rows of B to be iid uniform on S^{d-1} , use the previous problem to show that $\|A\|_{\infty \rightarrow 1} \rightarrow c_d^2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (v) Show that $\text{SDP}(A) \geq r_d$ in probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where r_d behaves as $\frac{1+o(1)}{d}$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$.
- (vi) Conclude the sharpness of the constant $\frac{2}{\pi}$ in (3).
(Hint: What is $\mathbb{E}[\langle b_1, b_2 \rangle^2]$? Be careful with $\sum_{i,j \in [n]} \langle v_i, v_j \rangle^2$ which is not an iid sum.)