

S&DS 602: High-Dimensional Probability and Applications

HDP finds application to many fields:

- Statistics/ML: high-dim. data, parameter estimation
- CS: randomized algorithms, average-case complexity
- Engineering: multi-agent systems, randomized codes
- Math: combinatorial structures, Markov chains, high-dim. geometry

This course: common principles, methods, techniques.

I. Concentration & measure

$X_1, \dots, X_n$ independent r.v.'s. If $f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ depends
"not too much" on any individual X_i , then it is
"typically close to" its expectation.

Ex: (CLT) $X_1, \dots, X_n$ iid, $\mathbb{E}X_i = 0$, $\text{Var } X_i = \sigma^2$.

$$P\left[\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right| > t \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right] \rightarrow 2(1 - \underbrace{\Phi(t)}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

standard $N(0,1)$ CDF

Goals: (1) Non-asymptotic tail bounds

(2) Beyond sums of i.i.d. r.v.'s:

- sums of i.i.d. vectors and matrices
- U-statistics $\frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i < j} k(X_i, X_j)$
- polynomials $p(X_1, \dots, X_n)$
- bounded differences

$$|f(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x'_i, \dots, x_n)| \leq C.$$

- Lipschitz functions, i.e. $\|\nabla f(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_2 \leq C$.

II. Suprema of random processes, $\sup_{t \in T} X_t$

If $\{X_t\}_{t \in T}$ "sufficiently continuous," then size of $\sup_{t \in T} X_t$ is controlled by "complexity" of T .

Examples:

(1) Norms of random vectors and matrices

$$\|v\|_2 = \sup_{u: \|u\|_2=1} u^T v, \quad \|A\|_{\text{op}} = \sup_{u, v: \|u\|_2=\|v\|_2=1} u^T A v$$

(2) Gaussian processes, e.g.

Gaussian complexity: $\sup_{t \in T} g^T t, g_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0,1)$

Min-cut: $\sup_{x \in \{-1,1\}^n} x^T A x, (A_{ij})_{i,j} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0,1)$

(3) Empirical risk minimization:

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(\theta, X_i) - \mathbb{E} \ell(\theta, X) \right|$$

Lecture 1: Chernoff bound, subgaussian r.v.'s, martingale method

Exmp: $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \{0,1\}$, i.i.d. Bernoulli(p), $q > p$.

$$\text{CLT: } P\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq q\right] \approx 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{q-p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}}\right) \approx e^{-\frac{n(q-p)^2}{2p(1-p)}}$$

$$\text{For } q-p \sim \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}, \text{ large } n.$$

$$\text{Cramer's Thm: } P\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq q\right] \approx e^{-n D(q||p)},$$

$$D(q||p) = (1-q) \log \frac{1-q}{1-p} + q \log \frac{q}{p}, \text{ For } q-p \sim 1, \text{ large } n$$

$$\left[\stackrel{(\text{Taylor exp.})}{\approx} \frac{(q-p)^2}{2p(1-p)} \text{ For } q \approx p \right]$$

Non-asymptotic bound, valid for all $q > p$?

① Chebyshev/Markov inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} P\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq q\right] &\leq P\left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - p\right)^2 \geq (q-p)^2\right] \\ &\leq \frac{E\left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - p\right)^2\right]}{(q-p)^2} \leq \frac{p(1-p)}{n(q-p)^2} \end{aligned}$$

② k^{th} -moment:

$$\begin{aligned} P\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq q\right] &\leq P\left[\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - p\right|^k \geq (q-p)^k\right] \\ &\leq \frac{E\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - p\right|^k}{(q-p)^k} \quad \text{Sharp (for } k(n) \gg 1) \\ &\quad \text{but harder to bound.} \end{aligned}$$

③ Moment/cumulant generating function:

$$\psi(\lambda) := \log E e^{\lambda(X_i - p)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq q\right] &= P\left[e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - p)} \geq e^{\lambda n(q-p)}\right] \quad \forall \lambda \geq 0 \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda n(q-p)} E e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - p)} = e^{-n(\lambda(q-p) - \psi(\lambda))} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Here: } \psi(\lambda) = \log E e^{\lambda X_i} - \lambda p = \log(1-p + p e^{\lambda}) - \lambda p.$$

$$\sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \lambda(q-p) - \psi(\lambda) = D(q \| p).$$

$$\Rightarrow P\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq q\right] \leq e^{-n D(q \| p)}$$

Abstracting this argument:

Then (Chernoff bound): $X_1, \dots, X_n$ iid, $\mathbb{E}X_i = 0$. Let $\psi(\lambda) = \log \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X_i}$.

Define the Fenchel-Legendre transform $\psi^*(t) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \lambda t - \psi(\lambda)$.

Then $\mathbb{P}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq t\right] \leq e^{-n\psi^*(t)}$ for any $t \geq 0$.

Examples:

- $X_i \sim \text{Bern}(p)$ - p . $\psi(\lambda) = \log(1-p+pe^\lambda) - \lambda p$, $\psi^*(t) = D(t||p)$
- $X_i \sim \text{Pois}(\theta)$ - θ . $\psi(\lambda) = \theta(e^\lambda - 1)$, $\psi^*(t) = (t+\theta) \log(1+\frac{t}{\theta}) - t$
- $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$. $\psi(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}$, $\psi^*(t) = \frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}$.

Def: A mean-zero r.v. X is σ^2 -subgaussian if $\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X} \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}$
(i.e. $\psi(\lambda) \leq \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}$) for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Check: $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$, $\text{Bern}(p)$ - p are subgaussian. $\text{Pois}(\theta)$ - θ is not.

Lemma (Hoeffding): If $a \leq X \leq b$ a.s., then $X - \mathbb{E}X$ is $\frac{(b-a)^2}{4}$ -subgaussian.

Proof: Assume WLOG $\mathbb{E}X = 0$.

Define $\tilde{X}$ w/ law $\mathbb{P}[\tilde{X} \in A] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{X \in A} e^{\lambda X}] / \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X}$.

$$\Rightarrow \psi(\lambda) = \log \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X}, \quad \psi(0) = 0$$

$$\psi'(\lambda) = \frac{\mathbb{E} X e^{\lambda X}}{\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X}} = \mathbb{E} \tilde{X}, \quad \psi'(0) = \mathbb{E} X = 0.$$

$$\psi''(\lambda) = \frac{\mathbb{E} X^2 e^{\lambda X}}{\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X}} - \left(\frac{\mathbb{E} X e^{\lambda X}}{\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X}} \right)^2 = \mathbb{E} \tilde{X}^2 - (\mathbb{E} \tilde{X})^2 = \text{Var} \tilde{X} \leq \frac{(b-a)^2}{4}.$$

$$\text{So } \psi(\lambda) = \int_0^\lambda \psi'(t) dt = \int_0^\lambda \int_0^t \psi''(s) ds dt \leq \frac{(b-a)^2}{4} \int_0^\lambda \int_0^t ds dt = \frac{\lambda^2 (b-a)^2}{8}.$$

Prop: If X is mean-zero and σ^2 -subgaussian, then

$$\mathbb{P}[X \geq t] \leq e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}} \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Proof: $\mathbb{P}[X \geq t] \leq e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X} \leq e^{-\lambda t + \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}$. Pick $\lambda = \frac{t}{\sigma^2}$.

Prop: The following are equivalent:

(a) $\exists K_1 > 0$ s.t. $\mathbb{P}[|X| \geq t] \leq 2e^{-t^2/K_1^2} \quad \forall t \geq 0$

(b) $\exists K_2 > 0$ s.t. $\|X\|_{L^p} := (\mathbb{E}|X|^p)^{1/p} \leq K_2 \sqrt{p} \quad \forall p \geq 1$.

(c) $\exists K_3 > 0$ s.t. $\mathbb{E} e^{\frac{X^2}{K_3^2}} \leq 2$.

If $\mathbb{E} X = 0$, then these are also equivalent to

(d) $\exists K_4 > 0$ s.t. $\psi(\lambda) \leq \lambda^2 K_4^2 \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof: (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b): [Lemma: If $Z \geq 0$ a.s., then $EZ = \int_0^\infty P[Z \geq t] dt$.

Proof: $Z = \int_0^Z dt = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}\{t \leq Z\} dt$. Take E on both sides.]

$$E|X|^p = \int_0^\infty P[|X|^p \geq t] dt = \int_0^\infty P[|X|^p \geq u^p] \cdot p u^{p-1} du$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \int_0^\infty 2e^{-u^2/K_1^2} \cdot p u^{p-1} du \leq 2p \left(\frac{K_1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^p \int_0^\infty e^{-v^2/2} v^{p-1} dv \leq (CK_1/p)^p$$

$$(b) \Rightarrow (c): E e^{\lambda^2 X^2} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^{2k}}{k!} E X^{2k} \stackrel{(4)}{\leq} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^{2k}}{k!} (K_2 \sqrt{2k})^{2k}$$

$$\text{Apply } k! \geq (k/2)^k: E e^{\lambda^2 X^2} \leq \sum_{k \geq 0} (2e K_2^2 \lambda^2)^k \leq 2 \text{ for } \lambda = \frac{1}{CK_2}$$

$$(c) \Rightarrow (a): P[|X| \geq t] \leq P[e^{X^2/K_3^2} \geq e^{t^2/K_3^2}] \\ \leq e^{-t^2/K_3^2} \cdot E e^{X^2/K_3^2} \stackrel{(c)}{\leq} 2e^{-t^2/K_3^2}$$

If $EX = 0$:

$$(4) \Rightarrow (d): E e^{\lambda X} = 1 + \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} E X^k$$

$$\text{For } k \geq 1: E|X|^{2k+1} \leq \frac{1}{2} (E|X|^{2k} + E|X|^{2k+2})$$

$$\Rightarrow E e^{\lambda X} \leq \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{2^k \lambda^{2k} E X^{2k}}{(2k)!} \stackrel{(4)}{\leq} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{2^k \lambda^{2k} (K_2 \sqrt{2k})^{2k}}{(2k)!}$$

$$\text{Apply } k^k \leq e^k \cdot k!, (2k)! \geq (2^k \cdot k!)^2: E e^{\lambda X} \leq \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(CK_2^2 \lambda^2)^k}{k!} = e^{CK_2^2 \lambda^2}$$

(d) $\Rightarrow$ (a): Apply previous prop. to X and $-X$.

Def: $\|X\|_{\Psi_2} = \inf \{K > 0: \mathbb{E} e^{X^2/K^2} \leq 2\}$ is the subgaussian norm.

[Vershynin takes $\|X\|_{\Psi_2} < \infty$ to be definition of subgaussian if $\mathbb{E}X \neq 0$.]

Then (Hoeffding's inequality): If $X_1, \dots, X_n$ are independent, $\mathbb{E}X_i = 0$, X_i is σ_i^2 -subgaussian, then $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is $\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2$ -subgaussian.

Thus, $P\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq t\right] \leq e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2}}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof: $\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n X_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X_i} \leq \prod_{i=1}^n e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma_i^2}{2}} = e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2}$ □

Cor: If $a_i \leq X_i \leq b_i$ a.s., then

$P\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mathbb{E}X_i \geq t\right] \leq e^{-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2}}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof: Follows from Hoeffding's Lemma. □

Example: $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$. Then $P\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - p \geq t\right] \leq e^{-2nt^2}$.

(Cruder than the above Chernoff bound, esp. for p far from $1/2$.)

Martingale method

How to show concentration of non-linear functions $f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$?

Idea: Write $M_i = \mathbb{E}[f(X_1, \dots, X_n) | X_1, \dots, X_i]$

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_n) - \mathbb{E} f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = M_n - M_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{M_i - M_{i-1}}_{\Delta_i}$$

$\{M_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a martingale (w.r.t. filtration $\mathcal{F}_i = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_i)$)

i.e. $\mathbb{E}[M_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] = M_{i-1}$.

Then (Azuma-Hoeffding): Suppose $\{M_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a martingale adapted to $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\Delta_i := M_i - M_{i-1}$ satisfy

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda \Delta_i} | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma_i^2}{2}} \text{ a.s. } (\Delta_i \text{ is conditionally } \sigma_i^2 \text{-subgaussian})$$

Then $\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i = M_n - M_0$ is $\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2$ -subgaussian, and

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i \geq t\right] \leq e^{-\frac{t^2}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2}}$$

Proof: $\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta_i} = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta_i} | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right]\right]$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \Delta_i} \cdot \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda \Delta_k} | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]\right] \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma_k^2}{2}} \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \Delta_i}$$

Then by induction, $\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i} \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2}$, and tail bound follows as before. □

Corollary (McDiarmid's bounded differences): Let

$$\|D_i f\|_\infty = \sup_{x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n} \left[\sup_z f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, z, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - \inf_z f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, z, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \right]$$

If $X_1, \dots, X_n$ are independent, then

$$P \left[f(X_1, \dots, X_n) - \mathbb{E} f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq t \right] \leq e^{-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n \|D_i f\|_\infty^2}}$$

Proof: Take

$$\Delta_i = \mathbb{E}[f(X_1, \dots, X_n) | X_1, \dots, X_i] - \mathbb{E}[f(X_1, \dots, X_n) | X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}]$$

$$\text{Here } \Delta_i \geq \underbrace{\mathbb{E} \left[\inf_z f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, z, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n) | X_1, \dots, X_{i-1} \right]}_{:= A_i}$$

$$\Delta_i \leq \underbrace{\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_z f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, z, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n) | X_1, \dots, X_{i-1} \right]}_{:= B_i}$$

where A_i, B_i are $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ -measurable, $|B_i - A_i| \leq \|D_i f\|_\infty$ a.s.

Then Δ_i is conditionally $\frac{\|D_i f\|_\infty^2}{4}$ -subgaussian by

Hoeffding's Lemma, and result follows from Azuma-Hoeffding. $\square$

Example (Rademacher complexity): Let $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ be iid Rademacher variables, i.e. $P[\varepsilon_i = \pm 1] = \frac{1}{2}$. Let $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$

$$f(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) = \sup_{t \in T} \varepsilon^T t.$$

Then $\|D_n f\|_\infty = 2 \sup_{t \in T} |t_i|$, so

$$P[|f(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) - \mathbb{E}f(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)| \geq u] \leq 2e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \quad \sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{t \in T} t_i^2.$$

Later in the course: Improve this to $\sigma^2 = \sup_{t \in T} \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^2 = \sup_{t \in T} \|t\|_2^2$.

Example (U-statistic): Let $X_1, \dots, X_n$ be iid, $h: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\|h\|_\infty \leq B$, $h(x, y) = h(y, x)$. Consider

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i < j} h(X_i, X_j).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \|D_n f\|_\infty &\leq \sup_{x, x'} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{j < j'} |h(x, x_j) - h(x', x_j)| \\ &\leq \frac{(n-1) \cdot 2B}{\binom{n}{2}} = \frac{4B}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } P[|f(X_1, \dots, X_n) - \mathbb{E}f(X_1, \dots, X_n)| \geq t] \leq 2e^{-\frac{nt^2}{8B^2}}.$$

More on this example later.

Example (Shamir, Spencer '86): Let $G \sim \mathcal{G}(n, p)$ be an Erdős-Rényi graph, i.e. $P[i \sim j] = p$ independently for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Let $\chi(G)$ be the "chromatic number" — minimal # colors needed to color all vertices s.t. no edge has 2 vertices of same color.

Let $X_1 =$ all edges $1 \rightarrow \{2, \dots, n\}$.

$X_2 =$ all edges $2 \rightarrow \{3, \dots, n\}$

$\vdots$

$X_{n-1} =$ edge $n-1 \rightarrow n$.

Fixing all but X_i , $\chi(G)$ smallest when $X_i = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$

largest when $X_i = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, and $\|D_i f\|_\infty \leq 1$.

$$\Rightarrow P[|\chi(G) - \mathbb{E}\chi(G)| \geq t] \leq 2e^{-\frac{2t^2}{n-1}}$$

For any $p \in (0, 1)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\chi(G) = \mathbb{E}\chi(G) + O_p(\sqrt{n})$.

[It is known $\mathbb{E}\chi(G) \asymp \frac{n}{\log n}$.]