

Concentration of measure and the Herbst argument

Q: When is a function of independent random variables close to its mean?

Then (Chernoff bound): Let $X_1, \dots, X_n$ be independent r.v.'s with $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$, $\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X_i}] \leq e^{\lambda^2 v/2}$ for a constant $v > 0$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then for any $t > 0$, $\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right| \geq t\right] \leq 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2v \cdot n}}$

Proof: For any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq t\right] &= \mathbb{P}\left[e^{\lambda \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)} \geq e^{\lambda t}\right] \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)}\right] \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{\lambda}{n} X_i}\right] \leq e^{-\lambda t} \cdot e^{\frac{\lambda^2 v}{2n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\lambda = tn/v$. The lower bound is the same.

Want to generalize this to other functions $f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ of independent random variables. Two ingredients:

- Each X_i has fast enough tail decay.
- f is not too strongly influenced by any individual X_i .

Closeness of f to $\mathbb{E}[f]$ is called concentration of measure.

Good references: Boucheron, Lugosi, Massart, "Concentration Inequalities".

Def: For a random element $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and a nonnegative function $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, we define the entropy

$$\text{Ent}_X[f] = \mathbb{E}[f(X) \log f(X)] - \mathbb{E}[f(X)] \cdot \log \mathbb{E}[f(X)].$$

Def: Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a class of functions $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathcal{E}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$. A random element $X \in X$ satisfies a log-Sobolev inequality w.r.t. $\mathcal{E}$, with constant $c > 0$, if for every $f \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\text{Ent}_X(f^2) \leq 2c \cdot \mathcal{E}(f).$$

Prop: Let $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(1/2)$, i.e. $\mathbb{P}[X=x_0] = \mathbb{P}[X=x_1] = 1/2$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be all functions $f: \{x_0, x_1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and set $\mathcal{E}(f) = \mathbb{E}[(f(X) - f(X'))^2]$ where X' is an independent copy of X . Then X satisfies the LSI w/ $c = 1/2$.

Proof: Let $a = f(x_0)$, $b = f(x_1)$. We want to show

$$h(a, b) = \frac{1}{2} (a^2 \log a^2 + b^2 \log b^2) - \frac{a^2 + b^2}{2} \log \frac{a^2 + b^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (a - b)^2 \leq 0.$$

Suffices to assume $a \geq b \geq 0$. For each fixed $b \geq 0$, can check:

- $h(b, b) = 0$
- $\partial_a h(a, b)|_{a=b} = 0$.
- $\partial_a^2 h(a, b) \leq 0$ for all $a \geq b$.

Then $h(a, b) \leq h(b, b) = 0$ for all $a \geq b \geq 0$.

Prop: Let X be Bernoulli with $\mathbb{P}[X=x_0] = p$, $\mathbb{P}[X=x_1] = 1-p$. Let $\mathcal{E}(f) = \mathbb{E}[(f(X) - f(X'))^2]$. Then X satisfies LSI w/ constant $\frac{1}{1-2p} \log \frac{1}{p}$.

Proof: See [BLG Thm 5.2].

Prop: Let $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, $\mathcal{A}$ all continuously differentiable $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathcal{E}(f) = \mathbb{E}[f'(X)^2]$. Then X satisfies LSI w/ constant $c = 1$.

Proof: Let $P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(\sqrt{e^{-t}}x + \sqrt{1-e^{-t}}z)]$ for $z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

$$P_0 f(x) = f(x) \text{ and } P_\infty f(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(z)].$$

$$\text{For } X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \text{ and all } t, \mathbb{E}[P_t f(X)] = \mathbb{E}[f(z)].$$

$$\text{In particular, } \mathbb{E}[\partial_t P_t f(X)] = \partial_t \mathbb{E}[P_t f(X)] = 0.$$

Recall the Gaussian integration by parts formula

$$\mathbb{E}[z f(z)] = \int z f(z) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}} dz = \int f'(z) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}} dz = \mathbb{E}[f'(z)].$$

$$\text{Then: } \partial_t P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{e^{-t}}x + \frac{1}{2}\frac{e^{-t}}{\sqrt{1-e^{-t}}}\right) f'(\sqrt{e^{-t}}x + \sqrt{1-e^{-t}}z)\right]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}x \cdot \partial_x P_t f(x) + \frac{1}{2}e^{-t} \mathbb{E}[f''(\sqrt{e^{-t}}x + \sqrt{1-e^{-t}}z)]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}x \cdot \partial_x P_t f(x) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 P_t f(x).$$

For $f \geq 0$, implicitly evaluating all functions at $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, write

$$\text{Ent}_X(f) = \mathbb{E}[P_0 f \cdot \log P_0 f] - P_\infty f \cdot \log P_\infty f$$

$$= -\int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}[\partial_t (P_t f \log P_t f)] dt$$

$$= -\int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}[(1 + \log P_t f) \cdot \partial_t P_t f] dt$$

$$= -\int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}[\log P_t f \cdot (-\frac{1}{2}X \cdot \partial_x P_t f + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 P_t f)] dt$$

$$\text{Apply } \mathbb{E}[X \cdot g(X) \cdot \partial_x P_t f(X)] = \mathbb{E}[\partial_x g(X) \cdot \partial_x P_t f(X) + g(X) \cdot \partial_x^2 P_t f(X)].$$

$$\text{Ent}_X(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{(\partial_x P_t f)^2}{P_t f}\right] dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{(P_t f')^2}{P_t f}\right] dt.$$

Cauchy-Schwarz: $(P_t f')^2 \leq P_t f \cdot P_t \left(\frac{f''}{f}\right)$. Then

$$\text{Ent}_X(f) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[P_t\left(\frac{f^2}{f}\right)]}_{=\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{f'(X)^2}{f(X)}\right] \forall t} dt = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{f'(X)^2}{f(X)}\right].$$

Then $\text{Ent}_X(f^2) \leq 2 \mathbb{E}[f'(X)^2]$ as desired.

Prop: Let $X \in \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous r.v. with density $p(x) \propto e^{-V(x)}$, where $V(x) - \frac{x^2}{2c}$ is convex for some $c > 0$. Then

X satisfies the LSI with constant c , for $\mathcal{E}(f) = \mathbb{E}[f'(X)^2]$.

Proof: See [AGZ Lemma 2.3.2].

Prop: Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathbb{R}$ be independent random variables, each X_i satisfying a LSI with constant $c > 0$ w.r.t $\mathcal{E}_i$. For $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\mathcal{E}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{E}_i(f(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, \cdot, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n))]$$

where $f(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, \cdot, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the function fixing all but X_i .

Then $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ satisfies the LSI w/ constant $c > 0$.

Proof: It suffices to show, for $f \geq 0$,

$$\text{Ent}_X(f) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\text{Ent}_{X_i}(f(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, \cdot, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n))],$$

Lemma: $\text{Ent}_X(f) = \sup \{ \mathbb{E}[f(X)g(X)] : g \text{ satisfies } \mathbb{E}[e^{f(X)}] \leq 1 \}$.

Proof: Note $\text{Ent}_X(cf) = c \text{Ent}_X(f)$, for $c > 0$. Thus, assume $\mathbb{E}f(X) = 1$.

Apply Young's inequality: $uv \leq u \log u - u + e^v$ for $u \geq 0$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X)g(X)] \leq \text{Ent}_X(f) - 1 + \mathbb{E}[e^{f(X)}] \leq \text{Ent}_X(f).$$

Taking $g(x) = \log f(x)$ yields equality.

Now, for any $g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\mathbb{E}[e^{g(X_1, \dots, X_n)}] \leq 1$, set

$$g_i(x_1, \dots, x_i) = \log \mathbb{E}[e^{g(x_1, \dots, x_i, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n)}] - \log \mathbb{E}[e^{g(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, X_i, \dots, X_n)}]$$

Then:

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x_1, \dots, x_i) + \log \mathbb{E}[e^{g(x_1, \dots, x_n)}] \leq \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x_1, \dots, x_i)$$

$$\cdot \text{For any fixed } x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \mathbb{E}[e^{g_i(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, X_i)}] = 1.$$

$$\text{So } \mathbb{E}[f(X)g(X)] \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[f(X_1, \dots, X_n) g_i(X_1, \dots, X_i)]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}_{X_i}[f(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, \cdot, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n) g_i(X_1, \dots, X_i)]]$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\text{Ent}_{X_i}(f(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, \cdot, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n))].$$

Take a sup over $g(x)$ s.t. $\mathbb{E}[e^{f(x)}] \leq 1$.

Cor: Let $X_1, \dots, X_n$ be independent continuous r.v.'s, each satisfying the LSI $\text{Ent}_{X_i}(f^2) \leq 2c \mathbb{E}[f'(X_i)^2]$. Then for differentiable $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ satisfies the LSI

$$\text{Ent}_X(f^2) \leq 2c \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(X)\|^2].$$

Then: Suppose X satisfies the LSI $\text{Ent}_X(f^2) \leq 2c \mathcal{E}(f)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Furthermore, for some $\|\cdot\|: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying $\|\lambda f\| = |\lambda| \cdot \|f\|$,

$$\text{suppose } \mathcal{E}(e^{f/2}) \leq \|f\|^2 \mathbb{E}[e^{f(X)}] \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (*)$$

Then for any $f \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\|f\| < \infty$, and any $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[f(X) - \mathbb{E}f(X) \geq t] \leq e^{-t^2/8c\|f\|^2}.$$

Cases of interest:

$$\cdot X = \mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{E}(f) = \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(X)\|^2]. \text{ Then}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{-f/2}) = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{4} e^{f(x)} \|\nabla f(x)\|^2\right] \leq \frac{1}{4} \sup_{x \in X} \|\nabla f(x)\|^2 \mathbb{E}[e^{f(x)}]$$

$$\text{So (x) holds for } \|f\|^2 = \frac{1}{4} \sup_{x \in X} \|\nabla f(x)\|^2$$

Corollary: Suppose $X_1, \dots, X_n$ are independent, with densities $p_i(x) \propto e^{-V_i(x)}$ satisfying $V_i(x) - \frac{x_i^2}{2c}$ is convex for all i .

Then for any $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq L|x-y|$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (f is L -Lipschitz), and $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[|f(X_1, \dots, X_n) - \mathbb{E}f(X_1, \dots, X_n)| \geq t] \leq 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2cL^2}}$$

In particular, this holds for $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ and $c=1$.

$X = \{a, b\}^n$, $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$ w/ $\mathbb{P}[X_i=a]=p$, $\mathbb{P}[X_i=b]=1-p$.

$$\mathbb{E}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_{X_i, X_i'}[(f(X_1, \dots, X_n) - f(X_1, \dots, X_i', \dots, X_n))^2]\right]$$

Let $V(f) = \sup \{ |f(x) - f(x')| : x, x' \text{ differ in one coordinate} \}$

Apply $|e^{a/2} - e^{b/2}| \leq \frac{|a-b|}{2} \cdot e^{\max(a,b)/2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(e^{f/2}) &\leq \frac{V(f)^2}{4} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\max(f(X_1, \dots, X_n), f(X_1, \dots, X_i', \dots, X_n)))\right] \\ &\leq \frac{V(f)^2}{2} \mathbb{E}[e^{f(x)}] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So (x) holds for } \|f\|^2 = \frac{V(f)^2}{2}$$

Corollary: Suppose $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$. Then for any f such that $|f(x) - f(x')| \leq L$ for all x, x' differing in one coordinate,

$$\mathbb{P}[|f(X_1, \dots, X_n) - \mathbb{E}f(X_1, \dots, X_n)| \geq t] \leq 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{4L^2} dp}$$

$$\text{where } dp = \frac{1}{1-2p} \log \frac{1-p}{p}$$

Proof of Thm: Let $M(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda f(x)}]$. Then $M'(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}[f(x) e^{\lambda f(x)}]$

Applying the LSI to $e^{\lambda f/2}$,

$$\mathbb{E}f(e^{\lambda f}) = \lambda M'(\lambda) - M(\lambda) \log M(\lambda)$$

$$\leq 2c \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda f/2})$$

$$\leq 2c \lambda^2 \|f\|^2 M(\lambda)$$

$$\text{Then } 2 \left[\frac{\log M(\lambda)}{\lambda} \right] = \frac{M'(\lambda)}{\lambda M(\lambda)} - \frac{\log M(\lambda)}{\lambda^2} \leq 2c \|f\|^2$$

We have $M(0)=1$, $M'(0) = \mathbb{E}[f(x)]$. Then $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log M(\lambda)}{\lambda} = \frac{M'(0)}{M(0)}$

$= \mathbb{E}[f(x)]$. So for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\frac{\log M(\lambda)}{\lambda} \leq \mathbb{E}[f(x)] + \lambda \cdot 2c \|f\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow M(\lambda) \leq e^{\lambda \mathbb{E}f(x) + \lambda^2 \cdot 2c \|f\|^2}$$

$$\text{Recall } \mathbb{P}[f(x) \geq \mathbb{E}f(x) + t] \leq e^{-\lambda(\mathbb{E}f(x) + t)} M(\lambda) \leq e^{-\lambda t + \lambda^2 \cdot 2c \|f\|^2}$$

Setting $\lambda = \frac{t}{2c \|f\|^2}$ yields the theorem.

Concentration of eigenvalues and linear spectral statistics

Lemma (Hoffman-Wielandt): Let $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be symmetric, and let $\lambda_1(X) \geq \lambda_2(X) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(X)$ and $\lambda_1(Y) \geq \lambda_2(Y) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(Y)$ be the ordered eigenvalues.

$$\text{Then } \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i(X) - \lambda_i(Y)|^2 \leq \text{Tr}(X-Y)^2$$

Proof: Note $\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i(X) - \lambda_i(Y)|^2 = \text{Tr } X^2 + \text{Tr } Y^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(X) \lambda_i(Y)$.

It suffices to show $\text{Tr } XY \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(X) \lambda_i(Y)$.

Assume WLOG X is diagonal, and write $Y = U \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1(Y) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n(Y) \end{pmatrix} U^T$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \text{Tr } XY &= \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1(X) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n(X) \end{pmatrix} U \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1(Y) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n(Y) \end{pmatrix} U^T \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \lambda_i(X) U_{ij} \lambda_j(Y) (U^T)_{ji} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \lambda_i(X) \lambda_j(Y) U_{ij}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : \sum_{j=1}^n S_{ij} = 1 \forall i, \sum_{i=1}^n S_{ij} = 1 \forall j, S_{ij} \geq 0\}$.

Note that $(U_{ij}^2)_{i,j=1}^n \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$\text{Tr } XY \leq \sup_{S \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \lambda_i(X) \lambda_j(Y) S_{ij}.$$

To show RHS is maximized at $S = I$, suppose $s_{11} < 1$. Then

$s_{ij} > 0$ and $s_{ki} > 0$ for some $j, k \neq 1$. Set $\delta = \min(s_{ij}, s_{ki})$ and $\bar{S}$ s.t. $\bar{s}_{11} = s_{11} + \delta$, $\bar{s}_{kj} = s_{kj} + \delta$, $\bar{s}_{ij} = s_{ij} - \delta$, $\bar{s}_{ki} = s_{ki} - \delta$.

Then $\bar{S} \in \mathcal{A}$, and

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \lambda_i(X) \lambda_j(Y) (\bar{s}_{ij} - s_{ij}) = \delta (\lambda_1(X) - \lambda_k(X)) (\lambda_j(Y) - \lambda_i(Y)) \geq 0.$$

Iteratively repeating this procedure for all diagonal entries yields the claim.

Corollary: Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be symmetric, $g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be L -Lipschitz.

Then the map $X \mapsto g(\lambda_1(X), \dots, \lambda_n(X))$ is a $\sqrt{2}L$ -Lipschitz

function of the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ variables $(X_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ and $(\frac{X_{ii}}{\sqrt{2}})_{i=1}^n$.

Proof: $|g(\lambda_1(X), \lambda_n(X)) - g(\lambda_1(Y), \lambda_n(Y))|$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq L \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i(X) - \lambda_i(Y))^2} \leq L \cdot \sqrt{\text{Tr}(X - Y)^2} = L \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^n (X_{ij} - Y_{ij})^2} \\ &= \sqrt{2} L \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^n (X_{ij} - Y_{ij})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} (X_{ii} - Y_{ii})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then: Suppose $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is Wigner, where $X_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} Z_{ij}$ and Z_{ij} are continuous r.v.'s satisfying the LSI w/ constant c for $i \neq j$ and $2c$ for $i = j$. (For GOE, $c = 1$.) Then for any L -Lipschitz function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$(a) \mathbb{P} \left[\left| \int f(x) d\mu_n(x) - \mathbb{E} \int f(x) d\mu_n(x) \right| \geq t \right] \leq 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{4cL^2 \cdot n^2}}$$

(b) For any individual ordered eigenvalue $\lambda_k(X)$,

$$\mathbb{P} \left[|f(\lambda_k(X)) - \mathbb{E} f(\lambda_k(X))| \geq t \right] \leq 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{4cL^2 \cdot n}}.$$

Proof: (a) The function $g(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_i)$ is $\frac{L}{\sqrt{n}}$ -Lipschitz:

$$|g(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) - g(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(\lambda_i) - f(\mu_i)| \leq \frac{L}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i - \mu_i| \leq \frac{L}{\sqrt{n}} \|\lambda - \mu\|_2.$$

Then $Z \mapsto \int f(x) d\mu_n(x)$ is $\frac{\sqrt{2}L}{n}$ -Lipschitz in $(Z_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ and $(\frac{Z_{ii}}{\sqrt{2}})_{i=1}^n$.

which satisfy the LSI w/ constant c . Result follows from concentration.

(b) The function $g(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = f(\lambda_k)$ is L -Lipschitz, so $Z \mapsto f(\lambda_k(X))$ is $\frac{\sqrt{2}L}{\sqrt{n}}$ -Lipschitz. Result also follows from concentration.

Then: Suppose $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is Wigner, $X_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} Z_{ij}$ where

$$Z_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1-p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} & p \\ -\frac{p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} & 1-p \end{cases} \quad Z_{ii} = 0.$$

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be L -Lipschitz. Then

$$(a) \mathbb{P}[|\int f(\lambda) d\mu_n(x) - \mathbb{E} \int f(\lambda) d\mu_n(x)| \geq t] \leq 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{L^2 \tilde{c}(p)} n^2}$$

$$(b) \mathbb{P}[|f(\lambda_k(X)) - \mathbb{E} f(\lambda_k(X))| \geq t] \leq 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{L^2 \tilde{c}(p)} n}$$

$$\text{where } \tilde{c}(p) = 8 \cdot \frac{11-2p}{p(1-p)} \log \frac{1}{p}.$$

Proof: Similar to above.

Remark: (a) indicates that fluctuations of

$$\int f(\lambda) d\mu_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_i(X))$$

are $O_P(\frac{1}{n})$. This is different from the behavior

of $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_i)$ if $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ were i.i.d., which would be $O_P(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$.

The eigenvalues $\lambda_1(X) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(X)$ of a Wigner matrix X

are closer to the quantiles of the semicircle law than

n i.i.d. samples from this law. This is called "eigenvalue rigidity."

The $O_P(\frac{1}{n})$ bound is sharp, and there is a CLT for $\sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_i(X))$.

(b) indicates that fluctuations of $\lambda_k(X)$ are $O_P(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$.

For the Wigner model this bound is not sharp:

For $(1-\varepsilon)n \geq k \geq \varepsilon n$, the fluctuations are in fact $O_P(\frac{1}{n})$.

For $k = O(1)$ or $n - O(1)$, the fluctuations are $O_P(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$.

However, this result also holds for more general models, e.g.

$X+A$ where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is symmetric, deterministic.

If A is low-rank, $X+A$ can have outlier eigenvalues which do fluctuate on the scale $O_P(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$.

Then: In the above two settings, there exist constants $C, c > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}[\|X\| \geq t] \leq 2e^{-c \cdot t^2 n} \text{ for all } t > C.$$

$$(\text{Here, } \|X\| = \max_i |\lambda_i| = \sup_{v: \|v\|=1} |v^T X v|.)$$

Proof: For each fixed $v: \|v\|=1$, $|v^T(X-Y)v| = |\sum_{i,j} (X_{ij} - Y_{ij}) v_i v_j| \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} (X_{ij} - Y_{ij})^2} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} v_i^2 v_j^2}$

$$= \sqrt{2 \cdot \sum_{i,j} (X_{ij} - Y_{ij})^2} = \sqrt{2 \cdot \sum_{i,j} \frac{(X_{ij} - Y_{ij})^2}{2}}. \text{ So } X \mapsto v^T X v \text{ is } \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}\text{-Lipschitz in}$$

the entries of X . Since $\mathbb{E}[v^T X v] = 0$, we get

$$\mathbb{P}[|v^T X v| \geq t] \leq 2e^{-\tilde{c} t^2 n} \text{ for a constant } \tilde{c} > 0.$$

For a small constant $\varepsilon > 0$, take an ε -net N of the sphere

$\{v: \|v\|=1\}$, i.e. for all $v: \|v\|=1$, there is $w \in N$ with $\|v-w\| \leq \varepsilon$.

We may take N so that $|N| \leq (\frac{2}{\varepsilon} + 1)^n$. For any such v, w ,

$$|v^T X v - w^T X w| \leq |v^T X(v-w)| + |(v-w)^T X w| \leq 2 \cdot \|X\| \cdot \|v-w\| \leq 2\varepsilon \cdot \|X\|.$$

$$\text{Then } \|X\| = \sup_{v: \|v\|=1} |v^T X v| \leq \sup_{w \in N} |w^T X w| + 2\varepsilon \cdot \|X\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|X\| \leq \frac{1}{1-2\varepsilon} \sup_{w \in N} |w^T X w| \text{ for } \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}.$$

$$\text{Take } \varepsilon = \frac{1}{3}, \mathbb{P}[\|X\| \geq t] \leq \mathbb{P}[\exists w \in N: |w^T X w| \geq 3\varepsilon t]$$

$$\leq |N| \cdot 2e^{-\tilde{c} t^2 n}$$

$$\leq 2e^{-c \cdot t^2 n}$$

for a different constant $c > 0$, and all $t > C$.

Finishing the proof of the semi-circle law

Last time, we showed $E[\int x^k d\mu_n(x)] \rightarrow \int x^k d\mu(x)$ where μ is the semi-circle law.

To conclude the proof, we show concentration of $\int x^k d\mu_n(x)$ around its mean.

Lemma: In the above two settings, for any fixed $k, \varepsilon > 0$, there exist constants

$$C, c > 0 \text{ s.t. } P\left[\left|\int x^k d\mu_n(x) - E\left[\int x^k d\mu_n(x)\right]\right| \geq \varepsilon\right] \leq C \cdot e^{-cn}.$$

Proof: Note that $x \mapsto x^k$ is not Lipschitz for $k \geq 1$. However, it is Lipschitz on $[-C, C]$ which contains all eigenvalues of X with high probability.

We use the idea of a Lipschitz extension: Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^k & |x| \leq C_0 \\ (C_0 \operatorname{sgn}(x))^k & |x| > C_0 \end{cases}$$

where $P[\|X\| \geq t] \leq 2e^{-cnt^2}$ for all $t \geq C_0$. Then f is L -Lipschitz

for a constant $L = L(k) > 0$. We get

$$P\left[\left|\int f(x) d\mu_n(x) - E\left[\int f(x) d\mu_n(x)\right]\right| \geq t\right] \leq 2e^{-cn^2 t^2}.$$

On the event $\|X\| \leq C_0$, $\int f(x) d\mu_n(x) = \int x^k d\mu_n(x)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} P\left[\left|\int x^k d\mu_n(x) - E\left[\int x^k d\mu_n(x)\right]\right| \geq t\right] \\ \leq 2e^{-cn^2 t^2} + P[\|X\| > C_0] \leq 2e^{-cn^2 t^2} + 2e^{-cn}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we bound

$$\begin{aligned} |E[\int f(x) d\mu_n(x)] - E[\int x^k d\mu_n(x)]| &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[|f(\lambda_i(X)) - \lambda_i(X)^k|] \\ &\leq E[\|X\|^k \cdot \mathbb{I}\{\|X\| > C_0\}] \\ &= E\left[\int_0^\infty kx^{k-1} dx \cdot \mathbb{I}\{\|X\| > C_0\}\right] \end{aligned}$$

$$= E\left[\int_0^\infty kx^{k-1} \mathbb{I}\{\|X\| > \max(C_0, x)\} dx\right]$$

$$= \int_0^\infty kx^{k-1} P[\|X\| > \max(C_0, x)] dx$$

$$= \int_0^{C_0} kx^{k-1} \cdot 2e^{-cn} dx + \int_{C_0}^\infty kx^{k-1} \cdot 2e^{-cnx^2} dx \leq Ce^{-cn}.$$

$$\text{Hence } P\left[\left|\int x^k d\mu_n(x) - E\left[\int x^k d\mu_n(x)\right]\right| \geq t + Ce^{-cn}\right] \leq 2e^{-cn^2 t^2} + 2e^{-cn}.$$

Setting $t = \varepsilon/2$ and adjusting the constants $C, c > 0$ yields the result.

Corollary: $\int x^k d\mu_n(x) \rightarrow \int x^k d\mu(x)$ almost surely for each k .

Proof: Let $m_k = \int x^k d\mu(x)$. Since $E[\int x^k d\mu_n(x)] \rightarrow m_k$,

$$\begin{aligned} P\left[\left|\int x^k d\mu_n(x) - m_k\right| > \varepsilon\right] &\leq P\left[\left|\int x^k d\mu_n(x) - E\left[\int x^k d\mu_n(x)\right]\right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right] \\ &\leq Ce^{-cn} \end{aligned}$$

For all large n . Apply Borel-Cantelli.